**Ten Decades of  
Ulama’s Struggle**

By:

Aqiqi Bakhshayeshi

Translated By:

Alaedin Pazargadi

Edited By:

G. S. Radhakrishna

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Title | : | Ten decades of Ulama’s Struggle |
| By | : | Aqiqi Bakhshayeshi |
| Translated By | : | Alaedin Pazargadi |
| Edited By | : | G. S. Radhakrishna |
| Published By | : | Islamic Propagation Organization,  P.O. Box 14155/1313,  The Islamic Republic of Iran |
| Reprinted By | : | Ja’fari Propagation Centre  94, Asma Manzil, Room No. 10, Bazar Road,  Opp. Khoja Masjid, Bandra (W),  Mumbai – 400050. Tel.: 2642 5777.  E-mail: jpcbandra@yahoo.com, jpconline.org |
| Year | : | August 2011 |

# Index

[Index 3](#_Toc300585391)

[Publisher’s Note 10](#_Toc300585392)

[Chapter One 13](#_Toc300585393)

[Mirza Shirazi, Champion of Knowledge and Action 13](#_Toc300585394)

[Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen’s Letter 14](#_Toc300585395)

[Uprisings in Tabrez and Shiraz 19](#_Toc300585396)

[The Tabrez Uprising 20](#_Toc300585397)

[The Isfahan Uprising 21](#_Toc300585398)

[Setting Property Ablaze 22](#_Toc300585399)

[Browne’s Statement 22](#_Toc300585400)

[The Tehran Uprising 22](#_Toc300585401)

[Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi’s Letter 23](#_Toc300585402)

[Difficulties of the Iranian Nation 24](#_Toc300585403)

[End of the Letter 26](#_Toc300585404)

[A Practical Answer 27](#_Toc300585405)

[Ignoring the Telegram 27](#_Toc300585406)

[People’s Reaction 28](#_Toc300585407)

[Anees al-Dawlah’s Sharp Retort 29](#_Toc300585408)

[Islamic Discipline 30](#_Toc300585409)

[The Pseudo-Ulama 30](#_Toc300585410)

[Diplomatic Manoeuvres 32](#_Toc300585411)

[Report on Public Sentiments, in Particular the Women’s 34](#_Toc300585412)

[In the Shah Mosque 35](#_Toc300585413)

[Events Following Ashtiani’s Banishment 36](#_Toc300585414)

[Chapter Two 41](#_Toc300585415)

[Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi 41](#_Toc300585416)

[A Fabulous Personality 42](#_Toc300585417)

[L. Steward’s Opinion 43](#_Toc300585418)

[Razi’s View 44](#_Toc300585419)

[Mohit Tabatabai’s View 45](#_Toc300585420)

[Martyred Scholar Mutahhari’s View 45](#_Toc300585421)

[Publication of Urwatul Wusqaa 49](#_Toc300585422)

[Debate with Renan 49](#_Toc300585423)

[Travels and Moves 50](#_Toc300585424)

[Travel to Europe 53](#_Toc300585425)

[Retirement and Seclusion 55](#_Toc300585426)

[Instigator of the Assassination 57](#_Toc300585427)

[Root of Corruption 58](#_Toc300585428)

[The Position of the Iranian Ulama 59](#_Toc300585429)

[Mohit Tabatabai’s View 59](#_Toc300585430)

[Sayyed Muhammad Tabataba’i – Founder of the Constitution 60](#_Toc300585431)

[A Transition and Travel 61](#_Toc300585432)

[The Spread of Conflicts 63](#_Toc300585433)

[Public Commotion 64](#_Toc300585434)

[Resolution of the Rey Emigrants 64](#_Toc300585435)

[Tabatabai’s Letter 66](#_Toc300585436)

[Another Letter to Muzaffar al-Deen Shah 67](#_Toc300585437)

[Muzaffar al-Deen Shah’s Reply 69](#_Toc300585438)

[Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani, the Valiant Crusader 70](#_Toc300585439)

[Brief Biography 70](#_Toc300585440)

[Chapter Three 72](#_Toc300585441)

[Shaikh Fazlullah Noori Martyr of Westernization and Modernization 72](#_Toc300585442)

[Beginning of Combat 73](#_Toc300585443)

[The Ulama’s Decisiveness and the Shah’s Surrender 74](#_Toc300585444)

[A Glance at the Learned Martyr’s Life 75](#_Toc300585445)

[British Plot to Deviate the Constitution Movement 77](#_Toc300585446)

[European Constitution or Religious Constitution 78](#_Toc300585447)

[Bills 79](#_Toc300585448)

[Resisting Atheists 80](#_Toc300585449)

[Futurism and Prediction of Doom 81](#_Toc300585450)

[Charges of Understanding with the Court 83](#_Toc300585451)

[A Life Sacrificed for Islam 84](#_Toc300585452)

[Accumulated Grudges 85](#_Toc300585453)

[Seventy Years After 86](#_Toc300585454)

[The Last Confession 86](#_Toc300585455)

[Chapter Four 88](#_Toc300585456)

[The Second Mirza Champion Crusader against Colonization 88](#_Toc300585457)

[Brief Biography 88](#_Toc300585458)

[The Position of Najaf 89](#_Toc300585459)

[Occupation by Foreign Forces 90](#_Toc300585460)

[View of Agha Buzurg Tehrani 91](#_Toc300585461)

[Late Sadr’s View 91](#_Toc300585462)

[A Moving Letter 93](#_Toc300585463)

[Funds for the Crusade 94](#_Toc300585464)

[The Second Letter 95](#_Toc300585465)

[Fellow-Fighters of the late Mirza 96](#_Toc300585466)

[The Martyrs of Virtue 97](#_Toc300585467)

[Colonizers’ Change of Tactics 98](#_Toc300585468)

[Chapter Five 101](#_Toc300585469)

[Modarress: The Famous Crusader 101](#_Toc300585470)

[His Years of Study 102](#_Toc300585471)

[Bamdad’s View 103](#_Toc300585472)

[In the School of Imam Ali (a.s.): 104](#_Toc300585473)

[His Autobiography 106](#_Toc300585474)

[His Political Life 107](#_Toc300585475)

[View of Ayatullah Taleqani 109](#_Toc300585476)

[Membership in Parliament 109](#_Toc300585477)

[His Moral Characteristics 110](#_Toc300585478)

[Earning a living 111](#_Toc300585479)

[End of It All 113](#_Toc300585480)

[Chapter Six 114](#_Toc300585481)

[Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani 114](#_Toc300585482)

[Chapter Seven 120](#_Toc300585483)

[Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Waaez and Malek al-Motakallemeen: Two Preachers and Crusading Martyrs 120](#_Toc300585484)

[Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Waaez Isfahani 122](#_Toc300585485)

[Malek al-Motakallemeen: The Fearless Orator 129](#_Toc300585486)

[Chapter Eight 135](#_Toc300585487)

[Extension of Ulama’s Combats Elsewhere: Backgrounds of the Great Iranian Revolution 135](#_Toc300585488)

[Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh 136](#_Toc300585489)

[Shaikh Abd al-Rahman Kawaakebi 138](#_Toc300585490)

[Shaikh Shaltoot, President of Al-Azhar University 139](#_Toc300585491)

[Chapter Nine 141](#_Toc300585492)

[The Movement of the Contemporary Ulama 141](#_Toc300585493)

[Ayatullah Kashani 143](#_Toc300585494)

[The Crusading Ground of the Contemporary Ulama in the Great Islamic Revolution of Iran 148](#_Toc300585495)

[Ayatullah Burujardi 153](#_Toc300585496)

[15th of Khordad, Birth of the Revolution 156](#_Toc300585497)

[Chapter Ten 171](#_Toc300585498)

[A Survey of a ‘Character-Building’ School of Thought 171](#_Toc300585499)

[The Humanistic and Revolutionary Charter of Qum’s Theological Centre 173](#_Toc300585500)

[Chapter Eleven 177](#_Toc300585501)

[Biography of Ayatullah Haaeri 177](#_Toc300585502)

[The Works and Services of Ayatullah Haaeri, Founder of the Theological Centre 181](#_Toc300585503)

[A Brief Account of His Character 182](#_Toc300585504)

[The View of Ayatullah Akhund Mulla Ali Ma’soomi 183](#_Toc300585505)

[Ayatullah Sayyed Shahab al-Deen Najafi Mar’ashi’s View 184](#_Toc300585506)

[Ayatullah Gulpaygani’s View 184](#_Toc300585507)

[The View of the Younger Ayatullah Haaeri in Regard to his Father and Teacher 185](#_Toc300585508)

[His Death after Fifteen Years’ Endeavour 187](#_Toc300585509)

[Chapter Twelve 191](#_Toc300585510)

[Period of Intermission and Directorship of Three Religious Authorities 191](#_Toc300585511)

[A Brief Biography Ayatullah Hujjat 191](#_Toc300585512)

[Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Taqi Khunsaari 193](#_Toc300585513)

[Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Sadr al-Deen Musawi 195](#_Toc300585514)

[His Character 195](#_Toc300585515)

[A Noble Household 195](#_Toc300585516)

[Chapter Thirteen 197](#_Toc300585517)

[The Academic Curriculum of Theological Students 197](#_Toc300585518)

[Table 1 198](#_Toc300585519)

[Extra-Curricular Studies 201](#_Toc300585520)

[Discussion, Not Lesson 201](#_Toc300585521)

[Some Factors Effective in Students’ Progress 202](#_Toc300585522)

[Chapter Fourteen 203](#_Toc300585523)

[Ayatullah Burujardi 203](#_Toc300585524)

[Ayatullah Burujardi’s Character 206](#_Toc300585525)

[Religious Ambassadors 208](#_Toc300585526)

[An Effective Telegram 209](#_Toc300585527)

[Two Unpublished Documents 210](#_Toc300585528)

[The Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam (Devotees of Islam) Movement 211](#_Toc300585529)

[The Last Assassination 215](#_Toc300585530)

[Chapter Fifteen 219](#_Toc300585531)

[Ayatullah Khomeini: Great Leader of the Islamic Revolution of Iran 219](#_Toc300585532)

[Ayatullah Khomeini’s Study Circle 221](#_Toc300585533)

[The Resumption of the Black Period 223](#_Toc300585534)

[Birth of a New Colonization 224](#_Toc300585535)

[Premiership of Dr. Ameeni 224](#_Toc300585536)

[Victory of the Shah over Ameeni 226](#_Toc300585537)

[The Shah’s Objectives 228](#_Toc300585538)

[The Shah’s Scheming 229](#_Toc300585539)

[Messages and Instruction of Ayatullah Khomeini to the Prime Minister in 1340 231](#_Toc300585540)

[The Country’s Spiritual Police 232](#_Toc300585541)

[The Ulama’s Demands 233](#_Toc300585542)

[Strengthening the Family Foundation 234](#_Toc300585543)

[A Pleasant Memory of the Interview 235](#_Toc300585544)

[The Year 1342 237](#_Toc300585545)

[The Open Letter of the Azerbaijan’s Learned 244](#_Toc300585546)

[An Interesting Account of the Letter 246](#_Toc300585547)

[The Year 1343 248](#_Toc300585548)

[Chapter Sixteen 253](#_Toc300585549)

[Historical Precedence of Qum’s Theological Centre 253](#_Toc300585550)

[Qum, a Ray of Light Projecting the Greatness of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s Descendants 254](#_Toc300585551)

[Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.) 256](#_Toc300585552)

[Qum, as a Sanctuary of the Imamate’s Household 256](#_Toc300585553)

[The City of Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.) 258](#_Toc300585554)

[The Bright Shrine 259](#_Toc300585555)

[The Effective Role in Founding the Religious Centre of Qum 261](#_Toc300585556)

[The Religious Centre of Qum and its Vestiges 262](#_Toc300585557)

[A University Bearing a Spiritual Record of 12th Centuries 262](#_Toc300585558)

[The Human and Revolutionary Charter of the Centre 263](#_Toc300585559)

[Famous Figures in Qum’s History 264](#_Toc300585560)

[Jurisprudents and Narrators 265](#_Toc300585561)

[Philosophers of Qum 267](#_Toc300585562)

[The Curriculum of Theological Centres 267](#_Toc300585563)

[The Academic Program of Divinity Students 268](#_Toc300585564)

[Extra-Curricular Studies 270](#_Toc300585565)

[Discussion, not teaching 270](#_Toc300585566)

[Factors of Students’ Progress 271](#_Toc300585567)

[Books and Libraries in the Theological Centre 271](#_Toc300585568)

[Maximum Usage 272](#_Toc300585569)

[500,000 Volumes 272](#_Toc300585570)

[Main Difficulty 275](#_Toc300585571)

[The Centre’s Schools, a Cradle for Breeding Great Personalities 276](#_Toc300585572)

[Qum’s Oldest Schools 277](#_Toc300585573)

[World Fame of Fayziah 278](#_Toc300585574)

[Best Wishes 280](#_Toc300585575)

[Commendable Living Conditions 281](#_Toc300585576)

[An Example of Those Trained in These Schools 281](#_Toc300585577)

[Chapter Seventeen 282](#_Toc300585578)

[Scholar Tabatabai: A Pioneer of Intellectual and Philosophical Transformation in the World of Islam 282](#_Toc300585579)

[Revivers of Thought 282](#_Toc300585580)

[A Zealous Personality 283](#_Toc300585581)

[Transformation in Philosophy and Metaphysics 284](#_Toc300585582)

[Famous Students 285](#_Toc300585583)

[A Great Commentator of the Holy Quran 285](#_Toc300585584)

[Tabatabai’s Autobiography 286](#_Toc300585585)

[Autobiography: 286](#_Toc300585586)

[Views of the Great Men of Jurisprudence on Scholar Tabatabai 290](#_Toc300585587)

[Office of Ayatullah Gulpaygani 290](#_Toc300585588)

[Ayatullah Najafi Mar’ashi 291](#_Toc300585589)

[Ayatullah Sayyed Abdullah Shirazi 291](#_Toc300585590)

[Ayatullah Muntazeri, the Exalted Jurisprudent 292](#_Toc300585591)

[Chapter Eighteen 293](#_Toc300585592)

[Publications of Qum’s Theological Centre 293](#_Toc300585593)

[300 Books in 16 Years 293](#_Toc300585594)

[Wide Range of Subjects 294](#_Toc300585595)

[Regular Publications of the Centre 294](#_Toc300585596)

[Press Units 295](#_Toc300585597)

[In Memory of the Martyrs of Qum’s Theological Centre 297](#_Toc300585598)

[Chapter Nineteen 300](#_Toc300585599)

[Other Theological Centres of Iranian Cities 300](#_Toc300585600)

[Religious Schools 300](#_Toc300585601)

[Approximate Figure of Divinity Students 303](#_Toc300585602)

[Financing the Centers 304](#_Toc300585603)

[Expenditure 304](#_Toc300585604)

[Sources 306](#_Toc300585605)

# Publisher’s Note

This book recounts the lives of some of the Ulama of Iran committed to the inspired and noble cause of Islam. It is first and foremost dedicated to their memory and to the spirit in which they lived and worked to finally attain the supreme status of martyrdom. The biographies contained herein give an account of the political and social struggles of the Ulama in the cause of Islam and should be a source of inspiration to all Muslim nations and oppressed masses of the world. It is an account of their undaunting and continued struggle, devotion and self-sacrifice to save their nations from the shackles of oppression and the moral quagmire into which they have fallen for too long now.

A number of books have been written on Islam and the savants of Islam by scholars for several years now and Western orientalists in particular have done a good deal of research and study pertaining to this subject. Their valuable efforts have unfortunately failed to generate the inspiration required for a vital regeneration of Islam and there are many reasons for this of which two stands out in particular.

First, their knowledge, information and understanding of the culture and theology of Islam have been bounded by their own points of view; a point that applies in particular to orientalists whose very consciousness has been formed through their grounding in a Western theological mould. Hence they fail to appreciate the deeper subtleties of Islamic thought and the depth and spirituality of Islam reflected in the lives of Muslim divines. To be able to appreciate and present impartially the truths of Islam in its multifaceted dimensions one has to live Islam and imbibe its very spirit. And this brings us to the second reason, namely that this lack of understanding in depth has led to distortions in presentation. These in many cases are due to a misunderstanding of facts, of the failure to see Islam in its entirety, but in other cases — and this is indeed most unforgiveable — due to a deliberate attempt to distort the religion and even conceal the real truths presented by Islam. Both these have been dangerous trends and have done more disservice than service to Islam as a religion.

A still more pernicious and dangerous trend than the simple distortions in books, is the use which Western and Eastern colonialists have made of these to keep the liberating and rejuvenating influences of Islam suppressed, because their assertion would have led to a liberation of Muslim peoples from the colonialist and exploitative shackles imposed upon them. A free and virile Islam poses the greatest challenge to oppression of every kind and its message of liberation for individual and society runs counter to the very credo of a colonialism that tries to subject both the minds and spirits of those it brings under its domination. No better way to do this than by corrupting, diluting and distorting the inner spirit of the religion. No wonder too that the so-called spiritual leaders of Muslims in colonialist countries have been called into service to do this work for their masters. Islam devalued to the status of a mere superstition with its challenging political and social meaning left out was an acceptable compromise to those who wished to keep the movement of Islam in check and so-called spiritual leaders who preached this brand of Islam were encouraged by them. Islam all in all was converted into a vapid and colourless religion devoid of real content in a challenging modern world where the power factor counts in domination and exploitation, particularly in the case of Muslims, of their rich material resources and their richer spiritual heritage.

With the victory of the Islamic Revolution in Iran, the world and particularly the arrogant power wielders began to realize that Islam was a force that could not be fettered and the ceaseless progress of this revolution, throwing to the winds the servile dependence on both East and West, proved this beyond a doubt. The Islamic Revolution of Iran began to make the voice of Islam heard once again and the leaders of the oppressed masses were quick to rally to the call.

Be they Muslim, or non-Muslim, readers of this book cannot fail to be impressed by the depth of inspiration that caused the Ulama, who have been in the vanguard of the Islamic Revolution in Iran, to give up their very lives in martyrdom for the sake of an enduring and eternal cause, the cause of Islam. By doing this they have not only challenged the very roots of world dominating imperialisms, but have also unlocked the treasures of a great tradition that is Islam, from a treasure chest which these colonialists and imperialists have secured away to gather dust in the backrooms of the civilizations of the world.

The world of Islam is now awake consequent to its jolting by the Islamic Revolution in Iran, but imperialisms too which are ever watchful and alert to the stirrings of this once sleeping giant, are doing all in their power to keep it rested and powerless to move. Still, no amount of filibustering, no show of force and none of the old temptations and allurements of a way of life alien to the great symphony of Islam can stop the movement which each day today gathers momentum on its way. With perseverance, self-sacrifice and Divine help all the intrigues to frustrate Islam can only come to nought and this has been shown no better than in Iran where the Ulama have stood forth as the leaders and directors of the movement.

The lives of the Ulama described in this book and the ways of their martyrdom bespeak the nobility of such tragic ends. It is hoped that the spiritual message that is reflected in their martyrdoms will find a responsive chord in the hearts of all Muslims and that they will realize that nothing can withhold the great spiritual message of Islam from breaking forth as the beacon of a future victory for the world: “Victory comes from Allah (s.w.t.) only, the All-Mighty, the All-Wise.”[[1]](#footnote-1)

International Relations Department

Islamic Propagation Organization

Chapter One

# Mirza Shirazi, Champion of Knowledge and Action

Looking at the struggles of the Ulama in the past century, the first name that strikes us is that of Ayatullah Mirza Muhammad Hasan Shirazi, an authority imitated by the world Shi’as, a man who lived under the despotic rule of Naseer al-Deen Shah of the Qajar dynasty. Not only was this champion of knowledge, this chaste personality, a social and political leader, a pioneer and founder of the last century, but he was also the driving force behind the deprived people’s struggles against internal despotism and external colonisation in Iran.

Thus, the awakening of Iranian Muslims at the beginning of the present Islamic century (14th century Hijra) in connection with western colonisation and the Superpowers of the time, especially Britain and Russia, is indebted to the efforts and alertness of this well informed, crusading scholar.

His revolutionary step in boycotting the tobacco concession to Britain by Iran, which concession would have brought Iran into line with British colonies, was not only a crushing blow to British colonisation, but it gave fresh impetus to the struggles against the self-cantered, despotic Qajar dynasty until the constitutional movement became successful and the tyrannical Qajar regime was overthrown.

Mirza Shirazi, a distinguished disciple of the late Shaikh Murtaza Ansari, founded and perfected jurisprudential principles in the last century, succeeding the late Saheb Jawaher as an authority to be imitated by the world Shi’as. Having completed his studies at Najaf, the renown centre of Shi’a learning, and having attained the exalted position of Mujtahed (an expert in Islamic Jurisprudence), he settled down in the town of Samarrah.

With remarkable foresight and planning, he soon established a centre of learning for the Shi’a and Sunni brethren which became a very fruitful centre in the world of Islam, training distinguished pupils who would later become the torch-bearers of knowledge and virtue and banner-carriers of crusade and combat in Islamic nations.

Among his most notable revolutionary services to the nation was his boycott of the tobacco concession at the height of the selfishness and unpatriotic ignorance of Naseer al-Deen Shah and his courtiers, which, according to the testimony of history, broke the back of the colonising powers.

In those black days, the unbearable tyranny of European colonisers in Islamic and oriental countries had reached such a point that the property assets, underground resources and natural wealth of these countries including Iran were divided among the powerful governments, paying only a trifling sum as hush-money to the kings, rulers and governors of the time.

With the extension and unfair influence of foreign colonisation in the Muslim eastern lands, Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabaadi was one of the first to sense this coming danger and the harm it might cause to Islam and the Muslims. He took it seriously, directing all efforts at combating it. One of his moves was to write moving and inciting letters to religious leaders of Iran and other countries, exposing the criminal and fearful consequences of western colonisation in the world of Islam and appealing for their help.

## Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen’s Letter

The letter that Sayyed Jamaal wrote to the exalted Shi’a authority, the late Mirza Shirazi, was decisive. For a better understanding and a more careful scrutiny of its content, we should turn back to the time when the tobacco concession was given to Britain, and give a brief explanation of it.

On the third visit of Naseer al-Deen Shah to Europe, which visit was planned and arranged by Britain, with the collaboration of Ameen al-Sultan and the courtiers, his chancellor, Zillullaah received some proposals from Britain concerning the granting of several new concessions, the most important of which was that of the tobacco concession by Iran.

Naseer al-Deen Shah who had undertaken this trip to Europe for revelry and pleasure was in great need of money to prolong his pleasure trip. Thus, he was highly tempted into receiving glittering English sovereigns and it did not take him long to submit to the British demands. In short, his courtiers including the puppet, unpatriotic chancellor, were promised bribes, and they persuaded the king to accept the British proposals so that in return, he could receive the money he so much needed for his stay.

To the selfish and ignorant Shah, it made no difference whether his country’s tobacco is in Iranian hands or monopolized by foreigners. His limited vision and short-sightedness prevented him from foreseeing the designs behind this plot. He failed to realise that the real goal in securing this, concession was not just to enable Britain to monopolize the tobacco market in Iran for profits, but rather to use this concession as a bridge or entry for a greater British domination in Iran, which could eventually be made one of its colonies.

Typical of its policy, the British government, having once secured the Shah’s assent, did not sign the agreement itself, but rather did so in the name of one of its nationals by the name of Major Talbot, resulting in the establishment of the private company called Regie. The British government in such cases did not involve itself, but preferred to make one of its nationals party to an agreement so that it could avoid being charged with plotting and abuse. But whenever the interests of such individuals or private companies and in reality its own interests were endangered, all at once, the British parliament and government would mobilize to redress the rights of that individual or the so-called private company, interfering directly in the country’s affairs, using pressure and even armed assaults and igniting bloody clashes.

In this way, Major Talbot entered the scene, and soon after the Shah’s return to Iran from Europe, he secretly arrived in Tehran and hiding in the British legation so as not to be seen unnecessarily, he continued his daily negotiations about the concession by offering gifts and shockingly huge bribes to the Shah, the chancellor and a few influential personalities and courtiers.

Within a short time, the said agreement was concluded as follows between the Iranian government and Major Talbot:

“The Decree of Tobacco Monopoly”

“We grant the right of purchase, production and sale of tobacco inside and outside the sovereign states of Iran to Major Talbot and his partners for fifty years as from the date of signing this monopoly, under the following conditions. Shah.”

“The following conditions” which were drawn up in fifteen articles and signed on 20th March 1840, completely severed the hand of the Iranian people and government from the tobacco crop of Iran, and placed it wholly at the disposal of Britain under colonising and exploitative conditions, so that the Iranian farmer was forced to sell his crop at a very low price to the Regie’ Company and then buy it back at an exorbitant rate imposed by the company even on condition that this company was willing to sell it to the Iranians.

All these privileges were granted to Britain in return for a trifling annual sum of fifteen thousand English pounds and a quarter of the net profit of the company to be paid into the government treasury, which in fact meant into the pocket of the Shah of Iran.

This concession gave Britain many other facilities like control over the farmers and access to provincial governors for whatever information about agricultural conditions in Iran. This latter concession brought agriculture completely under the bloody claws of imperialism and resulted in further colonisation and exploitation. The Iranian farmers were thus denied the right of sale and purchase of tobacco, cigars, cigarettes, and snuff, except with the written permit of the grantee. The company was also exempted from payment of custom duties and taxes on the imports of necessary equipment and tools, a privilege which opened Iranian custom frontiers uncontestably to the British, allowing them to import whatever they wished including even arms and munitions to shed the blood of innocent Iranians. The company was also given wide freedom in fixing the price of tobacco, and also the option of selecting an arbitrator whose decision about the price would be final. Obviously, such a person would automatically be a British puppet, or corrupt man who will be pressurized by them to make decisions which would harm the interests of the Iranian farmers and which would be to their own advantage.

The Iranian government had agreed not to increase custom duties and taxes on tobacco, cigars, cigarettes and snuff for a period of fifty years, and to severely punish anyone who sold these commodities without the company’s permission. The company was also allowed to transfer the concession to anyone else, whenever it wished, and in case of dispute between the Iranian government and the British company, the U.S. or German or Austrian minister residing in Tehran could act as arbitrator, and his decision would be acceptable to both parties.

It was following such an imposed, shameful, suffocating, colonialist agreement that the British began their task, bringing in their colonising forces disguised as the company’s staff, and settled each group in a town or province of Iran, dominating not only the farmers but also other citizens as well as provincial governors by Naseer al-Deen Shah’s order and decree, The streets of every town and city were soon infested by waves of foreigners, making an exhibition of their power. As says a writer: “Iran became a truly European market, especially Tehran where huge crowds of foreigners could be seen everywhere, whether it be in houses, shops, markets or alleys.

The tobacco issue was not the only thing that enraged the nation after the government announced the deal. Indeed, the acts and conduct of the British subjects and company employees further roused this dislike and outrage. No sooner had they reached Iran that they bought a costly garden for the company’s headquarters, located in the best part of the city at that time, which is now occupied by the treasury and Bank Melli buildings in Ferdowsi Avenue. Like a military fortress, it was fortified ail around with a large and strong building in the centre to impress and frighten the people.”

Their acts were however not confined to this. As per their colonial habits, they started treating the Iranians as colonial slaves, making obvious their real, wicked motive. The words of Shaikh Reza Zanjani, as quoted below, unveil this Machiavellian motive:

“In short, this foreign crowd, after arriving in Iran and scattering about the country, settling down in different parts, all at once and without waste of time, held the rein of the poor Muslims’ affairs and sowed their seeds.”

He adds: “Each one of these foreigners hired a number of Muslim men and women to work for him, especially the women, as highly paid servants and baby-sitters. Thus, in every street, a Muslim woman could be seen carrying a foreign baby, or followed by a child running behind her and calling her ‘Mama’. Obviously these oppressed Iranians were poor, otherwise they would not have submitted to such humiliation.”

The British were ready to go to any length for the inroad of their colonising forces in the garment of the company’s staff. Backed by the Iranian government, they started beating the Iranians harshly, disregarding national and religious sentiments, insulting the people’s beliefs and resorting to ruthless violence.

Shaikh Reza also writes: “Opposite the railway station of Shah Abd al-Azeem, (presently called Rey) before a Muslim crowd, a foreigner who should have paid tribute to Muslims but for the negligence of the government, gave a Muslim such a box on the ear that the poor man went deaf for some time. That foreigner, still not satisfied, began abusing that fellow before everybody, saying that he could take his complaint to any ‘bastard’ he liked. The poor Muslim, who knew what the foreigner’s position was, however dared not do so.”

Through the shameful conduct of these seemingly company staff, Great Britain aimed at exploiting the concession to make of Iran what it made of India and turn it into a colony. Rudeness and shamelessness reached such a peak that even the Shah’s brother, Abbas Mirza Mulk Ara, regarded the whole thing cynically and was aware of what was happening behind the scene. In his notes, he writes:

“The British came to Iran acting like a power which had conquered the country, sending agents to all parts, and hiring horsemen at high wages to carry out their orders and equipping them with modern weapons and fine horses. The company acted as an absolute authority and unconditionally. Finding no resistance and obstacles on their way, they enhanced their bullying, as if the government was in existent. Every day, orders were issued by government officials (who were bribed by the company) to the provincial governors to do their utmost in helping the company’s agents and promoting their affairs.”

Gradually, the people’s anger, roused by the shameful behaviour of the company’s staff, especially as the despotic rule of the Qajar dynasty had made itself felt even more, was moving towards a climax. Thus, the British colonisers through their actions, added fire to the gunpowder barrel.

## Uprisings in Tabrez and Shiraz

Popular outrage manifested itself at first in the two cities of Shiraz and Tabrez, and enraged crowds closed the city gates to the company and government agents. Though in Tabrez the latter proved no match for the outraged masses and were forced to surrender, in Shiraz, the problem took a different form owing to the power of the government there. When the people gathered to protest against the admission of the company officials and seeked help from the combative ulama of their city, the government pressurized the latter. So, when the governor of Shiraz asked the central government for instructions concerning the riot which had started in the city, the central authorities ignoring the wrath of the masses, made the stupid decision of ordering the exile of the great fighting and Muslim scholar, Sayyed Ali Akbar Faal Aseeri, who was a most popular religious leader of Shiraz, A few days later, this scholar was taken by surprise outside the city, and on the governor’s order, was kidnapped secretly and exiled to Iraq via the southern parts of Iran and Basrah.

It was thus that the first confrontation between despotism and colonization and the informed Ulama in the last century took place, and the first scholar exile was banished from Shiraz.

But the government and its agents were quite mistaken in supposing that this banishment would remedy the situation. In fact, the result proved to be quite the reverse. The exile of Sayyed Ali Akbar Faal Aseeri did not only fail to frighten people into submission, but it rather inflamed their rage which spread through the gates of Shiraz to other towns and even to the capital.

After the banishment of Sayyed Faal Aseeri, the Shiraz inhabitants, contrary to the government’s expectation that they would be tamed and return home, and that the city would become once more tranquil, became even more furious and continued the movement with even greater zeal gathering in the Holy shrine of Shah Cheragh and shouting so loudly as to make the authorities tremble with fear.

These riots were aimed at preventing the British agents from plundering the wealth and disgracing the honour of the Iranians in the garment of the company’s staff. But the outcome was quite the opposite. Not only were the crowds scattered by bullets, wounding and killing many, but the next day, in spite of this treacherous pogrom of defenceless people, the governor of Shiraz informed the company’s agents that now they could enter Shiraz without the slightest fear of the city dwellers.

The company’s agents, who had until that day remained outside the city for fear of the Shirazi inhabitants, and waiting for official permission to enter, now did so in relief. But from the streets beyond, shouts could still be heard, encouraging neighbours, friends and others to leave their houses and join the crowd at the Holy shrine of Shah Cheragh.

When this news reached the frightened authorities, they tried to find a way to check the probable danger of this riot, and thought of employing force and violence to scatter the crowd with the aid of their soldiers. Shaikh Muhammad Reza Zanjani writes in his book:

“The government of Shiraz has found no way to quell this riot except by using its wild and bloodthirsty horsemen of Baharloo tribe to scatter the crowd by shooting. These savage riders suddenly attacked the Muslim crowd and killed a number of them”.

Meanwhile, the government’s agents were welcomed most ceremoniously.

## The Tabrez Uprising

In Tabrez, the people were successful since they had already expressed their opposition to the tobacco concession agreement in telegrams to Naseer al-Deen Shah and now they closed the city gates to the company’s agents, and then rushed towards the palace of the crown prince who resided at Tabrez at that time, and started demonstrating.

Naseer al-Deen Shah was very frightened of the Tabrez movement. In those days, whenever the slightest cry was heard from any quarter, it was attributed to foreign intrigue and interference. No one believed that the Iranians had reached that state of alertness and maturity to raise objections and start movements without foreign guidance or incitement. So, whenever there occurred uproar in the northern parts, it was blamed upon the Russians, while any move made in the south was attributed to the British. But concerning the riot in Shiraz, it was out of place to consider it due to British interference, since this time the people had risen up against the British themselves. That is why the authorities at Shiraz attributed this riot to Faal Aseeri and banished him. But in the case of Tabrez, as Naseer al-Deen Shah thought that the Russians were behind it, he was frightened, and to appease the Russians, he asked the company’s agents to exempt Tabrez since it was a zone of Russian influence, and to abstain from entering the city.

## The Isfahan Uprising

At about the same time, the residents of Isfahan started a rebellion which was so intense that the late Agha Najafi issued an order prohibiting the use, buying and selling of narcotics, and his followers obeyed him.

The people of Isfahan led by their religious Ulama stood up against the government and dutifully carried out the order of their religious authority, Agha Najafi, The Company’s task was thus disturbed, and the administrators of the program were perplexed. The company asked the central government for instructions and the authorities sent a telegram to Agha Najafi, warning him to abstain from opposing the British company. On the other hand, the authorities at Shiraz were instructed to keep the people quiet and compel the Ulama to issue decrees for the consumption of tobacco, and in case of refusal, to suppress them by force.

In this connection, Zil al-Sultan, son of Naseer al-Deen Shah began to negotiate with the Isfahan’s Ulama, but without success. The latter kept on affirming their opposition to the concession and to the admission of British agents to Iran. The local government was instructed by the central authorities to molest the Ulama, who fell victim to such severe repression that they were compelled to flee the city.

One of the most respected and well-known scholar of Isfahan, Agha Muneer al-Deen whose life was threatened, was compelled to leave the city at night to escape the vigilance of government agents and go straight to Samarrah in Iraq to join Mirza Shirazi, the high religious authority of the Shi’as.

## Setting Property Ablaze

The people of Isfahan persisted in their resistance and following the verdict of their beloved scholar, they successfully prevented the sale and purchase of tobacco. Some merchants and wholesale dealers even divided their stock of tobacco amongst the poor instead of selling it. A famous merchant, who was not willing to deal with the British company, tricked the company very effectively. When the company realized that this man was not prepared to sell his stock to the company, it sent its officials to confiscate his tobacco stock. But this self-sacrificing merchant asked for twenty-four hours’ respite to place it at their disposal. The same night, with kerosene, he set fire to his stock consisting of twelve thousand bags of tobacco in order to keep his faith and religious conviction intact.

## Browne’s Statement

Edward Browne, the famous Orientalist writes about this merchant: “The magnanimity, patriotism, knowledge and faith of this self-sacrificing merchant are indescribable. With striking coolness, constancy and without any affectation, he set fire to a considerable capital to prove his opposition to monopoly and to fight against foreign influence in Iran, and to show that this company is opposed to Iranian interests and that all Muslims consider it incumbent upon them to carry out the order of their religious leaders”.

## The Tehran Uprising

In Tehran, the people’s uprising took a different form. If, in far and near towns, the people heard more than they saw of what ensued from the tobacco concession, in Tehran they saw everything and felt it close at hand. Here the Iranians were directly faced with large numbers of Englishmen who walked around, insulting them. They were close witnesses to the rude, despotic policy of the Shah, his threats of shelling the people, and the use of force by Ameen al-Sultan. These gave them a greater awareness of what was happening behind the scenes, and this awareness encouraged them to give a more zealous form to their movement.

What was worthy of notice in Tehran as in other towns was the leadership of the Ulama and their role in giving shape to the Islamic uprising and movement. In Tehran, the residence of Mirza Hasan Ashtiani, a popular and respected Ulama, became the meeting place of the people, witnessing their zeal and wrathful excitement. From the very first day that they gathered there to express their rage at the granting of monopoly to the foreigners Mirza Ashtiani repeatedly contacted the Shah and Ameen al-Sultan, discussing the abrogation of this concession. But no notice was taken of his proposals, and when everyone realized that Naseer al-Deen Shah and Ameen al-Sultan would not budge and had no intention of satisfying the people’s demands, their only alternative was to appeal in writing to the great Shi’a authority, Mirza Shirazi. Thus the religious leaders of Tehran, after mutual consultation and advice of Mirza Ashtiani and the late Sayyed Ali Akbar Tafreshi wrote a letter addressed to Mirza Shirazi requesting a solution.

This letter soon reached Mirza Shirazi at Samarrah. Moreover Sayyed Ali Akbar Faal Aseeri who had been exiled to Samarrah by the Shiraz authorities, and Muneer al-Deen, an Isfahan scholar who had left that town owing to the pressure of Zil al-Sultan joined Mirza Shirazi. So did a number of Ulama and others who had been treated unjustly during these events.

All these people were warmly welcomed by Mirza Shirazi. It was enough for this exalted Shi’a authority to realise the seriousness of the situation in Tehran and other towns. Meanwhile he received numerous letters complaining about conditions in Iran, and asking for a remedy. It was in these conditions that Sayyed Jamaal’s letter arrived.

## Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi’s Letter

Sayyed Jamaal, that great fighter and reformer, wrote a long and eloquent letter to Mirza Shirazi and gave it to Faal Aseeri in Basrah to deliver to that great religious leader.

The following is a summary of the letter which was written in Arabic originally:

“In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Beneficent. O, Leader of Religion! Bright light of the Imam’s rays! Centre of Faith! Eloquent tongue of the Creed! Reverend Haj Mirza Hasan Shirazi, may God preserve the realm of Islam with him, and may his presence destroy the wicked plots of mean infidels.

This letter conveys the humble request of the Islamic nation to the threshold of its exalted leaders.

O leader of religion! God has set up the throne of your rule in people’s hearts and intellects in order to reinforce the pillar of justice, and illuminate the direct path. But in return for this greatness, superiority and sovereignty over the hearts and intellects that God has granted you, you have also been entrusted with the duty to maintain and defend the world of Islam to the extent that in time of need, even in the tradition of former leaders, you may receive the blessing of martyrdom, too……This distressed nation of Islam has turned its eyes to you in every calamity, and considers its happiness, liberation and salvation to be in your hand. In such a case, if you leave the nation to itself, and heed it not, their thoughts will be confused, their hearts trembling, and the foundation of their faith weakened. For, if the religious leaders and sages neglect their duties, and show weakness in enjoining good and forbidding evil, the masses of people will indulge in doubt and suspicion and deviate from the direct path.

## Difficulties of the Iranian Nation

The Iranian nation is now facing many difficulties and hardships which have empowered the infidels to dominate the Islamic nation, hardships which have enabled a handful of faithless foreigners to encroach over the rights of Muslims. But in such a situation, the Muslim nation, who’s all hope is on you, see that you are silent and do not hasten to their aid in spite of the great responsibility which is entrusted to you.

These people are justified in asking each other why the Reverend Ayatullah has kept silent over these happenings, and how is it that he has disregarded the faith and the believers and abandoned the Muslim nation helplessly to infidels, to let the latter do as they wished to them.

Truth must be told. You are the Shi’a chief, and you have breathed life into the body of each member of this Ummah. No one can rise except under your protection to save this nation, and this nation has confidence in no one but you.

O great leader of religion! Naseer al-Deen Shah of Iran is an indolent and malevolent man whose mind is weak, and he has adopted violence and maltreatment towards the people. Being incapable of managing the country and protecting public interests, he has entrusted the rein of affairs to a mean, wicked and malevolent fellow who is the enemy of Islam. He abuses God’s prophets publicly, and he neither obeys the injunctions of religion, nor respects its leaders. He slanders the Ulama and levels obscene accusations at virtuous people. He insults the descendants of the Prophet and treats preachers badly. Since his return from Europe, he has abandoned all shame and decency, assuming perversity, drinking recklessly, befriending infidels and acting hostilely towards the good Muslim people. Of course, these are his private concerns, but what he has done publicly to the Muslims’ detriment is to sell a great part of the country and its revenue to the enemies of the nation, and religion, an account of which follows:

1) The mines and roads leading to them and from them to important points in the country.

2) The caravanserais by paved roads in the whole country including the fields and gardens alongside them.

3) The river Karoun and all the rest homes on both its banks up to its source, as well as the pastures.

4) The Ahwaz-Tehran road and whatever there was on either side of it, including buildings, rest homes, orchards and fields.

5) Tobacco and whatever connected to it, such as plantations, guards’ huts, and dwellings of those in charge of transport and sale agents anywhere and everywhere.

6) Gathering grapes for wine-making, handling over the country’s Shops and factories to the enemies to satisfy this need.

7) Soap, candle, sugar and the factories concerned.

8) The bank, if you know what it is. It means handing over the financial affairs of the nation wholesale to the enemies of Islam, enslaving the Muslims and giving the infidels dominion and lordship over them.

Even then, this foolish traitor has offered a futile excuse to satisfy the nation about his futile deeds, saying: “These are temporary agreements lasting no more than 200 years.” O, God what evidence is better than this to defame traitors?

He has given the other half of the country as hush-money to the Russian government, including Mordabe Rasht, and Anzali way as far as Khorasaan, as well as all the houses, rest homes, and gardens alongside it. But the Russian government, offended with this gift, has refused it, since it is waiting for this agreement to be annulled, and if this is not done, it intends to make Khorasaan its colony and lay hands on Azarbaijan and Mazandaran.

Yes, this is the first consequence of this fool’s rule. In short, this wicked man has put the country on auction, selling the houses of Muhammad in Islamic lands to foreigners, and owing to his mean and base nature, he is prepared to sell at a trifling price.

## End of the Letter

“And now, O leader of religion! If you do not hasten to help the nation and do not unite all and with your power do not snatch the country out of the clutches of these sinful ones, before long, this Islamic land will be under foreign control and influence. If this opportunity is lost and these agreements are enforced in your life-time, you will not leave behind a good name in history and to humanity. You know well that religious leaders of Iran are deeply grieved and are only waiting for a word from you.

How can it be permissible for a person who has been granted such a power by God, to leave this nation and country in such a state? You have a great influence on them because of your ability and effective words, and you can unite their dispersed hearts, and eliminate all differences. The scattered forces of the people will gradually get together through you, and a word from you will unite all. Therefore, everything depends on you and you will be responsible before God and the people.”

Jamaal al-Deen al-Husaini

With Salutations to you and God’s Blessing

## A Practical Answer

At last Mirza Shirazi after learning what was happening in Iran began to take steps in opposing the tobacco concession. At that time, seventeen months had passed since the agreement was signed allowing the British to bring their influence and domination over Iran, and now on 19th Zilhajj 1308 of the Hijrah, Mirza Shirazi sent a telegram to Naseer al-Deen Shah through his regent, Kamran al-Saltanah. In this telegram, the great Shi’a authority respectfully requested Naseer al-Deen Shah to comply with the following:

Firstly, to take immediate steps for the abrogation of the tobacco concession which in several respects was contrary to the explicit text of the Holy Quran and Divine honour, humiliating to government independence, disrupting order in the country and causing distress to the nation.

Secondly the Ulama who had been treated unjustly and disrespectfully in these proceedings, especially the Reverend Haj Sayyed Ali Akbar Faal Aseeri, “may God Almighty preserve him”, who has taken refuge at this Holy place of Samarrah, should receive the royal favour as amends for the affront committed to him.

## Ignoring the Telegram

After receiving the telegram, Naseer al-Deen Shah paid no attention to it, and did not even allow anyone but himself, Ameen al-Sultan and a few of those close to him to know about it. The policy of the Shah and Ameen al-Sultan was to diminish people’s excitement and frenzy by procrastination and by leaving the telegram unanswered, thinking that people would gradually become indifferent enough to yield to what had been done, and stop complaining. But they could no longer be tricked and did not give up. Every day they showed a greater zeal and interest, and wrote more and more moving letters to Mirza Shirazi about conditions in Iran and British oppression there, requesting him to take a more serious step to save the people from this corrupt regime.

This time Mirza Shirazi sent Naseer al-Deen Shah another letter through the regent, Kamran Mirza, which unlike the former letter had a sharper and a more aggressive tone.

This letter, too, was answered with silence, and only a brief telegram was sent to Mirza Shirazi to the effect that the Shah has instructed Ameen al-Sultan to send a reply which would prove that the government has never sold the independence, honour, and freedom of its subjects to others, but has always endeavoured and will do the same in future, to preserve the independence of the country, if God wills.

The text of the telegram showed that the answer to Mirza Shirazi’s letter was postponed to a later time which was not definite, and this was quite obvious to him.

Meanwhile, government agents exerted greater pressure on the people and did not hesitate even for a moment, to beat, insult and imprison them. All this was recounted in writing to Mirza in a painful and fiery language. The people and religious leaders of Isfahan, Shiraz and Tehran constantly asked Mirza to take his final step as soon as possible, when it was suddenly announced that he had already banned the consumption of tobacco, an act which was a bombshell, condemning the Shah and the royalists.

The text of Mirza’s decree was brief, decisive and unhesitating like a firm rock:

“In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Beneficent. The consumption of tobacco in any form is equivalent to combat with Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), May God Hasten his appearance. Written by the humble Muhammad Hasan al-Husaini.”

## People’s Reaction

The moment this brief but final decree of prohibition reached Tehran, the news created uproar in the city, like an explosion, and its report spread everywhere within two hours. Ameen al-Sultan and Kamran Mirza, the regent, on hearing of it were so frightened that they tried to check its spread in Tehran. So, they sent government agents to the streets to tear up and destroy every copy of the decree. But the people had been roused and within a short time many handwritten copies of it were prepared by the literate and passed on. Preachers came into the streets to read the text aloud for the people. Thus in a short time, everyone knew of it and in all devotion telegraphed the text to other big and small towns, and before the day was over it became known to the whole country.

Shaikh Zanjani says in this connection:

“This strong decree which lent itself to general obedience impressed the hearts so deeply that all classes of people in the capital, men and women, literate and illiterate, rich and poor, casting differences aside; assembled in full obedience to it, and by Friday evening, no tobacco smoke rose in the whole country.”

As a result of this verdict, tobacconists did not only close down their shops, and threw away tobacco packages, but even in the royal harem, no pipe, or hubble-bubble could be found, and the women there had ordered the eunuchs and servants to break the tops of these instruments (hookkaas) and heap up the pieces outside the royal bed-chamber.

## Anees al-Dawlah’s Sharp Retort

That day, when Naseer al-Deen Shah entered the chamber of Anees al-Dawlah, his queen and great favourite, he saw the maids under the queen’s supervision loosening the silver tops of the hookkaas to hide them somewhere. Worried, the Shah asked her why is that so, she answered because hookkaa smoking had been banned. The Shah angrily asked who had forbidden it. She answered: “The same person who had declared me legitimate for you”.

When Naseer al-Deen Shah realised that this ban had penetrated the depth of the people’s minds and had further spread to his harem, that it could not be checked, fearing that further talk would not be wise and might mean disrespect to him by the queen, he left the chamber in silence, and from that day on, he dared not ask his servants for a nargileh.

## Islamic Discipline

When the tobacco ban was respected with astonishing discipline by the people, government authorities trembled with fear, and Ameen al-Sultan as the brain of the royal court, decided to break up this prohibition by rumours and lies. He was helped in this by government agents and they spread the rumour in the city that no such decree had been issued by Mirza and that the whole affair was made up.

On hearing these rumours, the people rushed to the telegraph office to make direct inquiries from Mirza himself. But when they reached the place, they found that the telegraph office was occupied by government agents as a precautionary measure, preventing every despatch of telegrams under false excuses, and thus preventing any direct contact with Mirza.

## The Pseudo-Ulama

This controlling of telegram despatches was in itself sufficient evidence that all the rumours were false. But the matter assumed a different form, when Ameen al-Sultan and the Regie company chiefs paid a large sum as bribe to some of the ‘self-sold’ pseudo-Ulama, asking them to issue a decree opposing that of Mirza’s. Such mullahs who were attached to the court (a usual-practice in that period) spread the rumour in pulpits and other public places that the first decree was false and forged by a tobacco merchant called Malek al-Tujjaar, whose interests were at stake. To fan up this rumour, the government kid-napped this merchant and banished him to Qazvin to be kept hidden there by the officials, away from the people’s sight.

But none of these plots proved effective since trusted and respected Ulama everywhere showed that the rumours spread by the government were mere fabrications.

Meanwhile, the government tried hard to win over some of the true and honest Ulama in order to abrogate the decree of prohibition, but their only answer was that the decree was issued by the great religious authority and only he could abrogate it. Therefore, the government will revenge, resorted to severe repression of the Ulama, especially Mirza Ashtiani, the distinguished and respected Ulama of Tehran.

Meanwhile, the people reciprocated by sticking a notice on city-walls declaring a crusade against the government and foreign residents of Iran.

The text of this ran as follows:

“According to the decree of the Reverend Hujjat al-Islam Mirza, if the tobacco concession is not abrogated within forty eight hours, next Monday will be the day of crusade. People, get ready”

In view of the prevailing atmosphere and people’s readiness, this announcement spread so quickly in the city, causing so much excitement that many of the residents in Tehran secured firearms for themselves the same day, so that on the appointed day and hour, they would emerge from their houses, well-equipped.

Naseer al-Deen Shah, the regent, the government and its officials as well as the company’s agents very quickly learnt of this announcement. But while the people cast away all hesitation and fear, feverishly preparing for fight, the royalists, trembling with fear, tried futilely to find a solution.

Matters reached such a height that the despotic, ignorant Shah, who had even refused to send a reply to the letter of the great Shi’a authority under various pretexts, and had resorted to many days’ and weeks’ delay, now appealed to the Ulama and in all haste wrote a letter to Mirza Ashtiani.

The Shah wrote this letter himself, apologizing for having mal-treated him, expressing repentance, and asking for his help in quelling the public movement and riot. He concluded his imploring letter in his customary ignorant way by complaining of the pressure by the Ulama on him and on the government and ending with some warnings and threats.

The fear of Naseer al-Deen Shah and the government was mainly due to their realisation that the people’s uprising was gradually taking a different form. The question was no longer confined to the tobacco issue and the British company and its exploitation of the Iranians. The movement was on its way to protest against the despotism of the Shah, the court and government towards the nation and use it for its culmination.

Thus the government did not feel the atmosphere safe and secure, even for its own agents and servants, and in such a condition it naturally expressed its inability to safeguard the interests of the company’s officials. When the company directors realised the incapacity of the government before public rage, they began to think of their own safety. It was on this matter that Shaikh Zanjani wrote in his historical book “The Europeans residing in the capital, fearing the Islamic nation and anxious about the first decree of the Reverend Ayatullah, in spite of the assurance given by the Ulama, fled in terror from the capital at night, some of them disguised in women’s Islamic clothes which they had besought their Muslim employees to lend and those who remained behind hid themselves so completely that no sign of the Europeans was seen anywhere”.

## Diplomatic Manoeuvres

The seriousness of the situation resulted in protests to the British company which had caused this riot, by European ministers and diplomats in Iran who dared not appear in the streets now, asking for a solution to the problem.

As a result, Earnestine, the company director was compelled to refer to Ameen al-Sultan asking him to quell the riot and either solves the tobacco problem, or pays the damages stipulated in the agreement and abrogates it.

Ameen al-Sultan, who had no wish to pay back the bribe he had received from the English for this agreement, although he had no confidence in his ability to quell the movement and riot, agreed and undertook to do so, hoping to resolve it with cunning and trickery.

The first alternative which came to his mind was to free himself somehow from the influence of the honest Ulama, and rely on self-sold pseudo-Ulama. So, he summoned one of the court mullahs. This mullah (whose name is not mentioned by most historians, plays a sly role in this matter) as described in the book, “Tobacco Ban”, pays a visit on Ameen al-Sultan’s instruction to the British minister and company director, introducing himself as a religious leader of the first rank in Iran, and claiming that he can have the prohibition decree abrogated and quell the riot, on condition that the company director asks the Shah and Ameen al-Sultan to banish from Tehran those who were opposed to him, including Mirza Ashtiani, and this turbaned court servant receives as reward for his labour three thousand Tomas which was considered a large sum at that time.

The matter was at once reported to Ameen al-Sultan by the company director and then to the Shah by the former. The Shah who, a few days before, had implored and threatened Mirza for help through his letter, even though he was not so happy and even feared to comply with Ameen al-Sukan’s request, eventually gave his agreement in order to pacify the British. He wrote a letter himself to Kamran Mirza, the regent, asking him to put pressure on Mirza Ashtiani so that he climbs the pulpit and publicly smokes the hookkaa; threatening that otherwise he would be banished from the city.

On the other hand, to increase strict measures, Agha Bala Khan Mo’in Nezam who was in charge of the city disciplinary affairs, was ordered to re-open by force the tea-houses which had been closed on account of the tobacco ban, and to break their doors open if necessary, and force in a number of people and make them smoke pipes and hubble-bubble publicly, ignoring the prohibition decree, and in case of refusal, to kill them.

These extreme orders, instead of frightening the people, encouraged them to cake a firmer and more reckless stand against these violent measures. Moreover, Abdullah Khan, the governor, visited Mirza Ashtiani, to carry out the Shah’s order. Mirza answered that as the tobacco ban had been issued by the great world Shi’a authority, no one had the right to abrogate it, and it would be impossible for him to act contrary to it; but he would comply with the second order and leave the city on condition of being given one day’s respite (Monday 3rd Jamadi al-Saani 1309 of the Hijrah) to prepare himself for the journey.

But in this twenty-four hours’ respite, everything was transformed, and before sunset of the same day, all the citizens had heard of the ultimatum and were extremely enraged. The news spread so quickly that everyone in various parts of the city talked about it, and the people prepared themselves for a valiant resistance against Mirza’s banishment even at the price of self-sacrifice and bloodshed.

Ameen al-Sultan, who had issued the order to the governor of Tehran for the banishment of Mirza, supposed that he could in this way silence the people and peace would be restored in the city. But contrary to his supposition, it did not only fail to pacify the people but only resulted in a more violent uproar and a greater boldness, According to various historians: “The whole of Sunday night was spent by all people and classes discussing this banishment, and many decided to leave the city next day in the company of Mirza.”

What was surprising and moving in this riot was the active and direct participation of the women of Tehran for the first time in a show of power of the oppressed. This magnificent display which was taking place for the first time in Iranian history, shook the foundation of the Shah’s despotic rule, for, the women did not only take part in this movement, but they also acted as leaders in many quarters of Tehran and showed such ability that the men found it more effective in some phases of the proceedings to let them lead.

## Report on Public Sentiments, in Particular the Women’s

Shaikh Zanjani who has been a participant in the events and an eye-witness to women’s activities in them, and has written one of the most reliable historical books about them writes:

On Monday morning, the 3rd of Jamadi al-Saani, at first, religious leaders and scholars of the capital, from every district, set off in groups for Sangelaj quarter where he (Mirza Ashtiani) resided. The number gradually increased. Most of the shops and markets were closed and people gathered in groups moving towards his house. By noon a large crowd had assembled there when many groups of women joined them. The first step taken by the women was to go to the markets and whenever they saw an open shop, had it closed. Soon, not a single shop could be found open. After that, they smothered their scarves with mud and with shouts and cries set off for the government citadel.

The men, too, with shouts, tears and cries of ‘Alas for Islam’ followed the women’s procession, and in such a frightening and grievous state, they gathered at the citadel square, a sight the like of which could not have been believed if it was not witnessed.

These women who went ahead called the king ‘Shah-baji’ and ‘moustached Shah-baji’ and began to abuse him, using all kinds of obscene words and insults, and this was followed by general cries of ‘O Ali’, ‘O Husain’, and once more the insults were repeated. Such uproar frightened the government officials and especially the royal court.

Naseer al-Deen Shah ordered at once to guard the royal residence, and the guns were taken out and placed at various entrances of the building. Rifles were distributed to all the soldiers in the capital and they were told to be armed and ready for action and defence.

The Regent emerged in anxiety hoping to pacify the insurgents and assure them of government favour. The women casting aside all decency, began showering brazen insults upon him, sparing no abuse, curses, charges, and damnations for those dead and alive of the authorities, thus satisfying their burning hearts. They shouted: “They are robbing us of our religion. They are banishing our Ulama so that Europeans act as clergymen in our marriage and foreigners perform burial rites for us”.

“The Regent perplexed, tried to appease the women gently, saying: ‘We will expel the foreigners, and will not let the Ulama leave the city. Be assured and abstain from making so much noise”. But this was of no avail, and they continued with their insults.

## In the Shah Mosque

The women then returned from the citadel and went to the Shah Mosque, where as it happened, the Friday prayer Imam was on the pulpit busy preaching and threatening, hoping thereby to quell the riot. Hearing his words, the women rushed in and with shouts and cries, abused the Imam till they were exhausted, pulling him down from the pulpit in disgrace, and once more proceeded to the citadel. The crowd was so dense that the streets, markets and the citadel square were completely full of men and women, and the way was wholly blocked to passers-by, all the people shouting the slogans of ‘Alas for Islam’, ‘O Ali’, ‘O Husain.’

It can be imagined how frightened the officials and government authorities were, especially since the agent of the concession, to absolve himself from all accusation of being the cause of this dangerous tumult, had distracted the king from the real issue, and had presented this riot as an attempt and a demand by the Ulama for a republic, saying that these people have been seeking for many years an opportunity to incite people to rise up against the government and now they have used this tobacco issue as a pretext to promote their aim. So their sole purpose is to oppose the Shah himself and to over-throw kingship.

## Events Following Ashtiani’s Banishment

Shaikh Zanjani writes about the events which followed the news of Mirza Ashtiani’s banishment and the masses’ efforts to prevent the execution of the Shah’s unjust order:

At this time, Abdullah Khan Vali, titled Mo’atamid al-Sultan, anxiously and with much difficulty found his way to the revered religious leader to deliver the Shah’s message, saying:

His Majesty enquires what you desire, so that it would be carried out at once, He answered: “We demand nothing but the abrogation of the concession at home and abroad altogether, and putting an end to foreign interference”. Abdullah Khan hurriedly reported the message to the Shah who immediately wrote back to the Regent, and Abdullah Khan returned to show the letter to the religious leader.

When Naseer al-Deen Shah was informed that the crowd and its uproar was increasing and there was no way of controlling it, he yielded. But the people would not remain satisfied with this and demanded much more. Eventually when the Shah’s letter to the Regent was shown to Mirza, he ordered it to be read to the people. The text of the letter follows:

“Regent! We had already cancelled the internal concession, and now we cancel the external one. The people are not compelled to smoke Nargileh until order is given by Mirza Shirazi”.

But it was too late now to retreat, and even reading such a letter did not abate the people’s rage, especially as the women who had risen up for the first time to claim their human rights had been so successful in making the despotic Qajar King surrender and abrogate his previous orders. They were so intoxicated with the sweet taste of victory, won by the unity and solidarity of a general movement, that they had no intention of giving up.

It was in such an atmosphere that Abdullah Khan Vali, in great anxiety and distress, hastened to meet Mirza Ashtiani to hand him the written decree of Ameen al-Sultan, the Chancellor, to the effect that the tobacco concession to foreigners had been annulled, and supposing that this decree would solve all the problems, he left Mirza and set off for his office. He had to pass through crowds of angry men and women, and in reaching the women who were shouting and making a frightful row; he lost patience and began to scold them. Hardly had he finished that the women rushed upon him and kicked and beat him with their fists to unconsciousness, some minutes after, he and Majd al-Dawlah who had come to quell the uproar went to Mirza’s house.

In order to conciliate the insurgents, Mo’atamid al-Sultan said that he had come to see if the religious leaders were safe and unhurt. But again, on his way, he was caught by an angry crowd of women and received many blows from them. So when he wanted to return from Mirza’s house, he begged the latter to let a scholar accompany him to the Shah’s presence so that the king would hear the matters direct from Mirza’s deputy.

Shaikh Zanjani again writes about this as follows:

At last, although no one was inclined to perform this task, he insisted on taking Agha Ali Akbar, son of Agha Jamal with him and returned. So, this time, as a sign of respect to the Ulama, the people did not maltreat Abdullah Khan, and Majd al-Dawlah left them to talk with the Regent who had left the citadel intending to reach Mirza’s house in order to quell the riot by a safe route. Suddenly, out of the crowd, a Sayyed emerged, sword in hand and followed by a number of others, rushed upon the Regent. On seeing this from a distance, he hastily tried to return to the citadel, but the crowd rushed on and nearing him, the Sayyed threw his sword at him. Nayeb Mahmood, a servant of the Regent, parried the sword with his staff, preventing it from striking his master, who in great fear, slipped in the mud and fell down. His servants surrounded him at once and carried him to a saddleless horse so that he could be safely moved to the building. They then closed the gates and when the Regent was carried inside, he fell down unconscious.

“The insurgents outside began to throw stones and broke most of the lamps and window panes of the building overlooking the street, and some of them boldly rushed in towards the private section of the building”.

The courtiers were frightened and were absolutely perplexed. At last, Mo’in Nezam, a hard-hearted and devoted courtier hurriedly went to the Turkish troops who had taken positions in the building and ordered them to shoot at the crowd. But these patriotic soldiers replied that they would not commit such a mean and disgraceful act, namely to shoot at defenceless men and women.

Mo’in Nezam who was more and more inflamed, despairing of the Turkish troops, turned to the Regent’s private guards who were notorious for their wickedness and malice and were consequently nicknamed ‘bastards’, and ordered them to shoot, irrespective of women or children.

Shaikh Zanjani writes about this incident: These ‘bastards’ suddenly opened fire on the whole crowd of men and women, old and young, and made these suffering people who had hopefully turned to the government, and had taken their grievances to the Shah’s asking for his favour, a prey and target of their rifles. They dropped down like autumn leaves. The exact number of those who were killed and released from this life of misery was not clear. As soon as the people dispersed, they took steps to prevent the bodies from being carried outside since this would incite the people to a greater rebellion and mutiny.

“Though it is beyond the scope of this brief pamphlet to give the full details of the events, yet to give a picture of the chaos and terror which followed in the capital, I only mention that on witnessing the sorry conditions of the dead, the masses were so madden that no one showed the slightest fear of guns and bullets, and no one wished for anything but death and rushed upon the citadel”.

This display of power for the first time by the women under the leadership of the progressive Ulama, a reckoning power which had been hidden before within the four walls of houses, opened the eyes of the men, especially those of the royal court. Though this exhibition resulted in the death of a few on that fateful Monday when Mirza Ashtiani was to be banished, yet it ended gloriously and left its effect on history, since not only did the order for the banishment of the exalted and well-known scholar of Tehran lose its validity after this rebellion, but also, following the decree of the Shi’a religious authority, the people succeeded in obtaining the abrogation of the tobacco concession.

The Shah who was not in the least inclined to yield in this matter and for the same reason showed so much rude obstinacy, was eventually compelled to show submission in spite of the British claim for damages, and yield to the nation’s demand.

The British had threatened that if the tobacco concession was annulled, they would claim 50,000 pounds as damages, and now that the concession was abrogated, they made this claim formally and seriously. As Naseer al-Deen Shah did not have the necessary fund to pay this heavy damage, he was forced to take a loan from the Shahanshahi Bank which was a British bank at an interest rate of six precepts. Thus in addition to the losses caused by the tobacco ban and the closing of shops and markets, as well as the disturbances and deaths and their economic effects on the society and royal treasury, the country emerged from this perilous affair not only without obtaining any profit from this concession, but was also forced to pay damages for many years to come.

But anyhow, the abrogation of the concession was the only remaining alternative for saving our country and nation; since in view of the policy adopted by Britain for extending its colonies in various parts of the world, in Iran, too, after the concession, it was about to turn Iran into one of its numerous colonies. Had it not been for the alertness and awareness of the progressive and fighting Ulama, their opportune steps, the unsparing support of them by the deprived to the extent of self-sacrifice, Iran might in a short time, have been placed on the geographical map as a British colony, the number of which was considerable in those days.

In this way, the tobacco movement which was only a protest at first against British suppression and tyranny, garbed in the dress of the Regie company employees in Iran, gradually gave place to an extensive crusade against foreign colonisation and internal despotism, a conflict which took shape under the guidance and leadership of the progressive and fighting Ulama.

With the commencement of the movement, the root of British colonisation dried up, and with its continuation a few years later, the great constitutional movement was born with the purpose of burning away the root of internal despotism.

Chapter Two

# Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi

In the ups and downs of the Ulama’s struggle against despotism and colonization, we come across many bright and wonderful names among which are those which are not only brilliant, but serve as a turning point in history and as a founder of a school of thought and pioneer of a new idea in our memories.



Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi is one of these names. He is considered one of the most important and effective pioneers of the awakening in the east, in the darkest period of the deprived nations of this region. He is remembered as an indefatigable fighter for the Islamic renaissance of the last century and a most staunch supporter and founder of the unity of Islamic nations, and a true and capable combatant for the revival of the former greatness and glory of Islam and Islamic nations in our history.

It must be said here that many contradictory statements have been uttered about this prodigy of the east which have thrown the distinctive personality of this fighting, indefatigable and self-sacrificing scholar into a mist of ambiguity and sometimes of accusation.

Now, ninety years have passed since Sayyed Jamaal’s death. Owing to his amazing personality, and his wholly venturesome spirit, his new and unheard of thoughts and views, which were hope-inspiring for the deprived people, and fearful for the selfish agents of despotism and colonization, during this period that has passed since his death, many books, pamphlets, articles and reports have been written and published concerning his life and political ideas. The number of such written books and pamphlets which are in Persian, Arabic, Afghani, Turkish and English is more than hundreds, and if we include the short and long articles printed in newspapers and journals, the number goes beyond several thousands.

But it is surprising and unfortunate, that despite all these writings, his real character is not yet recognized and the ambiguity about this adventurous and amazing personality has not been removed.

## A Fabulous Personality

A great number of historians and investigators have heartily praised him in their writings and have considered his services and activities most effective and useful in awakening the eastern nations, in planting the tree of freedom in their minds and watering it with steadfastness and self-sacrifice, thereby changing the course of history. But there are others too, especially in recent years, who have not only violently opposed him, but have also disgraced him with their baseless accusations and have tried to give a mysterious, complex and harmful picture of his revolutionary character.

There is no need to point out that it is quite natural to express such opinions about great historical, political, social and scientific personages, for, no figure can be found in history who had won such a wide reputation as Sayyed Jamaal and had attracted public attention from a scientific, political, social and even literary viewpoint without becoming the target of the prejudiced, rancorous and the envious.

Nevertheless, what reveals to facts about such personalities is an impartial and unprejudiced survey of their thoughts, deeds, struggles and their impact on their contemporary society as well as the changes brought about in the existing conditions of their time and even after their death?

In these pages, an attempt is made to make use of undeniable evidence and documents which would be necessary for a proper understanding and to give a logical judgement about the course of the Ulama’s struggle with despotism.

This crusading scholar rose up in the darkest era of the life of eastern nations, at the time when the tyrannical domination of colonization was rampant in Islamic nations and at the height of conflicting international thoughts, and defended Islam and its authentic principles against various materialistic, political and philosophical Schools of thought.

What gives a particular value and credit to Sayyed Jamaal’s struggles is the extent to which his thoughts were spread in all Islamic lands and his efforts for the welfare and salvation of world Muslims. From the very beginning of his struggle, he called upon all the followers of Islam to begin an unending and irreconcilable fight against world-devouring colonizers, and an infinite endeavour in the revival of the past greatness of Islam so that freedom, independence, honour and respect for world Muslims could be attained, in a way which would be worthy of the followers of this great religion.

The main contents of his ideas and views are reflected in the famous publication of Urwat al-Wusqaa and his other valuable works. To understand these thoughts and ideas in the context of his humanistic, Islamic struggles and those who followed his way and also to have a better picture of his co-thinkers, colleagues and devotees, the above sources provide adequate material to enable us to forsake seeking additional references. Nevertheless it would be fitting to begin with a brief glance at the views of opinions and in a way, at the confessions of several great men of learning, famous orientalists and impartial investigators concerning this man’s personality and thoughts.

## L. Steward’s Opinion

L. Steward is one of those whose reliable book, in addition to being rendered into European languages, has been translated into Arabic by Prof. Ajjaj. He writes:

Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi was the first man to notice with his clear sight and intelligent realism the dangerous influence and domination of the westerners in eastern lands and Islamic nations, and realized the extent of this danger and its ruinous consequences. Then, with a self-sacrificing spirit, he resolutely endeavoured to remove it. Tolerating onerous hardships in order to perform the mission which he felt was placed on his shoulder by his conscience, he began his long travels with the scanty means available at that time to Turkey, India, Paris, Cairo, Kabul and other lands for the sake of his lofty goals, and wherever he went, his heart-felt words impressed listeners’ hearts and inspired them with his fiery thoughts. He quickly gathered deprived and oppressed Muslims round him and made them aware of the snare placed in their way by western policies and their serious and fearful consequences. Wherever he stepped, a wave of rage and uproar rose among the people, making the local authorities and rulers tremble with fear. Consequently, the oppressive rulers in each land and their agents opposed him violently. However, the greater the pressure by these tyrannical authorities, on him, the greater became his resistance and steadfastness.

Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen had no fear of the power or influence of any government, and was convinced that the powerful, colonizing western governments, however strong they might be, have to finally bow down to the wrath and clamour of Muslim peoples, on condition that Muslim nations put aside the small differences which were intensified by colonizers’ concoctions every day, and assume the way of unity and alliance for their common goal which is the revival of Islamic greatness and annihilation of its enemies, and proceed in full harmony and concord. Nevertheless, he did not think any government was more dangerous than the colonizing British government for the Muslim nations of the east, and he believed that with unity and alliance, the Muslims could smash the hellish power of British colonization. In this way they could not only remove their greatest enemy, but also pave the way for the destruction of other enemies of Islam and Muslims. Consequently, no government feared the views and struggles of Sayyed Jamaal as much as the British government. The Sayyed’s hatred of the British and the latter’s fear of him, were in fact the basis and ground for their mutual fights which continued for many years”.

## Razi’s View

Dr. Abdullah Razi, author of “The Complete History of Iran”, writes about Sayyed Jamaal and his struggles:

“Sayyed Jamaal’s fortitude and tolerance in the way of the propagation of true and progressive Islam, not an Islam of which tyrannical kings were patrons and colonizing western powers were propagators, showed him as a steadfast, thoughtful and original personality. He is undoubtedly one of the motivators of reform movements and Islamic struggles in the last hundred years that spoke realistically of the social sufferings of the Muslims and showed the remedy and ways for reforms. This great man, who is indeed a prodigy of the times, created a great revolution in Turkey, India and Egypt, the extension of whose influence manifested itself wonderfully in Iran. He sowed the seeds of a deep revolution in these countries and did his utmost to awaken the Islamic people. His words deeply influenced one and all and it was for this reason that he was placed in the first rank among the fighters of Muslims nations against colonization and despotism.”

## Mohit Tabatabai’s View

Scholar Mohit Tabatabai writes in his book, “The Role of Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen in Awakening the Eastern Nations”:

“Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen, with his sharp tongue and pen, laid the ground and main foundation for the acceptance of a constitutional government in Iran by the country’s Ulama. His good intention and correct conjecture had not erred about the elements who could build up the constitution from the start of the tobacco revolt down to emigration to Qum and to the clerical support of the constitutionalists against the Bagh-e-Shah coup d’état. His spirit was in all cases a guide for those who acted as leaders”.

## Martyred Scholar Mutahhari’s View

The crusading scholar, the learned martyred scholar and theologian, Ayatullah Murtaza Mutahhari, who had spent all his life fighting despotism and colonization, and whose valuable researches have had a deep influence in awakening Muslim nations, particularly the deprived and oppressed people of Iran, and whose martyrdom at the beginning of the establishment of the Islamic Republic regime and historical transformation of Iran was the highest point of his historic struggle against colonization, writes concerning Sayyed Jamaal:

“Sayyed Jamaal’s movement was both intellectual and social. He intended to create a notion in the Muslims’ thoughts and bring a radical transformation in their life pattern. He did not stay in one town or one country or one continent, but spent his days in various places in Asia, Africa and Europe. In each country, he was in touch with different groups, and as it is written about him, he actually joined the army in some Islamic countries in order to win a greater influence on the people. His various travels made him acquainted with the spirits of the far and near lands and the nature of events and personalities of each country. His relatively long stay in Western lands enabled him to know the advanced world, its civilization and views of its leaders. He considered internal despotism and foreign colonization the most significant ills of the Islamic society, and therefore, began fighting these two most zealously, eventually losing his life in this way.”

Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Husaini was born in I837. He followed his earlier studies of Arabic, philosophy and theology in his home-town, but because of his remarkable intelligence and adventurous spirit, he considered his birth place too restrictive for the attainment of his goals. For that reason, in his very youth, he set off for travel to India to continue his studies and see the world and its peoples.

His travel to the sub-continent of India coincided with the time when the English had thrust their poisonous sword of colonization and tyranny through the skin and flesh of the Indian nation, and had reached its bone. The oppressed nation of India could bear it no longer and had started a strong rebellion against British colonization. These two opposite currents, namely British oppression and aggression on the one hand, and Indian resistance and the people’s deadly and indefatigable struggle on the other hand, created scenes which were a manifestation of the violence, pressure and tyranny of the most powerful western government of the time upon the deprived Indian nation. He was deeply amazed and moved, feeling sorrow and regret on witnessing these scenes as a result of his fiery and sensitive disposition. He began to reflect over these matters and decided to investigate them through studies so as to understand the cause and root behind this European tyranny towards eastern nations. With this view in mind, after some time, he visited the Arab countries during which he made the pilgrimage to Mecca, an experience which transformed his spirit and opened his mental horizons. When during the pilgrimage ceremonies, he observed how hundreds of thousands of Muslims of different colour, race, language, culture and traditions, but of the same intention, faith and a single religious magnificence had gathered in the House of God from all parts of the world, exhibiting such a striking and glorious solidarity and collaboration, the idea of the unity of Islamic nations and world Muslims suddenly struck him. He saw that if this unity was achieved one day by the Muslims who had one goal and one thought, no power in the world could rise against it.

He continued his travel with this thought in mind. During this time, he learnt several languages, and gathered much knowledge and experience about the conditions of the eastern countries and especially Muslim nations, and then returned to Afghanistan. On his return there, however, he saw that conditions were so chaotic that it was hard for him to believe them at first. A number of the leaders were fighting one another and had caused much insecurity and massacre in their struggle for power. Sayyed Jamaal, too, with that adventurous spirit of his, entered the field and joined the supporters of Ameer Dost Muhammad Khan Afghani. The Ameer was soon victorious and in his government, chose Sayyed Jamaal as adviser. But the conflict which continued between various groups enabled another group to seize power from the Ameer, and so Sayyed Jamaal found no place for himself in Afghanistan and left that country for another land.

This time he returned to India first, and after some time, he left for Constantinople, where he became acquainted with many Ottoman personalities and authorities. This constant association and contact, especially his lectures and discourses delivered in the presence of learned men and political, religious gatherings, gave him a high reputation as a well-informed man of learning, which reputation won the agreement of the Ottoman government to allow him to join the rank of theological teachers.

But soon, the Turkish religious authorities and then through their instigation, the Turkish political authorities, rose in opposition to him.

The reason for their opposition was his sharp ideas and revolutionary views which pleased neither the Ulama nor the government officials. Thus the influence of these two groups resulted in his banishment from that country.

In 1871, compelled to leave Turkey, he decided to go to Egypt where he started preaching. His lofty ideas and revolutionary beliefs soon won him a high reputation. Many zealous and freedom loving young Egyptians gathered round him. His matchless eloquence, his amazing courage, and his clear-sighted revolutionary thoughts won him many eager followers among young Egyptians who attended his class as disciples, all of whom would later become figures such as Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh, the famous Islamic man of learning, and Muhammad Ahmad, the great political and religious leader, who were able with the aid of their followers to direct the revolution of Sudan against colonization.

His class in Egypt gradually became a gathering centre for enlightened liberal youths and under his instruction, did their utmost in combatting colonization, and heartily endeavoured to awaken the Muslims and save them from colonization and win their freedom.

But in Egypt, too, he could not live in tranquillity and peace. In his class and in the gathering of his followers as well as in other assemblies, he gave tongue to the criticism of the Khadiv of Egypt and to the interference of colonial powers in the internal affairs and destiny of the nation. The Khadiv was so enraged that he ordered the banishment of Sayyed and the confiscation of his property.

After his banishment from Egypt, he went to India once more where he stayed for some time and wrote a treatise, “Refutation of Nigeria”, as an answer to those who opposed and denied religion, belief and God. This made him even more highly reputed. He then decided to make a trip to Europe.

He visited France and stayed in Paris; but again there was no peace and tranquillity. He created much fervour and uproar everywhere, with his fiery words and revolutionary ideas; but his most important achievement in Paris was the publication of a paper, “Urwatul Wusqaa”, which did not only win an extraordinary popularity at the time of its publication, but also its contents assumed a lasting value in contemporary history especially in the revolutionary history of Islamic nations, particularly Iran. In this newspaper, he used his sharp pen and eloquent and inspiring style to propagate his revolutionary views. The role played by this newspaper was mainly its persistent and stubborn effort in awakening the eastern nations especially the Muslims in winning freedom and being liberated from the fetters of ignorance which had enabled the colonizing powers to use their deadly claws to strangle Muslim nations.

## Publication of Urwatul Wusqaa

When the Sayyed started the publication of his newspaper in Paris, his loyal disciple Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh joined him to help in the publication of this newspaper which was published in Arabic. In addition to this activity which presented his revolutionary views, he also participated in various religious and learned circles and held discussions with various personalities especially those who had views opposed to Islam.

## Debate with Renan

One of the people, who, in spite of his learning, opposed the religion of Islam owing to his inadequate familiarity with its principles, was the Frenchman Ernest Renan. The Sayyed opened up a keen debate in his paper in answer to his claim that the retardation of the eastern nations was due to their religion. His reasoning’s were so strong that according to friends and enemies alike, the Sayyed had undeniably refuted whatever arguments Ernest Renan had put forth in his articles.

The Sayyed in one of his articles writes:

“Not only has the religion of Islam not caused retardation, but it is rather the only religion which clearly shows the way of progress and sublimity. It is a religion which guides its followers on the road to happiness and success. But those who consider their interests, position and power to lie in the ignorance, illiteracy and retardation of Muslim nations, have for several centuries tried by means of calculated schemes and careful plans of colonization to divert the followers of Islam from Islamic facts and truths. For they know well that only an ignorant and uneducated nation can be brought under the domination of colonization, whereas once this same nation is awakened and made aware, it will no longer be willing to submit to the yoke of colonization even for one day. It is these colonizers who reinforce the foundation of their rule by the expansion of ignorance and superstition and kindling the fire of dispersion and disunity. Otherwise the religion of Islam and Muhammadan creed is not only incompatible with superstition, fear and conservatism, but also invites and encourages its followers to always fight for the redress of rights, and is a crusade for freedom and liberality.”

The paper Urwatul Wusqaa! Aroused much excitement in Islamic countries and won many eager readers. But most governments were opposed to it and this opposition went so far as to ban its entry into their countries. In Egypt and Turkey if this paper was found in some-one’s house or office, he was meted a severe punishment, and if anyone was caught reading it, he was arrested and jailed. Nevertheless, in spite of the danger which its readers faced, this paper reached its faithful readers in all parts of Islamic lands, even down to its last issue. Each issue was handed round and it is reported that the number of its readers was twenty times its printed number.

But this paper, whose fiery articles roused so much uproar in the world of Islam, lasted no more than a year, and the thoroughly adventurous life of the Sayyed did not allow the paper to have a longer duration.

## Travels and Moves

What comprised most of Sayyed Jamaal’s adventurous life were his repeated and long travels, it was for this reason that during his life he was nicknamed “The Prodigy of the East”. At that time, the scarcity of the means of transport and travel facilities as compared with modern times, made travel from an eastern point to a western one, long and difficult and sometimes a journey took several months and even over a year to complete. Yet, the Sayyed like one who had the quickest means, changed his places of residence so rapidly and went from one corner of the world to another that those who knew him were surprised how he managed to be in Tehran today, in Cairo the next week, in Paris ten days later, and in Ankara, Istanbul or Kabul a week after.

It was thus that after establishing his paper, the Sayyed entrusted the technical and administrative tasks of it to Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh, and set off for his travels in order to collect material for his paper through his close contacts with various nations and study their problems, expectations, solutions and exchange views with the well-informed personalities of those countries.

In the course of these travels, he left for Iran via Arabia, Syria and Iraq. He was well-known in Iran where he had many zealous readers. So, as soon as he arrived, he was warmly welcomed by his eager readers and those acquainted with his views who wished to meet him. This welcome was so touching that it roused the government authorities to receive him even more warmly.

One of these men of rank was Zil al-Sultan who acted as his host in Isfahan and gave him a warm reception. But no sooner had he sat at his host’s rich and opulent table, that he began criticizing his host and gave him the sharpest and bitterest rebukes in the garb of advice. But as Zil al-Sultan knew that the Sayyed enjoyed a great popularity with the guests present, who had been invited by Zil al-Sultan himself, and were deeply influenced by and attracted to him, not only did he not offer an answer to his criticisms, but accepted them in good humour, and promised to follow his wise counsel heartily.

Zil al-Sultan was however an uncultivated and a born enemy of art, culture and history, and had shown this hostility ever since he was entrusted with the governorship of the historical city of Isfahan, by the Shah. He was hostile to whatever belonged to the history, nationality, traditions and culture of this country and especially to the artistic treasures of Isfahan. It was on account of this hatred that one day he ordered the destruction of all the old historical buildings of Isfahan and in their place, the building of gardens, ponds and modern houses was encouraged. It was sometime after the demolition of the historical monuments that Sayyed Jamaal arrived in Isfahan.

One day, when the Sayyed went sightseeing round the city, he noticed many ruins, and when he returned, he felt sad and angry, and spoke angrily to Zil al-Sultan, saying: “In the entire world, rulers, governors and kings preserve and repair ancient and historical buildings of the places they are ruling over. How do you allow yourself to demolish them?” As others were present there, Zil al-Sultan kept silent at this rebuke, and later on when they were alone, he took out Naseer al-Deen Shah’s letters to him and showed them to the Sayyed.

In these letters, the Shah had brazenly abused the Safavid kings and their monuments, and had ordered to destroy whatever remained of them. In addition to these letters, Zil al-Sultan declared that the Shah’s tyranny has not only caused the destruction of historical monuments, but also the ruin of the whole country, and the governors are innocent in bringing about such ruins.

What Sayyed Jamaal heard from Zil al-Sultan and others, as well as what he had seen for himself, gave him an exact picture of the despotic and selfish character of the Shah, and it was with this impression that he set out for Tehran.

In Tehran, Naseer al-Deen Shah at first gave a warm welcome to the Sayyed, but very soon after several meetings and discourses, this welcome gave place to coldness and indifference. The Shah had openly told his attendants that he disliked the sharp and bitter tongue of this man. This change of attitude became particularly noticeable when after a long discussion which was boring to the Shah, the Sayyed told him that the world was now moving towards freedom and liberality and the rule of one individual was coming to an end, and each nation should decide its own destiny. He continued that in many countries there was no longer a single individual called Shah, Sultan or Emperor acting as sovereign, but that they were all subject to law and every person had the right to recognize everything on its basis and demand it. He ended his remark by saying that Iran has no alternative but to follow this course and have a constitution drawn up by the people and let the nation’s destiny be decided on by the people’s assembly, not by the personal will of the Shah.

These words roused the Shah’s anger and he turned bitter to Sayyed Jamaal. Hardly a few days had passed after the Sayyed’s arrival in Iran when it was reported to the Shah that wherever the Sayyed meets the people in various gatherings, streets and markets, in his discourses he speaks of the crimes and corruption of despotism and the tyranny of governors and government agents, and of the advantages of freedom, democracy and constitutional government, rousing the people against existing conditions.

Thus the Shah was not only displeased with the Sayyed, but he also created a situation that made it impossible for him to stay any longer in Iran, and so he set off for Russia.

In Russia he resumed his activities and began writing his lucid and pounding articles which were printed in Moscow and Petersburg papers. These articles attracted the attention of Russian personalities and they showed a desire to meet him and even the Russian emperor who had heard of his reputation wished to see him.

In this meeting, the Tsar asked the Sayyed about his disagreement with the Shah. In reply, he talked about his suggestion to the Shah of setting up a constitution, a proposal that had resulted in the Shah’s displeasure. Then he elaborated to the Tsar on the necessity of making the best use of the man-power of the whole country, especially workers and farmers and the resulting benefits. He said that if these millions of workers and farmers support kingship, it would be much better than if they oppose it, and this support may be obtained when their human rights are determined and observed according to the law.

Naturally such thoughts and words could not please the Tsar. Being an absolute ruler and emperor, he was enraged with the man who spoke of the nation’s rights. But being soft hearted, he ordered the banishment of Sayyed from Russia with gentleness and kindness.

After his expulsion from Russia, he could no longer find a place in the east and in the Islamic countries. He was now a fiery revolutionary with many supporters, but a homeless wanderer. He was obliged to visit and stay in European countries, but here again he roused the attention of the people by his articles, speeches and discourses about the colonization and despotism ruling over the east.

## Travel to Europe

In 1889, at the time the Sayyed wandered about in Europe, staying here and there, Naseer al-Deen Shah and his chancellor Mirza Ali Atabak were visiting Europe, and in Austria they happened to meet the Sayyed. The Shah unexpectedly appeared to regret his former treatment of him, and now after witnessing the progress of the European countries and people’s prosperity close at hand, he seemed to be in accord with the Sayyed’s views and showed some willingness to benefit from his advice and guidance in future in promoting people’s welfare and the country’s advance, Muhammad Hasan Khan Etemaad al-Sultanah who had accompanied the Shah invited the Sayyed to return to Iran and act as their adviser.

But this time, too, Sayyed Jamaal faced many difficulties and problems in Tehran. Atabak who had promised him an all-round assistance, and relying on the Sayyed’s honesty, had deceived him into accepting the mission to Russia to win their favour (in truth towards the chancellor himself), did not only withhold all assistance, but also showed severe hostility towards him. Even when the Sayyed asked for a meeting to discuss the current affairs of the country, he refused and showed so much delay that the Sayyed realized he had no intention of agreeing to a meeting.

As the Sayyed did not expect such a cold treatment after receiving the former warm welcome and invitation, he wrote a treatise on ‘law’ while he stayed in the house of Ameen al-Zarb and sent it to the Shah. In this treatise, he reminded the Shah of the meeting in Europe and his promises, and once again spoke of the necessity of the establishment of a constitutional rule, freedom and democracy and observing the nations’ rights.

When Naseer al-Deen Shah received this treatise, Atabak found an opportunity and pretext to warn him about the Sayyed’s presence and his written and spoken words, and make him more cynical than ever of the acts of the revolutionary wanderer.

Moreover, the Shah received fresh news every day which made him more certain of the truth of Atabak’s accusations. The reports showed that great religious leaders and enlightened and progressive men such as Mullah Hadi Najmabadi and Mirza Abul Hasan Jelvah visited the house of Ameen al-Zarb to meet the Sayyed who roused them with his fiery words against the Qajar sovereign. The report claimed that this group was in full readiness to take serious steps to awaken and incite the nation against the rulers’ oppression.

The Shah panicked when the news reached him that Mirza Abul Hasan Jilvah after an hour’s talk with the Sayyed, had declared on leaving that house that he would put on a white shroud in readiness for the crusade against the anti-religious Government and the Qajar king, the enemy of Islam.

## Retirement and Seclusion

Both the Shah and his chancellor who in their meeting in Europe with the Sayyed had invited him to return to Iran, so much feared his acts and revolutionary views that to rid themselves once for all of his presence, they thought of a plot to murder him in the house of Ameen al-Zarb. But the Sayyed sensing the coming danger through his keen intelligence fled his residence in time and took refuge in the Holy shrine of Abdul Azeem. When the Shah and Atabak heard of this, they were so enraged at his timely escape that this did not prevent them from sending government agents there to arrest him. When they got there he was abed with high fever. They ruffled him out violently, and though hardly dressed for those frosty winter days, they took him to the Iraqi border. On the way, they insulted and treated him wickedly and finally left him over the frontier with little clothing and no money. His personal property including a large bag full of books, notes and plans of his articles which were left behind in his host’s house were seized and taken to the Shah as evidence of his perversion and treason.

Although they found no sign or evidence of his perversion and treason among his property and documents, yet they spread the rumour that he is neither a Sayyed (descendant of the Prophet) nor a Muslim, but a deceitful and dangerous fellow who travels to Islamic lands in order to deviate and mislead people, and create riots and sedition. Therefore, to counter his deceitful acts and their influence on the people and to prevent him from disturbing peace and order, the Shah and the chancellor issued the order for his expulsion, under the lame excuse of saving Iranian Muslims from his dangerous presence.

After his banishment from Iran, Sayyed Jamaal once more set off for Europe, and as far as he could, he published articles in the European press concerning the Shah’s injustice and despotism as well as the tyranny of Atabak and their agents, thus disgracing them everywhere.

Sometime later, Sultan Abd al-Hamid, the Ottoman ruler, who had heard of the Sayyed’s fame, invited him to Constantinople. Although Sayyed Jamaal had had a bitter experience of Naseer al-Deen Shah’s and Atabak’s invitation, and had no desire to accept the invitation of the Sultan, the latter was so persistent in his request that the Sayyed eventually gave in and left for the Ottoman court. Sultan Abd al-Hamid treated him warmly and showed him great respect since he had often told his courtiers that he preferred the Sayyed’s friendship to his enmity, for; his enmity would prove very dangerous.

Again, his reason for treating the Sayyed well and tolerating his outspoken tongue and for pretending agreement to his views was that he was aiming at becoming the caliph of the Islamic lands and hoped to assume the sole power there. So, when he saw Sayyed Jamaal’s effort to raise the banner of Islamic unity and speak of Muslim solidarity, he thought of him as a good and effective ally in attaining his goal. But when the Armenians rebelled in the Ottoman land, and the Sultan realized that the Sayyed secretly had a hand in it, he became so suspicious of him that some people believe that he had given order to poison and kill the Sayyed.

But however, before his growing suspicion Naseer al-Deen Shah and Atabak had done their best to encourage the Sultan to look upon the Sayyed with disfavour, and both of them asked the Ottoman ruler either to expel him from Turkey or allow the Shah’s agent serving the Iranian minister in Istanbul to murder him.

But as the Sultan at that time had no reason to suspect the Sayyed and considered him a useful ally, and at the same time to please his neighbouring ruler, he decided that Jamaal’s stay in the Ottoman court will help check every possible damage to the Shah, and will also be to his interest since this way, the Sayyed’s contact with Mirza Malkam will be automatically cut off in Europe and his instigating acts and writings will be checked from being reflected in the European press.

Despite these explanations, the Sayyed did not remain idle in the Ottoman court, and continued his revolutionary activities. It was there that he prepared the preliminaries for the abrogation of the tobacco concession and the ban on its consumption as the first heavy blow to despotism in Iran, with the aid of Mirza Agha Khan Bardsiri, Shaikh Ahmad Roohi and Khabir al-Mulk, and eventually carried it out with the assistance of Mirza Shirazi, the great imitated Shi’a authority.

## Instigator of the Assassination

At the time when the Sayyed resided in Turkey, Naseer al-Deen Shah was assassinated by Mirza Reza Kirmani after 49 years of rule, and many people attributed the instigation of this assassination to the Sayyed inspire of his being far away from the scene.

It is true that Mirza Reza was a devoted follower of the Sayyed and had cherished his views in his heart for many years and believed in him. At the same time, he considered Atabak and the Regent as obstacles in the way of the establishment of constitution in Iran and was wholly opposed to the despotic rule of the Shah. But there is no evidence that Mirza Reza was sent directly by the Sayyed to assassinate Naseer al-Deen Shah.

Mirza Reza himself had suffered much in the Qajar reign and from the rulers. Both the Regent and Atabak had treated him very unjustly and had put him in jail and dungeon though he was innocent. It was, therefore, natural for him to rebel and prepare to uproot tyranny.

After being set free from prison and torture, Mirza Reza was so dissatisfied and rebellious that he was like a barrel of explosives waiting to be ignited. The spark was provided when he met Sayyed Jamaal for whose ideas he felt a deep conviction and devotion. These ideas influenced him so much that he considered it the duty of every Iranian to act to the extent of his ability, against tyranny. After becoming acquainted with Sayyed Jamaal, once while visiting the Holy shrines in Iraq, he changed his destination and set off for Turkey to benefit from a closer contact with him and his guidance. Influenced by his master for some time, he felt ready to carry out his revolutionary deed and set off for Tehran, and on the eve of the fifteenth anniversary of the Shah’s reign, he shot the king in the shrine of Abd al-Azim.

After the Shah’s assassination, he was arrested and jailed once again. This time, however, he was quiet and relaxed since, as he said, he had performed his religious, human, patriotic and national duty. During his trials, he denied having been given this mission by Sayyed Jamaal or of even being incited by him. On all the occasions, he spoke proudly and respectfully of the Sayyed calling him the greatest man of the world, a learned, honest thinker and fighter who had a deep influence on his life.

Sayyed Jamaal took the assassination of Naseer al-Deen Shah as a good omen and said gladly that the death of this king was the vanguard of the constitution for Iran, and would awaken the Iranians to endeavour to win freedom, and that after his assassination; no one would dare any longer bring misery upon the nation.

Though Sayyed Jamaal died only a year after the assassination of the Shah and did not live long enough to see the beginning of the constitutional movement and the uprising of the people for freedom, the history of the east especially that of Iran will never forget the part he played in awakening the mind of the retarded nation and its bloody uprising for liberty. Historians are not however unanimous over the death of Sayyed Jamaal, and there are different versions as to whether it was a planned murder or a natural death.

## Root of Corruption

Sayyed Jamaal paid attention to one fundamental point in his struggle against tyranny and corruption as the main cause and factor, and that was the rule of one individual and his domination over helpless, deprived, oppressed people. He wrote in one of the issues of his paper:

“They say that a country is preserved with magnificent palaces, lofty towers, a well-equipped army and modern weapons. I agree that they are important for a country, but a king or army and palaces cannot by themselves preserve the independence of a country. It is men of talent, competent individuals, responsible and committed personalities who can safeguard the survival and independence of the country. It is men of thought, judgement and learning who can effect reforms in various fields and provide welfare, security and tranquillity, and not a king, his army or military hardware. Men of knowledge and virtue can exercise a sympathetic supervision over the events and relationships of a realm and by means of kindness and commiseration, redress the rights of people and put back transgressors to public property in their places,”

## The Position of the Iranian Ulama

Sayyed Jamaal had realized the extent of the Iranian Ulama’s spiritual influence and value among the people in the fight against oppression in a dark period, as the only source of hope and reliance. For this reason, he appreciated their position and sought their aid and influence in facing social and political difficulties. In his combats, he began by relying on the learned Ulama and this is clearly shown by the letters he wrote to the Shi’a leaders especially to Mirza Shirazi.

## Mohit Tabatabai’s View

Scholar Mohit Tabatabai writes in his survey on the life and thoughts of Sayyed Jamaal:

The Sayyed in his first visit to Europe, when he published his paper “Urwatul Wusqaa”, had realized the influence and importance of the Ulama. In a letter written at that time to an Iranian residing in Egypt named “Daghestani”, he explicitly says that the Iranian Ulama have not neglected their duties, and it is only government officials who have always caused distress, difficulty and retardation of the people and their country.

In order to show his particular spirit and point of view towards the combatant Ulama, we will quote a part of his letters. In a letter written on 25th December 1881 concerning the learned and alert Ulama of Iran, he writes:

“What you have supposed about Iranian Ulama is not fair and just, for, whenever there is no check and control over power, men of religion cannot prevent that dominating power from its operation. When did the Iranian government desire to build railways in the country and was faced with Ulama’s resistance to prevent an action which would be useful for both the government and the country? When did the Iranian government wish to establish justice among people and set up courts of justice and parliament in order to base all decrees on laws and needs, and the Ulama rose in revolt against law and justice? When did the Iranian government intend to found new hospitals, asylums and nurseries, and the Ulama did not show approval of such measures or declared them to be wrong and destructive innovations?”

The movement that Sayyed Jamaal started in the east by propagating his revolutionary ideas was an intellectual, social and universal movement. He never sat still till the end of his life, and never stayed for long in one town, one country or even one continent, and kept on moving from one place to another, and very soon left for another land, resuming his revolutionary activities, One day he was in Asia, another day in Africa and then in Europe. Wherever he went, he contacted various groups in order to obtain a profound knowledge of their problems.

Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh says of him: “It would not be an exaggeration to say that he comes next to prophets and impeccable leaders in rank with regards the powerful mind, the great intelligence and the penetrating vision granted to him by God.

## Sayyed Muhammad Tabataba’i – Founder of the Constitution

In the history of anti-despotic struggles and Islamic movements of Iran, the constitutional movement assumes a special place. This was the first uprising and revolution by large masses of the nation which ended in their decisive victory and the shameful defeat of the monster of internal despotism and external colonization before the onrush of its crusaders, and proved its rightfulness, not only in the heart of history and revolutionary people, but also in the soul of the most black-hearted agents of tyranny and colonization.

In the constitutional movement, too, like other Islamic popular movements and uprisings, the role of the alert and fighting Ulama has been epoch-making and brilliant. Both before the revolution, when preliminary steps were taken to reinforce the root of the movement and during its spread, the Ulama have had the main role and have been in the first line.

Among the distinguished Ulama whose names have been a credit to the history of the revolution and awakening of the Iranians because of their endeavours and crusades, three brilliant and everlasting personalities stand out, namely, Ayatullah Mirza Shirazi who paved the way for this awakening of the people by his clear-sightedness and wisdom to join the wave of uprising. Then there are Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai and Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani, two alert, brave crusading Ulama, under whose names the banner of uprising for the constitution was raised.

The great historical epic of the constitution is a model of the resistance, steadfastness and dignity of the will of the great Iranian nation against internal selfishness and tyranny, and foreign profiteering and exploitation, manifesting its true nature in the personality of these two pioneering Ulama. This took place at a time when, to quote Jalaal Aal-e-Ahmad (the writer): “In those days the Ulama were the last stronghold which exhibited its power and strength, even though it ended in self-sacrifice, a painful topic which requires a lengthy description.”

Speaking of the brilliant names of these two Ulama does not mean confining the role of the Ulama to these two, since at the same time, great struggling and renowned men like Shaikh Fazlullah Noori, Sayyed Jamaal Va’az Isfahani, Malek al-Motakallemin, Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani, Seghat al-Islam and other crusaders did not spare any effort in self-sacrifice and venture sameness. We will survey and analyse their lives and thoughts in due time; but since these two great men come first chronologically, we will give a description of them first.

## A Transition and Travel

According to historians, the constitutional revolution was a transition from absolute dictatorship to democracy and popular government, which transition was successfully based on the faith and self-sacrifice of the people, and on the decisiveness and courage of the religious leaders.

The Ulama of the time had mobilized their forces for uprooting despotism and colonization and always remembered the Holy and divine pact about which Imam Ali (a.s.) had spoken: “God Almighty has taken a covenant from the learned men of religion never to submit to the tyranny of oppressors, nor yield to the voice of misery and abjectness of the oppressed, and to be ever ready to obey divine commands and injunctions.” They were faithful to this divine covenant and courageously tried to uproot tyranny and injustice.

Pre-constitution Iran was burning in the tempestuous fever of despotism, and international colonisers had sunk their powerful claws into all the underground and surface resources of the country. Moreover these big powers interfered not only in the life of the nation but also more deeply in the lives of the authorities and even in the private life of the king. Such illegitimate interferences of European countries especially Britain and Russia had gone so far as to make Iran the battlefield of these two strong powers. Naseer al-Deen Shah writes in his diary: “I wish to travel to the north of the country, but the British minister objects, I want to travel to the south, but the Russian minister protests. Curse upon this kingship when a king has no right to go to the north and south of his own country.”

In those days, owing to the incompetence and irresoluteness of the government and officials, the delegate and chief consul of the Belgian government, named ‘Naz, even allowed himself to publicly insult the things the people held sacred and at night and dancing parties, to wear the garment of an scholar, mimicking their bearing in his attempt at ridicule. He had copies made of such photos to distribute among people and thereby blemish the respect due to a scholar.

In 1903, two years before the nation’s uprising forced Muzaffar al-Deen Shah to sign the constitutional degree, the people could no longer remain patient, and started a great uproar and wide demonstration led by these two exalted and fighting Ulama in order to awaken the incompetent Shah and his treacherous courtiers about the state of affairs. It was in this movement, that the co-operation and unity of word of the Ulama under the leadership of these two noble Sayyeds played a vital role in the history of Islamic movement. The late Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai and Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani are both the pioneers and founder of this anti-egoistic movement.

Ahmad Kasravi, the well-known historian of the constitutional movement, even though violently opposed to the Ulama, writes in this connection: “The constitutional movement was started by Messrs Tabatabai and Behbahani and other Ulama, but the newspapers attributed it to people like Musheer al-Dawlah.”

## The Spread of Conflicts

The two crusading Ulama enhanced their conflicts which entered a serious history-making phase when they came to the Shah Mosque to speak to a huge crowd about the weaknesses of dictatorial rule and the strongpoints of constitutional rule.

But on that day a number of court agents and the Shah’s hirelings found their way there to disperse the crowd and as planned, began to abuse and beat the people, and even insulted the two Ulama. In the clash that ensued, many were beaten and wounded, and the people were forced to scatter.

On the 13th December of that year, the two crusading Ulama in protest left for the shrine of Abdul Azeem and took refuge there accompanied by a number of Ulama and merchants such as the late Haj Shaikh Murtaza, custodian of the school of Khan Marvi, Sadr al-Ulama, Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Afje’i, Mirza Mustafa, son of Haj Mirza Muhammad Hasan Ashtiani, Shaikh Muhammad Sadiq Kashani, Shaikh Muhammad Reza Qummi and some merchants from Tehran’s bazaar.

Their way was blocked by government agents resulting in clashes and shooting, but when Ein al-Dawlah, military governor of Tehran saw that all the people were closing their shops to join the rebellion, he ordered his men to stop their bullying and allow the group to proceed peacefully. But at the same time he ordered that if those who had closed their shops do not open them, their shops would be pillaged by government agents. A few ignored this order and suffered loss of their property.

The government agents, servile to foreigners and supported by Russian and British ministers tried to protect their masters’ interests by inciting differences between Tabatabai and Behbahani, aiming at destroying the friendship that existed between them. They resorted sometimes to threat, sometimes to allurement, one day promising them money or position, and the next day threatening them with death. But they forgot that men of faith do not fear threat or death, are not deceived by riches, property or position, and do not deviate from the direct path by such tricks. Like Imam Ali (a.s.) says: “They are devout at night and valiant lions by day.”

## Public Commotion

The protesting residence of the two courageous Ulama at Rey, in view of their wide popularity, moved the whole nation. Prom the very first day of their departure, they were accompanied by a number of their supporters. After settling there, every day, fresh group joined them, and the number increased so much that they felt strong enough to vanquish their opponents.

Even a number of young courtiers and aristocrats, Qajar princes and personalities who disagreed with the government and had therefore been in disfavour of the despotic Shah and his selfish courtiers, left for Rey to join the insurgents.

The daily increase of the two beloved Ulama’s followers soon brought dread and anxiety to the government authorities, so that Ein al-Dawlah, the inflexible dictatorial chancellor of the Qajar court, who had never shown any conciliation and compromise to anyone before, on realizing that he could not make a stand against them, decided on a direct confrontation so that they would be forced into submission. With this in mind, he despatched one of his rough and trusted commanders called Salar al-Dawlah with several hundred armed horse-men to Rey to intimidate and threaten the leaders. But the fearless Muslim combatants bravely faced the government’s troops (which were commanded by aliens whose guards though ferocious towards their own nation, behaved abjectly towards the foreigners) and stood up firmly for liberty. In their shouts and cries they expressed their own privations and the dictatorial government’s corruption, violently criticising its injustice and transgression over the people’s rights.

## Resolution of the Rey Emigrants

At last, this group drew up their demands in the form of an eight-point resolution with the advice and guidance of the two crusading Ulama, and sent it to the Shah via the Ottoman minister. These eight articles which later remained in history as the first written and organized demand for the establishment of a constitutional government were as follows:

1) The establishment of a ‘house of justice’ throughout Iran, in towns and villages.

2) Removing Aal al-Dawlah from the governorship of Tehran.

3) Bringing back Haj Muhammad Reza (a fighting scholar of the early years of the movement who had been exiled from Rafsanjan to Kirman).

4) Restoring the custody of the school of Khan to its original custodian.

5) Execution of Islamic laws throughout Iran.

6) Removal of M. Naz, the Belgian, as director of the customs and finances (a post in which he did nothing but molest people and waste public property).

7) A one-twentieth reduction of civil servants’ salaries, since the past one year.

8) Removal of Askar from the management of carriage office and Qum-way transport (a man, who had taken this monopoly from the government, had maltreated travellers, and with whom theological students and Ulama were particularly dissatisfied and of whom they had complained to the religious authorities in Tehran). This article was included by the leaders of the movement to conciliate the Qum Ulama.

The Ottoman minister carried this resolution, which had been drawn up by hundreds of Rey refugees, to the court and delivered it to the Shah. Typical of him, the Shah at first ignored it. But the insisting refugees and the long stay of the religious leaders at Rey worried him, and when it was reported to him that the situation was getting more and more serious, he was frightened and looked for a solution. His counsellors advised him to agree to the demands at once.

He did so and even send the royal carriage to Rey to bring back to Tehran the two religious leaders and other distinguished personalities with all due respect. His adviser even recommended the issue of a decree promising the establishment of the ‘house of justice’ and execution of Islamic laws.

Thus the Ulama led by the two Sayyeds ended their asylum and left for Tehran followed by all their companions.

## Tabatabai’s Letter

The religious leaders, once in Tehran, however, waited long and in vain for the fulfilment of the Shah’s promise. So, Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai after sometime wrote a letter in this connection to Ein al-Dawlah, the anti-constitution chancellor, the content of which reveals a love of the nation, freedom, independence, prosperity and honour of the country. The text of the letter is as follows:

“What has happened to all those promises and agreements? You are well aware of the sorry state of this country and its nation, and of the danger which surrounds this zone. You know well that the only remedy is the establishment of the assembly and unity of the government and nation, as well as the alliance of the authorities with the Ulama. It is surprising that though you have diagnosed the disease and though the remedy is clear, you take no step. These reforms which are desired by the nation will soon be affected, but we wish them to be done by the hand of our king and Atabak, not through Russia, Britain or Ottoman. We have no wish to see it written on the pages of history that the government of Muzaffar al-Deen Shah was overthrown and Iran perished during his reign. Danger is close at hand, and time is short and this patient is dying. Is it proper to postpone treatment? I swear to God Almighty and to all prophets and imams that Iran will be lost with the slightest negligence. I apologize for being outspoken, since Iran is my home and my credit. My service is for Islam in this country, and my respect depends on this government.

“I see that this country is falling into the hands of foreigners and all my dignity and credit are being lost. As long as I breathe, I will endeavour to preserve this country, and even in case of need I will offer my life for its sake.

“Today all private motives should be laid aside and devotion shown for the sake of God”.

“Time is short, the subject important, and fancies are out of place. I am prepared to forsake everything for this purpose, and lay aside dignity and credit, and if the execution of this task requires that I should act as doorkeeper or shoe-holder at your Excellency’s noble residence, I am ready for it. I beg you in the name of God and his Prophet not to let these people be enslaved by Russia, Britain and Ottoman. What has happened to the covenant? What about the Quran? Our agreement was the establishment of the assembly. Little of my life remains and nothing gives me pleasure now except taking this step and considering its fulfilment as my ultimate ideal, or offering my life for it, which is the source of salvation and honour for me and my morals. If this task is not performed, we will be cursed by the future generation in the same way that we criticise our predecessors.

“I implore you humbly to carry out this task (setting up the assembly). A delay of even one day will have the effect of a deadly poison. At present the evil of the Ottoman cannot be removed except by the establishment of this assembly and by unity of the government and nation, as well as the authorities and Ulama. Now, I will not cause further inconvenience.”

## Another Letter to Muzaffar al-Deen Shah

Following his lofty goal which was the heart-felt desire of the alert nation of Iran and crusading Muslims, Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai wrote another letter addressed to Muzaffar al-Deen Shah in which he requested the fulfilment of this important task.

The text of the letter is as follows:

To his Majesty, the Holy sovereign, as your Majesty has personally permitted me to state matters directly without any intermediary, I ask your leave to give this inconvenience.

“Since on these days the way is blocked to your supplicants to bring their requests to your royal notice, therefore I take this means of informing your majesty that the country is in a sorry state, the subjects are distressed, the hands of transgression of the governors and officials are stretched over the property, honour and life of the subjects, and there is no limit to this oppression. They plunder any amount of the subjects’ property they desire. They yield to all their powers of wrath and lust in committing whatever they wish including violence, mutilation and murder. Where have all these mansions, rich furniture, properties and wealth come from? They are all from the helpless subjects.

“Last year they carried away Quchani girls as compensation for the duty and the few pounds of wheat which the farmers could not pay, and also sold the Turkmans and Armenians of Ishqabad, at a high price. Ten thousands Quchani subjects fled to the Russian territory because of oppression. Thousands of Iranians have migrated to other countries owing to the injustice of governors and officials, working there as porters and labourers and dying in misery and abjection. It is not possible to describe here all the injustice of the oppressors. All the facts are concealed from your Majesty and they do not allow the reports to reach you. This country will very soon be annexed to foreign lands. Your Majesty will not naturally agree to have it written in history that in the time of his rule, Iran perished, Islam was weakened and Muslims were humbled”.

“Your Majesty, all these mischiefs can be remedied by an assembly of justice, namely an assembly consisting of all classes of people which can redress wrongs, in which a king and a beggar are equal. Your Majesty is well aware of the benefits of such an assembly. If it is established, all injustice will be removed; prosperity will replace ruin, foreign powers will stop coveting this country; Sistan and Baluchistan will not be seized by Britain; Russia will not occupy other places; Ottomans cannot encroach upon Iran. The state of bread and meat which are the staple food of the people is miserly and frightening, and most people are deprived of them. Your Majesty ordered to ameliorate this condition and some benevolent people were prepared to take the necessary steps. But unfortunately those who force bakers and butchers to pay them a large sum as bribe do not allow this object of public welfare to be realized. Your Majesty is also aware of the conditions of soldiers who protect the nation and government. Even their trifling wage is withheld from them”.

“We spent thirty days of hardship in a corner of the shrine of Abdul Azeem until your royal decree was issued for the establishment of the desired assembly. We expressed our gratitude, celebrations and illuminations were arranged as thanksgiving. We are still waiting for the purport of the royal decree to take shape, but there is no sign of it as yet. There is an all-round evasion and they explicitly say that this matter will not be carried out and that settling up an assembly is contrary to kingship. But they forget that a proper and everlasting kingship depends on an assembly, and without it kingship is meaningless and likely to decline”.

“Your Majesty, do not let fifteen million people who are the kings’ children, be enslaved by the tyranny of one person. There are many things to speak of, but I will not inconvenience you further. I beg you to peruse my petition with care and before there is a break, find a remedy to prevent the country from being lost, and a handful of helpless subjects who are your Majesty’s children from being enslaved and becoming abject. The order of the highest requires obedience.

Muhammad-bin Sadiq al-Husaini al-Tabatabai”

## Muzaffar al-Deen Shah’s Reply

After reading Tabatabai’s letter, Muzaffar al-Deen Shah wrote him the following answer:

“The Reverend Sayyed Muhammad Mujtahid, We have read your letter, and we will advise Atabak to carry out your wishes. You too should not neglect your duty, pray for us, silence the rebels and rascals, and quell the riot and rebellion, and not act in such a way as to enrage us against all.

Shah”

This letter with its dry tone and irrelevant expression and content which did not have the slightest connection with Tabatabai’s letter, showed that the latter’s letter had not been delivered to the Shah, and that this answer had almost certainly been written by Ein al-Dawlah, the coarse, selfish and tyrannical chancellor, especially as the last phrase of it was violently threatening, while those who were familiar with the gentle character and temper of the aged Shah knew that especially because of his religious beliefs, he had a great respect for the Ulama and religious leaders. Thus, he could not have written such an answer.

The valiant Sayyed did not only refuse to withdraw from the arena at this dry and irrelevant reply, but showed an even greater resolution in his combat. Henceforth, he did not only send fiery and inspiring messages to the people of Iran in the remotest part of the country, encouraging all oppressed classes to rise up against tyranny and set up the assembly, but also gathered them round him several times a day in his house or outside, in congregations, and even in the streets and at the pulpit. By his moving and pounding words, he showed the way of liberation from injustice and servitude of governors, authorities, officials and foreign colonization, encouraged them to stand firm to their last moment without fearing death and honourable martyrdom.

## Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani, the Valiant Crusader

In all these struggles, the close friend and co-fighter of Tabatabai was Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani. He did not only deliver his crusading colleague’s message to the people on a wide scale, but also took steadfast steps himself and called upon the deprived people to show more firmness and resistance in asserting their just claims.

The late Sayyed Behbahani was a few years younger than Tabatabai, yet he was very experienced and more important still, he was a respected Shi’a religious authority who acted as a leader of a large number of fighting Muslims in the constitutional movement of Iran, and eventually gave his life in the combat against tyranny and tyrants, despotism and agents of exploitation and for the establishment of the constitution.

## Brief Biography

The late great Islamic scholar Ameeni writes in his fine book “Martyrs in the way of virtue” concerning this martyr for liberty and humanity:

“Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani, leader of the constitutional movement, son of Sayyed Esma’il, the great Shi’a authority and exalted leader and reformer belongs to a most distinguished family; a family which possesses a lofty position in learning and culture as well as a great reputation in the service rendered to the world of Islam. This chaste tree took root in Charifa, a village of Bahrain, and its branches spread to Najaf, Basrah, Khorramshahr, Bushehr, Shiraz, Tehran and Behbahan.

“He was born in the Hijrah year 1262 in Najaf, brought up by his father, and was educated under Imam Muhammad Shirazi, Ayatullah Koh Kamari and the great jurisprudent Shaikh Razi, and attained a high rank in jurisprudence. He was a religious authority of the first rank in Tehran and the only leader of the Muslims. During the Constitutional Revolution he suffered much, and in the period of ‘Short Despotism’ after the removal of obstacles returned to Iran, where he was warmly welcomed by the residents of Tehran. He decided to get the constitutional law ratified in such a way as to abide by Islamic principles and remove all those articles and alterations which were in contravention with Islamic dictates.

“This fine decision and Holy endeavour were not agreeable to the ambitious and debauchees. So, he was shot dead in his house in the middle of the night in the month of Sha’aban 1328. His body was taken to Holy Najaf and buried by his father’s grave in the eastern courtyard of the Holy Shrine.”

Thus the two crusading and learned Ulama played their constructive, critical and historical role in one of the greatest eastern movements, and built a firm foundation for constitutional government, persisting in their efforts until the decree was signed.

Chapter Three

# Shaikh Fazlullah Noori Martyr of Westernization and Modernization

He possessed a fine and impressive voice. Though for seven months he had been physically weakened by the wound of a bullet which had remained in his body, and though self-interested enemies and ignorant friends had joined hands to drag him to the gallows on charge of defending Islam, and though the rope which was to hang him threw the shadow of a fearful death within a few inches over his lean seventy-year old body, yet his voice was magnificent and frightening.



He took off his turban and held it towards the people who had with blind emotions gathered there and applauded ignorantly, and cried out in a ringing voice: “They have removed this turban from my head, and they will do the same with you.”

This martyred crusader was Ayatullah Shaikh Fazlullah Noori who spoke at the gallows, and how correct and clear-sighted had been his prediction. Within a short time after his martyrdom, those simple-minded people, namely that ignorant majority who, like Mo’aviah’s army in the battle with Imam Ali (a.s.), had supposed that on that day they were removing an enemy of the people and a most stubborn opponent of man’s caliphate on the earth, painfully realized that colonization’s wicked plan had placed the death of Ayatullah Noori at the top of its wicked goals, and were now removing the guardians of God’s religion and protectors of Muhammad (s.a.w.a.)’s faith one by one, and whereabouts to uproot Islam from amongst the people. For, the enemy had often seen that it was only the power emerging from Islamic faith that could start a great torrent of self-sacrificing Muslims and destroy their wicked and shameful schemes. Therefore they decided to block the way of the torrent at its source with calculated plots. Now they intended to abandon fighting with the Muslim nation and in its place take up the fight with the principle and essence of Islam, since they had fully realized that without the motivating and life-giving force of the committed and responsible Ulama a Muslim nation would not exist to bar the way to their wicked and destructive ambitions.

The heart-rending death of the crusading Ayatullah Noori was one of the most amazing events in the history of the Ulama’s combats in the struggle of Iranian Muslims. How is it possible for a virtuous scholar, a man of God and religion, who has spent a lifetime in guarding Islam and whose personality has been most constructive in originating the constitutional movement and bringing it to fruition, to be in such a short time, introduced as a stubborn opponent of that movement, and his life taken because of a sin he has not committed?

This is so amazing that a survey of it would be necessary in this series of historical and Islamic researches.

## Beginning of Combat

It may not be fitting to fix a date for the commencement of a crusading scholar’s combats, since Islam is a religion of combat, and every Muslim is engaged in it during the whole of his life; a combat against oppression and whatever is earthly, worldly and anti-God. In such a case, how is it possible for such a religious authority who issues verdicts, a learned scholar whose learning, virtue and chastity have not been doubted by friends and enemies, since he is superior to all others in Tehran with respect to those qualities, not to have fought against tyranny and earthly rule even for one day of his life? But to keep within the framework of history we are compelled to begin at a point when Ayatullah Noori made his struggles perfectly public.

He began this task before the constitutional movement and concurrent with the time when the two Ulama Tabatabai and Behbahani, were in the first line of public struggle. He was not only one of the most stubborn supporters of the tobacco ban against foreign colonization in Iran, but was also an intermediary in the issue of the verdict of prohibition and related correspondence with the great imitated authority, Mirza Shirazi and fully trusted by this leader, and greatly respected by the people. In this matter, too, he was alongside both Tabatabai and Behbahani.

If the sharp edge of the combat of the tobacco ban movement was aimed at foreign colonization, this time the target was internal despotism, namely Prince Ein al-Dawlah, the tyrannical and corrupt chancellor of the time.

In this way, the three distinguished Ulama began their overall struggles against the rulers’ oppression, and the Tehran triangle of Behbahani Tabatabai Noori’ made known the reason for their combats to three exalted authorities of Najaf, namely Ayatullah Akhund Mulla Muhammad Kazem, Shaikh Abdullah Mazandarani and Haj Mirza Husain Khalili Tehrani, and informed them in their telegrams of their sacred goal in these combats for establishing an Islamic government within the framework of the constitution. The Najaf authorities at once confirmed their view and supported this goal so as to promote this struggle of the Ulama in Tehran.

When the struggles of Iranian Muslims led by the Tehran Ulama and supported by the Najaf authorities started gaining momentum, Ein al-Dawlah intensified his harsh repression of the nation, and made it clear by his conduct that he would not concede in the least way, to the nation’s demand for religious rule and attainment of the lofty goals of the Ulama.

Such harshness and obstinacy went so far as to include even the Tehran Ulama and the famous triangle. Eventually, the latter found it advisable to break through this narrow siege and leave Tehran for Qum. This departure was a most prudent step in ruining the enemy’s plan, and the tyrannical, corrupt government, suddenly found itself faced with the roaring wave of enraged people who were roused by the words of respected and well-known preachers from the pulpits. The people closed their shops and the greater part of the city suspended its work, thus paralysing governmental activities and sending the rulers into derision. On the other hand, the Ulama of Tehran and the provinces, on hearing of the departure of the triangle rose whole heartedly in their support, followed by the people, in readiness for any protesting action and even self-sacrifice.

## The Ulama’s Decisiveness and the Shah’s Surrender

Conditions, day by day, favoured the Ulama’s standing, while making it worse and frightening for the government. Muzaffar al-Deen Shah, finding himself in such a dangerous situation, began to seek a remedy for the crisis which had shaken the foundation of the government. Knowing that the key to a solution of this crisis laid only in the hands of the three emigrants to Qum, he sent Prince Azad al-Mulk, the Regent to Qum to request on his behalf the return of the exalted Ulama to Tehran.

But the goal for which the crusading Ulama had emigrated, namely the establishment of the Islamic rule of justice, was not such that it could be given up because of someone’s intimidation or the Shah’s request. The Ulama had had no desire to conciliate with the Shah by this emigration. Its goal had been proximity to God and fulfilment of divine decrees within the uninfringeable framework of Islamic injunctions. So, their answer was short, unhesitating and firm. They gave the same unanimous answer that as long as the assembly (by which they meant an Islamic assembly) is not established and divine decrees are not carried out for a life of Islamic justice and equity and the proper management of the country and people’s affairs and legislation of laws in accordance with Islamic religion, they will neither return from their emigration, nor abandon their combat.

In this way Muzaffar al-Deen Shah was left with no alternative but to submit to the legitimate demands of the Ulama who were the nation’s spokesmen and concurrent with the dismissal of Ein al-Dawlah who stubbornly rejected the people’s demands, he issued a decree for the establishment of a constitutional government and legislative assembly in 1324 of the Hijrah.

As we have no intention of narrating the events of the constitutional history here, we refer the reader to some historical sources on this topic and confine ourselves to the analysis of the matters behind the scene in the constitutional revolution, and the way of Ayatullah Noori’s martyrdom.

## A Glance at the Learned Martyr’s Life

Before describing Ayatullah Noori’s martyrdom and the events behind the scene, we should cast a glance at this learned and crusading scholar of Islam.

The scholarly and virtuous life of this man has been described as follows by the learned scholar Shaikh Abdul Husain Ameeni the writer of ‘Al-Ghadeer’ in his valuable work ‘The Martyrs of Virtue’:

“His father was Mowla Abbas Noori, a learned scholar. When he died, a poet wrote an ode in his elegy in which he condoled his son Haj Shaikh Fazlullah Noori, and honoured the memory of his uncle, scholar Noori, and eulogised his teacher, Imam Mujaddad Shirazi.

“He was a leader of the Muslims and banner-bearer of learning and religion and the greatest scholar of Tehran. His words, discourses and writings showed deep knowledge. He was a nephew and son-in-law of Noori. Born in 1258 of the Hijrah, in his youth he left for Najaf where he studied under the mighty jurisprudent ‘Shaikh Razi’ for a time, and then he accompanied his uncle, scholar Noori, in the first caravan of emigrants following Imam Mujaddid Shirazi to Samarrah in 1292, a caravan in which Mowla Fath Ali Sultanabadi (model of the devout and a fine example of morality and magnanimity, and a disciple of Shaikh Ansari) was present. Shaikh Fazlullah Noori benefited for long years from the class of this distinguished master, and wrote down his lectures. After the year 1300, he returned to Tehran as a spiritual and religious leader of the people, endeavouring in the propagation of Islamic teachings until a group of wicked men found him. He was an enemy of wickedness, deceit and atheism, an obstacle to their aims and interests and therefore they hanged him. He became a martyr at the hand of tyranny, a victim in the way of faith, God and combat with wrong. He was hanged at noon of 13th Rajab 1327 and his body was carried to the Holy city of Qum.”

Elsewhere in this book we read: “In Samarrah he was an excellent disciple of Mirza Shirazi, the great crusading scholar, and on his return to Tehran in 1300, he decided to stay in the same city. From the very beginning, many people were attracted to him as an authority. His combat was always for the sake of God and exaltation of Islam and he acted according to his own judgement and experience as a religious expert. He avoided opportunism or moving with the current. That is why we see him as a pillar of the national Muslims against the colonizing British agreement (the Regie concession), and he played a worthy role in the people’s revolutionary movement. Mirza Shirazi who issued the verdict of tobacco ban, kept continuous contact with him, in the constitutional revolution, and the Ulama and public uprising against the Qajar despotism, he was among the first to motivate the operation of the movement, and was a founder of the revolution.”

Now the question is: What happened and why this virtuous and fighting scholar was presented to the people as an anti-revolutionary element, to justify his being hanged in the name of opposition to public demands?

## British Plot to Deviate the Constitution Movement

When the struggles of the Muslims led by well-informed Ulama bore fruit, and the Shah was forced to sign the decree for the constitution and formation of the assembly, British plotters once again despaired. They had already witnessed the power of Islam and its inspiring force in the nation in the brief but decisive verdict of Mirza Shirazi, concerning the tobacco concession which roused the whole nation’s rage and protest, resulting as stated by all the historians of the constitution, in the defeat of internal despotism and foreign colonization inspire of all their might against the unity and solidarity ignited by the Ulama under the banner of Islam.

Now they saw once more that the Ulama had taken the leadership of the combat in hand, and had easily overthrown the installed and tyrannical chancellor of a cruel and blood-thirsty government, and compelled the Shah and his administration to agree to its demands.

British plotters aiming at damaging the unity and solidarity of the Ulama in Iran and weakening the Islamic front through initiating dispersion and differences among its combatants so as to remove all barriers of the life-giving and motivating teachings of Islam obstructing them, tried to penetrate the ranks of the revolution. They brought in a number of their hired and so-called enlightened and Europeanised supporters in order to impose through them on the nation what was needed for their own survival under the name of law.

In the heat and excitement of the victory of the revolution which occupied even the minds of many informed people who were sympathetic to the nation and religion, this foreign concoction went unnoticed. So it was obvious that the ordinary people, intoxicated with victory and remote from the centre of realities, would content themselves with appearances, and would be ignorant of what was happening behind the scenes.

Thus deviations started from the very beginning, and the assembly ratified certain laws which were colourfully deceptive and inspired by European standards instead of adopting the life-giving decrees of Islam. In some cases, too, the assembly even ignored or opposed Islamic laws, while the majority of people were quite ignorant of the matter.

Here, too, the distinguished Ulama did not remain silent, and once more began to fight so fiercely and decisively that the assembly had to choose a committee to survey the approved laws and report their degree of compatibility with Islamic injunctions.

But this committee, which in addition to a number of parliamentary members, also comprised Reverends Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai, Sayyed Abdullah Behbahani and Shaikh Fazlullah Noori could only compile certain supplementary principles of the constitution and add them to the already approved laws, passing over the others in silence. But Noori clearly realized that foreign plotters had used their agents in Iran to prevent the laws from taking an Islamic hue, and knew that they were so powerful and influential that they mischievously infiltrated the assembly through their anti-revolutionary elements in the mask of extremist revolutionaries, whose mission was to create dispersion among true revolutionaries and even benevolent Ulama. Thus Noori could tolerate such a situation no more.

Therefore, to prevent religion from being harmed, that great scholar found the solution in open and serious protests, and accompanied by a number of the Tehran Ulama and those from other towns, he emigrated to Rey and sought refuge in the Shrine of Abdul Azeem.

## European Constitution or Religious Constitution

On the other hand, the plotters and their agents, far from being idle, were busy spreading rumours and constant accusations. Through calculated articles in the press, they created an atmosphere of pessimism and suspicion around Shaikh Noori. They claimed that he was opposed to rightful demands and to the revolution. They also refrained from publishing the answers and explanations given by him to such accusations, fearing that by so doing, people would come to know his real position and views in promoting Islam and the nation’s welfare.

## Bills

Therefore, following the writing of an opponent of his, Ahmad Kasnivi, he published manifestoes called ‘Bills’ from his place of refuge and distributed them among the people through his companions. In those Bills he declared his viewpoints, but unfortunately they were not published on a wide enough scale due to inadequate funds, and secondly they were in most cases prevented by foreign agents from reaching the masses.

One of these Bills shows his Islamic standing quite lucidly, that he is not only not opposed to the constitution, but, is also a steadfast supporter and founder of it, except that his form of constitution must accord with Islamic criteria, not a European one suggested and dictated by foreigners.

This Bill says: O people! I never refute the assembly, and I have done more than anyone else to establish it. For, our great religious leaders who reside in Holy cities and other countries did not at first agree with the constitutional revolution, and it was I who convinced them of its legitimacy and won them over by my reasoning’s. You can ask the reverend gentlemen themselves the truth of this statement. I explicitly declare for you to hear and inform those who are absent that my wish is for a constitution which is desired by all Muslims, namely a constitution based on Islam, and avoiding a legislation which is contrary to the Quran and Muhammad’s faith, and sacred creed of Ja’fari. This is what I want, and we and all the Muslims are unanimous about it. The difference lies between us and atheists who deny Islam and are its enemies, including Babi Mazdakis and pro-European naturalists.

“These are opposing me and the masses of people, and are doing their best day and night to misrepresent the matter to the Muslims and hinder them from realizing that the people and I are unanimous and have no differences. Do you not see clearly, O brethren, that since this assembly was established, every type of liberal, naturalist and Babi has emerged in Tehran from behind the scenes engaging in debauchery, and robbery

“Tell me what is the kinship between such people and this legislative assembly? May God be dissatisfied with anyone who has a thought other than reforming and improving this national assembly? May God’s wrath fall upon those who represent my ideas as contrary to truth, and hypocritically mislead the Muslims and prevent doubts from being removed, so that the Muslims may not hear our words, and make people suppose that such a man and other emigrants deny the principle of the National Consultative Assembly.”

## Resisting Atheists

Even though the Bill quoted above so clearly shows the viewpoint of martyr Noori that no further analysis is required, proving that he never opposed the constitution and was himself a staunch founder and supporter of it, yet it would be necessary to explain what was happening in the assembly through well-informed enemies and ignorant friends and with British plotting to enrage this Divine scholar to such an extent that he continued his combat, not with the constitution, but with what was damaging to Islam in the name of the constitution.

It would be fitting to hear his own words in this connection: “O, brethren living in Tehran. O Muslims of those zones! I beg you in the name of God, pay heed to this inadequate statement, and affirm it if you see a sign of truth in it.”

“Suddenly there arrived more than eighty papers, night-notices and announcements from here and there full of injudicious words and blasphemies of all kinds and profligacies of all hues. Three years ago the paper Hablul Mateen of Calcutta had written: “The people spend millions on pilgrimage to the five Holy shrines and bring back with them a handful of the soil; they spend much money on pilgrimage to Mecca, and bring back a little salt and bitter water called Zamzam.”

“In the paper ‘Majlis’, No. 143, the religion of the Prophet was called old-fashioned, and the Prophet and Ali were named pupils of European statesmen and politicians of our time, and had declared that henceforth they would not listen to such old superstitions.

“The paper ‘Sur-e-Israfeel has referred to the Prophet’s religion as a plaything, and the paper Kawkab Durri had called the ancestors stupid and foolish in their ideas and deeds. The paper ‘Arab-Ajam’ had spoken of the Consultative Assembly as second to Holy Ka’ba and Bayt al-Ateeq or even superior to them. A night-notice has called Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.) fanciful. The paper ‘Nedaa-e-Vatan’ has considered prostitution houses and wine-shops necessary to safeguard the municipality expenditure and cost of repairs. The paper ‘Tanbih’ has drawn caricatures of jafari religious leaders in the shape of animals; the paper ‘Zisht-o-Zeba’ has drawn the pictures of the Prophet and Muhammad Ali Shah and has called the Prophet ‘Legislator of Arabia’.

“Woe upon you Muslims who consider reading such papers as a measure of your understanding and advancement, and spend your family fund on them in order to do away with the Ulama and religious leaders. This has gone so far as if your religion and theirs is different. You have accused these Ulama who have been a vanguard to Islam for you in the prolonged absence of the Imam of thousands of defects and bribery, to be opponents of the assembly, even though this is an assembly some members of which bring infamy on others, and at night they gather together and engage in drinking and debauchery till morning, an assembly whose oppression is many times greater than that of despotic times, and is used as a guide for promising corrupt ideas and wicked deeds.

“O, men of Islam! Has not your Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.) said that insulting a religious leader is an insult to him and to God? And rejecting such a person is rejecting the Prophet and God? Why do you misrepresent the rightful voice of the Ulama to the common people as something wrong, and devilishly fool the ignorant masses, and keep on concealing or altering the letters and telegrams of the Ulama leaders of Holy Najaf and publishing them in a way to mislead them? Let all the people be united and keep this assembly in order by means of the Muhammadan Law.”

## Futurism and Prediction of Doom

Thus in view of the documents preserved in history, the existence of which even the enemies cannot deny or ignore, it is evident with what steadfastness and self-sacrifice Shaikh Noori, defended his Islamic convictions and did not even: spare his own life to prevent damage done to Islam. It seems that he was far-sighted enough to predict the future, and see clearly that this institution which was created in the name of liberty and constitution, but had its root in imperialistic forces for the purpose of shaking the foundation of Islam and thereby attain its own wicked goal, would soon reach a stage when the Islamic land of Iran which had for centuries been proud of following the Shi’a creed and Prophet’s household, would not only forget Islamic injunctions, but also no one would dare to speak of them or demand their fulfilment.

In order to understand the extent to which Shaikh Noori with his regard for future and his prediction of undesirable events which were going to destroy the Islamic foundation under the cover of liberalism and constitutionalism, expressed his views, we should glance at another of his Bills which begins as follows:

“It is the purpose of Hujjatul Islam, the revered Haj Shaikh Fazlullah and other emigrant refugees of the Holy shrine including the exalted Ulama and others to declare that in the royal charter (meaning the decree issued by the Shah following the people’s uprising led by the Ulama, and known in history as ‘the constitution decree), it was written we issued the order for the establishment of the Islamic Consultative Assembly. But very soon after, the word ‘Islamic’ disappeared altogether in the events that took place.

“We all saw and see clearly that since the commencement of this assembly, a group of careless and faithless people who were known as Babis before and those who deny the divine religion and believe in nature have risen and got moving, making pleas and warring with God’s servants.

“Other papers and night-notices were written mostly to abuse the exalted Ulama and taunt Islamic decrees, proposing changes in the religion, and altering its ramifications for the sake of improvement, and suggesting that those laws which were enacted thirteen centuries ago should be changed to suit present conditions such as having wine shops and prostitution houses, and girls’ Schools, and such acts as using the pages of the Holy Quran for making playing cards, and tearing up the leaves containing heavenly names in the premises of the national assembly, or saying that all nations irrespective of Muslims and infidels should be equal and their blood of similar value. Further, that all people should mingle and inter-marry.

“I ask you only about Tehran. How far has weakness of belief, debauchery and recklessness advanced since the name of freedom was adopted in this city? For many years two groups have appeared in Iran who devilishly tempt, deceive and rob people. One group is the Babi sect (from which was born the Baha’i sect later on, becoming gradually more strong), and the other is the group of Naturalists. As it happens, both groups have penetrated the assembly of us Muslims, checking Islam, intending to turn it into a Parisian parliament. That is why Hujjatul Islam Haj Shaikh Fazlullah Noori has been treated unjustly by these ignorant men, since he is alert about these two groups of thieves, and stands firmly in cleansing the national assembly from these two wicked groups, and by God’s aid he will not fail, and will not spare his life and property.”

## Charges of Understanding with the Court

It would be fitting here to point out that at the height of this martyred scholar’s combats with anti-Islamic laws, and foreign agents and the wicked acts of self-sold traitors, and also after his death, a number of people who had the mission to present his deeds as anti-religious, gave the easily-deceived and simple minded a wrong picture of him. They even pretended that he had reached agreement with Muhammad Ali Shah, to support his dictatorship under the guise of protecting religious injunctions, assuring the king that he would be victorious in this matter and would suppress lovers of freedom, and after sitting on the throne as an absolute monarch, Shaikh Noori, would be magnificently rewarded.

Without a doubt, those who have propagated such unjust charges intended to suggest to the people that Shaikh Noori was confident of his success in this matter and never supposed that his efforts would prove fruitless and that the Islamic assembly of an Islamic country would proceed as desired by the enemies of Islam. Even if he ever thought of defeat in this matter, he never imagined that supporters of democracy would take any step after their victory against supporters of religion, or that they would hang him. Otherwise he would never have stepped in a way in which there was little chance of success and much danger of death and destruction.

Such was the propaganda carried out both during his life and after his death. But now, that over seventy years have passed since this calamity, not only well-informed researchers and historians, but whoever possesses a certain degree of objectivity and relies on historical evidence in search for truth, can understand from this same Bill that the ideas and beliefs cherished in the depth of this martyr’s soul were quite different from such propaganda and suggestions. Indeed, it is clearly stated in this same Bill that “in cleansing the national assembly from these two wicked sects (the Babis, naturalists and all the enemies of Islam), he stands firmly and by God’s Will, he will not spare his life and property.”

This shows that this martyr had not only abstained from attaching himself to the fragile power of the Shah’s organization, but had also deliberately stepped in a way in which the danger of death was evident. Nevertheless he courageously declared that he was ready to sacrifice his life in defending Islam and the laws and decrees of this Holy religion, without the slightest fear. This is the traditional way chosen by all martyrs in the way of God and faith at all times. In his manifestoes issued at the Shrine of Abdul Azeem, his place of refuge, and in Qum, he repeatedly declared about himself: “This devout old servant is like a settling sun and has no desire for life. I have seen what I should see in this world, but as long as I am alive, I will not show negligence in supporting Islam. I have prepared this half-alive body to offer to Islam. A constitution which comes out of the cooking pot of the British legation is of no use to us Iranians.”

## A Life Sacrificed for Islam

At last, he sacrificed his life in defence of Islam. He declared: “Whenever the honourable representatives (of the assembly) who speak of being religious and godly, abandon their opposition to the following four points:

1) That the word “legitimate” be added after the word ‘constitution’.

2) That during the assembly’s terms, there must be five religious scholars of the first rank to supervise legislation, to ratify certain laws and to ensure that these laws are in agreement with Islamic decrees.

3) Fixing the penances and execution of religious verdicts concerning the Babis, Baha’is, other atheists and enemies of Islam.

4) Amendment of the law pertaining to the press and publication, in the early form of which it was prohibited to publish deviating books and papers which were offensive to the Holy religion, prophets and Imams.

Only then will no religious leader of Islam or Muslims oppose them, and the great National Consultative Assembly, too, will be truly worthy of the word ‘sacred’ and ‘may God preserve its foundation.”

He was so insistent on these points that he attracted much public attention, and the enemies of Islam who intended to shake the foundation of Islam and its laws under the cover of seeking liberty and democracy, were obliged, even .if it was for appearance’s sake, to heed the demands of the refugees. Thus the second principle of the supplement to the constitutional law which had been first drafted by him was approved with slight changes, by which it was enacted that five members of the Ulama of the first rank were to act as supervisors and see that no laws are contrary to the Holy religion, and this duty was to be a permanent one until the advent of the twelfth Imam.

## Accumulated Grudges

Even this small success of Shaikh Noori in attaining his Islamic and rightful wishes roused such a hatred in the hearts of his opponents that at last after the capture of Tehran by the constitutionalists and deposal of Muhammad Ali Shah, they started suppressing anyone who was not in their line of opinion, and needless to say, Shaikh Noori was one of the first in this group. So, seven months after being shot at and wounded, he was taken to the gallows since he had discovered the plots and hidden political games of the colonizers and how beyond the sacred movement of the Ulama and revolution of a Muslim nation, a mysterious band was at work. How anti-revolutionaries and dangerous pseudo-revolutionaries had not only pretended to be more revolutionary but had also assumed more important posts. They were diverting the course of the revolution by means of calculated plans and knew well what the two above groups were up to, and what type of people were benefiting from the death of the Children of Islam and were engaged in plunder. He had found the root of all these deceits, namely the legations of colonizing countries. Therefore they looked upon him as very dangerous, and so, taking advantage of the narrow-minded, emotional masses, they suppressed his voice, and after a ceremonial and artificial trial, and in the presence of a large number of spectators, they hanged him on the birthday of Imam Ali (a.s.), on 13th Rajab of 1327 of the Hijrah about sunset. His body was taken to Sanghelaj that night and secretly buried there, and after sometime, it was exhumed and taken to Qum and buried in the courtyard of Ma’sooma Shrine.’

## Seventy Years After

On that day, many Muslims (victims of false propaganda) were happy to see a seemingly reactionary or pseudo-scholar or anti-revolutionary hanged. But later on and very soon after the tempest and whirlwind subsided and the storms of feeling abated, they realized what they had done and what a great personality they had lost.

Thus once again, the book of the fruitful and venturesome life of a learned and fighting scholar was washed with blood in the way of Islamic ideals, and the progeny of chastity and faith lost another prolific offspring for the sake of Islamic glory, so that future history might judge him with a more clear-sighted eye and realize the truth.

## The Last Confession

The late Ahmad Jalaal Aal-e-Ahmad, who has rejected western culture and westernization, was the first of the so-called enlightened writers, who has offered his confession on this question to the Islamic public conscience, saying:

“Clericalism which was the last stronghold of resistance against Europeans retired within itself so quickly from the beginning of the constitution before the onrush of machinery and closed the outside door and stayed within its cocoon, waiting for the day of Resurrection. Clericalism withdrew step by step, and the religious leader who supported the faith and was hanged in the constitutional movement presented such a retreat. I agree with Dr. Tandar Kia who wrote: “The martyred Shaikh Noori was not hanged as a defender of the constitution, but as a defender of religion.” I add that he was killed as a defender of the Shi’a principle of Islam, and everyone waited for the verdict from Najaf about his death at a time when the leader of westernised enlightened men was Malkan Khan, a Christian, and Taleb-off, a Caucasian. It was from that day on (day of Noori’s death) that the brand of Westernization was placed on our foreheads. I consider the body of that exalted man on the gallows as a banner showing the domination of Westernization, raised after two centuries of struggle above the roof of this country.”

This was the account of a man martyred by western agents, westernization and plotters against a democratic nation.

Chapter Four

# The Second Mirza Champion Crusader against Colonization

In the history of the struggles of the alert Ulama and Islamic movement in the last century within two close periods, twice we come across the names of Mirza Shirazi.

The first time, we meet the famous Mirza Shirazi, the great crusading scholar and authority who set up the foundations of internal anti-despotic and anti-colonizing struggles by his prohibition of tobacco, leading to the ‘Regie events’ at the peak of Naseer al-Deen Shah’s tyrannical reign and the despotic rule of the Qajar dynasty.

The second time, we come across the name of Mirza Muhammad Taqi Shirazi, known as the second Mirza, who in a long series of anti-despotic and anti-colonizing conflicts especially with Britain, won a lasting reputation, not only in our history, but also in the history of Europe and England.

## Brief Biography

Mirza Muhammad Taqi Shirazi was a distinguished and scholarly disciple of the first Mirza Shirazi’s school of combat and crusade, who was trained at the theological centre of Samarrah.

His social and political maturity began before the year 1300 of the Hijrah since the day when Iraq, our neighbour, was considered a British province of influence, when Britain had extended its domination over the east and Islamic countries in particular.Iraq, owing to its strategic, natural and geographical position, was always coveted by foreigners, especially big colonizing powers, and international colonizing governments always looked treacherously and mischievously at this rich land having a fine climate. On the other hand, this country has always attracted Islamic centres of learning and religion owing to its special, cultural and religious position. Moreover, it has been a cradle of past civilizations and a centre for spiritual and intellectual quest in the world of Islam. That is why it has for long been the capital of Islamic caliphate.

The Nezamieh university of Baghdad, the theological centre of Najaf, and the Holy shrines of the true Islamic leaders each of which has been the source of inspiration to the crusaders in the way of truth and freedom, have been the factors which have always attracted interested individuals. So much so that today, most Iranians almost know every nook and corner of the towns, provinces and even the districts and streets of that country, and consider themselves a part of its destiny as they do of their own country. For them, it is a duty to drive out colonization from that land as well as their own land.

## The Position of Najaf

Of the Iraqi towns, Najaf, Karbala, Kazmayn, and Samarrah assume a special spiritual position which other cities of the world as well as other cities of Iraq lack. And of the above towns, Najaf enjoys a special religious, social and political position unrivalled by others. It was there that the second Mirza and many great and select men of the world of Islam and the east obtained basic education. Its spiritual, cultural and historical record goes back to almost a thousand years, and even now, it is the training ground of noble crusaders in the way of human liberation and salvation from the fetters of colonization and despotism, of men who have for generations since hundreds of years ago, not only stood against oppression and never submitted to force and injustice, but also in many cases have raised the banner of revolution and independence.

Meanwhile, various great political and revolutionary movements which have had Najaf as their starting point, have devoted to themselves a chapter on the political history of the east and Islamic countries which may be called “the political movement of Iraq”.

The writer of the book “Sowarah al-Najaf” writes: “The Holy Najaf was involved in a political national movement at the end of the 14th and beginning of the 20th centuries. The goal was to save Islam from deviations incited by colonizers, as well as liberating the Muslim nations from the destructive yoke of colonization and exploitation, and uprooting poverty, general illiteracy and universal diseases.

“The general position of Najaf was such that the news and reaction of all the important political changes and revolutions of Iran and Iraq were reflected in that city, producing various results and reactions.”

The verdicts and sharp telegrams which were constantly sent from Najaf to the dominant rulers of Turkey and Iran of those days were an eloquent and perfect example of Najaf’s position and its remarkable influence on public opinion.

For these reasons, the religious authority of the Muslims must be a liberal man who preserves and protects the law and uproots the agents of colonization, exploitation and despotism from Iran and Iraq.

In addition to Iran and neighbouring countries, over seventy percent of the Iraqis themselves would not embark on a revolutionary move without the green light from Najaf and from resident authorities of that city, especially when combat against the enemy of Islam and Muslims was concerned.

Thus for long years, Najaf was the centre of liberal free thinkers, politicians and revolutionaries of Iraq, Iran and Turkey.

## Occupation by Foreign Forces

With this introduction, it must be said that in the First World War, Najaf which was occupied by foreign forces had become a centre of consultation for thoughtful leaders and armed tribal pioneers in defence of its existence, and a centre of political and social gatherings. It was in the same line that in 1980 the revolution followed its course led by the great religious crusader and authority, the late Mirza Muhammad Taqi Shirazi, named the second Mirza.

This man, with his dignity and faith, religious valour and Islamic alertness, especially in confronting anti-Islamic elements and anti-Quranic plots of the colonizing power of Britain and its hired local agents, chose his true posture, and seriously engaged in struggle and defence. Finally when matters reached a critical point he issued the order for the defence of Islam, and the important revolutionary verdict which shook Iraq.

## View of Agha Buzurg Tehrani

The late Shaikh Agha Buzurg Tehrani writes in his book, ‘Tabaqaat A’elaam-e-Shi’a’ about the struggles of the second Mirza;

“Mirza Muhammad Taqi Haaeri Shirazi, the leader of die Iraqi revolution and the first kindler of the flame of that movement, was one of the greatest religious scholars and authorities of his time. He was a most famous figure of learning, virtue, honour and courage. As he himself narrated to me, he was born in Shiraz and brought up in Karbala. He studied the basic branches of Islamic knowledge in the same Holy land and rose very high as a scholar, of which he was worthy. Then accompanied by his friend Sayyed Muhammad Fesharaki Isfahani, with whom he held constant discussions, he left for Samarrah with the first group of emigrants to join the classes of Mirza Shirazi, attaining a high position as a disciple of his. Very soon he became a pillar of discussion and research in this Islamic centre and rose to the rank of a distinguished teacher in the life-time of his master, and many of his master’s disciples attended his class. He continued this task until his exalted master died, and owing to his merit and learning, he was chosen as his successor and became an authority of imitation for the Shi’as.

From that day onward he performed his heavy duty of Shi’a leadership most faithfully, honestly and valiantly, and engaged in leading the uprising, issuing revolutionary verdicts, teaching and training other scholars who later played a significant part in the history of Islamic struggles.

The classes of the second Mirza was most popular for seekers of theological knowledge and human virtue and perfection owing to Mirza’s power of expression and analysis, his abundant knowledge and accomplishments, and his wide reputation. In his classes, in addition to his pupils and seekers of truth, many men of learning were present who were considered as distinguished scholars and experts in religious subjects, but felt that they should benefit from Mirza’s abundant knowledge.

## Late Sadr’s View

Scholar Sayyed Hasan Sadr, writer of the book “Taasis al-Shi’a le Oloom al-Islam” writes in his ‘Takmale’:

“I was associated with him for twenty years, and during all this time, I never saw a blunder or default, or an undesirable and obscene conduct from him. For twelve years, we were ‘discussion’ companions and heard and benefited from his precise ideas, profound views and his scholarly and valuable reminders.”

What has given a greater worth and importance to the personality of the second Mirza and has placed him high among his contemporary scholars and religious authorities has been his feeling of responsibility and commitment before the significant events of the Muslims’ social life. He considered himself co-partner in the happenings of Muslim nations in every part of the world, and never spared himself in the sacrifices which were necessary in the way of his responsibilities.

He had deeply realized that during his leadership no foreign agents and international agents should penetrate the lands of Islam and hamper the propagation of the prophets’ teachings, or cause damage to their views and cultural authenticity especially with regards Islamic culture.

He believed that no one, no authority, no force and no foreign power has the right to pillage the Muslims’ resources, trample on their interests, or destroy their economy. So, on realizing clearly that Britain, as a first-rate colonizing power of the world of that time, acts contrary to his views and beliefs, and does not abstain from the exploitation of Muslim nations, he raised the banner of combat against this powerful country and its black colonization, ready to sacrifice his life in the crusade for the defence of Islamic rights and values.

The second Mirza rendered meaningful the ideal of ‘self-sacrifice’ through using all his might and abilities in this struggle, and by even sacrificing his children.

In the very first days of the conflict, his eldest son, Reza, was arrested during the happenings in Iraq and became a captive of foreign hirelings. At that time, British colonization had forced the Iraqi nation to accept the representative of the British government, Sir Percy Cox, as head of the Iraqi government and obey his orders whatsoever.

With such suffocating pressure on the Iraqis, the second Mirza realized that British colonization forces were about to expand foreign domination over Islamic countries. Therefore, with the growing crisis caused by the expansion of western domination over the Islamic east, Mirza issued a verdict which shook the foundation of the colonizing British government, declaring that “the choice of non-Muslims as rulers is not permissible.”

This verdict and, concurrent with it, his steadfast struggle and resistance left a deep impression on the suffering hearts of the exploited and enslaved Muslims, and awakened them to their social and political responsibilities. Above all, this verdict warned Islamic nations that their social, individual and political destiny should be determined by the Muslims themselves, and no foreign power, however strong and mighty, has the least right of interference.

The second Mirza did not confine, his struggle against the most powerful colonizing force to the issue of his verdict, but at the same time, he also organized a secret circle, the members of which included such fighting and distinguished Ulama as Shaikh Mehdi Khalaasi, Sayyed Hebat al-Deen Shahrastani and Sayyed Abul Qasim Kashani.

This group met secretly every day and often several times a day in his presence, propagating and publishing the result of their discussions and decisions among the Najaf Ulama and chiefs of Foraat tribes, guiding public opinion in promoting Islamic goals.

The second Mirza as a leader of anti-colonizing struggles of Muslims nations in general and Iraqi people in particular, has issued many decrees and verdicts and written many letters, two of which we will quote below.

## A Moving Letter

In the first letter addressed to the people he writes:

“Your Iraqi brethren in Baghdad, Kazmayn, Najaf, Karbala and other places have become united for engaging in peaceful demonstrations, and some of them have started them already. The demand of all the people is the legitimate rights of the Iraqi nation, the result of which is the independence of this country on the basis of a just Islamic government.”

“Therefore, it is the duty of all of you to send your deputies to Baghdad to claim these rights, to endeavour not to damage people’s security or create dispersion among yourselves.

“I recommend respecting all the views and opinions which exist among the people in this great crusade.”

The effect of this letter was astounding and beyond imagination. As soon as the copies were distributed among the people of Iraq, within a short time, groups of people from various cities and even villages of Iraq set off for Baghdad. The British did not believe that a verdict and a letter by a religious leader would create such an excitement and rouse so many thousands to move from the remotest parts of the country and start such a roaring wave. After two days, seeing the roaring torrent moving towards Baghdad and all the streets and avenues filled by them, the colonizing agents realized the dimension of the danger besieging them.

It was this realization that made the British resort to violence and take severe measures to check its consequences.

## Funds for the Crusade

When the second Mirza saw that the Muslims were hardly equipped to face the strong forces of the British, especially financially and would be easily vanquished, he issued his next verdict to the Muslims, namely to pay their religious tax, ‘zakat’, on their wealth in order to provide the needed fund for the crusade.

Upon this verdict, well-to-do Muslims and even those who could just make both ends meet proceeded in groups to hand over their taxes personally to the leader of the movement to be spent on the crusade and in the way of God.

The Muslim crusaders who had so far firmly withstood British forces with empty hands and without adequate means, now that they were supported by the fund collected through the religious tax in accordance with Mirza’s verdict, found a new spirit, and with this fund the leaders provided the combatants with the necessary equipment in the first place, and then provided the required support for their families’ livelihood, since most of these crusaders belonged to lower classes with little in come, and lacked enough savings for their family in their absence.

These steps removed many difficulties, and the crusaders found a strong morale to confront British forces.

British rulers and officials were amazed to see such collaboration and assistance in a nation which they thought to be retarded, exhibiting such alertness that inspire of hard living, they co-operate in times of need and are united against the common enemy.

As the crusading movement gathered momentum through the unity of the Iraqi nation, repression and violence from British agents became sharper. But this in turn enhanced the resistance of the newly-risen Muslim nation of Iraq. The leader of the crusade now realized that the British were mobilizing all their forces to deal with the Muslims, and that it was very likely that soon, this trained army might destroy the unity and solidarity of the crusaders.

## The Second Letter

Mirza Shirazi wrote his second letter addressed to the people of Iraq. This letter was like a stubborn flame kindling a great fire in the hearts and souls of the Muslims, by which to burn the British colonizers.

This letter contains the famous historical verdict of the second Mirza, spoken of as a ‘moving and rousing verdict’ by historians of eastern nations viewing the history of Islamic struggles and movements. It had a deep influence on the destiny of the Iraqi people and neighbouring countries, and frightened the colonizers to the extent that they saw themselves on the verge of annihilation.

This letter starts thus:

“It is obligatory for the people of Iraq to claim their rights. They must observe peace and security during their acts. But if the British government refuses to comply with their demands, the people may take up arms and fight the enemy.”

The situation was transformed by this verdict. The suffering and oppressed people of Iraq who had until then been without a goal and plan, and did not know how to deal with western colonizers and what front to form, now saw that there was no ambiguity and the goal was quite clear. The great authority and religious leader had explicitly permitted the Iraqi nation, both Shi’a and Sunni to form a united rank, and proceed beyond the line of pure defence and if necessary to assume the offensive.

The verdict and the united uprising of the Iraqi nation established the foundation of a great movement and the nucleus of Iraq’s revolution.

Even though four months after the issue of this verdict, Mirza Shirazi passed away, yet this movement and revolution started by him did not only remain intact, but also, to prevent the least damage to its foundation, they preserved it even more eagerly even at the price of self-sacrifice, so that the British rulers in Iraq declared in fear and disbelief: “What kind of a people and nation are these Muslims who do not disperse even after their leader’s death, and become more united?’

They could not understand that in an Islamic crusade, it is not only the leader himself that must be considered, but what is destiny making is the power of leadership. In anti-tyranny movements, this power of leadership is not created with the birth of a leader to be destroyed by his death. It is a continuous and protracted power in the spiritual life of the Muslims throughout history, handed down from one generation to another.

Thus after the death of the second Mirza, other exalted religious authorities, especially his disciples who had imbibed his learning, virtue, moral and religious courage, assumed the leadership of Islamic movements and revolutions, offering their lives like Mirza in this way, and went ahead disregarding this earthly and mortal life.

## Fellow-Fighters of the late Mirza

Among the great religious authorities who took part in the anti-colonization crusade, the following names stand out in the Islamic struggles of the Iraqi nation:

The late Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai, the eldest son of Ayatullah Sayyed Kazem Yazdi, Ayatullah Sayyed Mustafa Kashani and his prolific son Ayatullah Sayyed Abul-Qasim Kashani, Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Taqi Khunsaari, and Ayatullah Shaikh Mahdi Khalaasi and his fine son, the late Ayatullah Shaikh Mahdi Khalaasi Zadeh.

Each of the above personalities played a significant and historical part in the leadership of the Islamic movement started by the second Mirza. They spent weeks and month in the mountainous parts of Iraq which were the Muslims crusaders’ stronghold, around Basrah Mazira’a, in Harama’ Gharna, al-Amaarah, al-Naaseriyyah, Koot and Qaseebah, which is in the suburb of Baghdad, in defence against the attacks of British forces, and in support of Islamic Iraq. This support was not only for an Islamic country, but for the stronghold of Islam. The leaders of Islamic nations had realized that if western colonizers win success in one stronghold and bring the people under their domination and exploitation, they will expand their occupation and proceed to impose their rule over other Islamic lands, and will consider no limit to weakening those lands and their peoples. Therefore, they were determined from the first to check the enemy’s advance and prevent their domination over the political, social and individual lives of the Muslims.

## The Martyrs of Virtue

In that bloody war, many of the first grades pioneer and progressive Ulama who had taken part in the fight in the battlefield lost their lives. Among them was Ayatullah Yazdi. There were also other Ulama who, though not martyred in the field of battle, fell ill as a result of the hardships of the combats and later died. One of them was Ayatullah Sayyed Mustafa Kashani, father of Ayatullah Sayyed Abul Qasim Kashani. The famous crusader of the time of the Pahlavi’s and a founder of the Islamic movement of Iran in the last forty years and a founder of the idea of Islamic government.

It is true those in that crusade the Islamic Ottoman realm (Turkey) suffered defeat at the hand of the First World War invaders and got divided by them. It is also true that at the same time, Syria and Lebanon became French mandates, and Palestine and Iraq were left under British domination. But the victory of the Muslims in that war was not an apparent one, it was a spiritual one. It was in those dark days of calamity that the political alertness of the Muslims increased and thenceforth, the Muslim nations of the east strived for their liberation and independence until they attained final victory.

## Colonizers’ Change of Tactics

‘Dawani’, author of the valuable book, ‘The Movement of Iranian Clergy,’ writes in this connection:

“When the British witnessed the steadfastness of the Shi’a and Iranian religious leaders from the theological centres of Najaf and Karbala and the extraordinary zeal of the Muslims, they were compelled to forego, in appearance, the appointment of a non-Muslim governor for the Muslims of Iraq, and nominate a Muslim who would be acceptable to both the Shi’as and Sunnis.

“Their intention was to choose a puppet king who would safeguard the interests of his British masters over those of his nation at all times. By this deceit they planned to restore peace in Iraq temporarily and after the revolution subsided, and the revolutionary forces dispersed, they could resume the execution of their wicked plots.

Consequently they chose Ameer Faisal, as ruler of a descendant of Imam Hasan, a Hejazi resident of Mecca and of Sunni sect, and had for a time been chosen as king of Syria by the French.

After his mysterious death, Sultan Qazi succeeded him, and his wrong policy caused an upheaval in Iraq, and there occurred repeated riots and coup d’états in most of which General Noori Saeed had a hand.

In 1937, Sultan Qazi too, was killed in a car accident in suspicious circumstances and this time his little son, Feisal, succeeded him.

A year later Rashid Aali Gilani, the well-known nationalist – politician of Iraq was made prime minister, He began by carrying out a series of apparent reforms, but after a year, so many obstacles were placed in his way that his cabinet crumbled fearing the colonizers who were behind these machinations, Rashid Aali fled Iraq proceeding to Iran and after a time he left for Egypt as a political refugee.

After some time, the English negotiated with him and arranged for his return to Iraq in the company of Ameer Abdullah, to hold the reign of affairs there on condition that priority is given to British interests.

Having borne many hardships before, he now felt mild enough to expect such an opportunity, and so he returned to Iraq with Ameer Abdullah and set up an imposed government.

Once more, disturbances occurred in Iraq and chaos reigned everywhere, and the social and political life of the people came under the domination of colonizing forces.

Once more, the Muslim nations faced a crisis, and once more the combative and alert Ulama rallied to their help, awaking the people and defending their country and above all defending their religion and culture on which foreigners had placed their claws, and began an extensive struggle. One of these Ulama who played a significant part in these conflicts was the late Ayatullah Sayyed Abul Hasan Esfahani who, like his predecessors, never spared even his life in liberating Islam from the clutches of foreigners.

In brief, Najaf, the Najaf’s theological centre and its resident Ulama have played an effective part in all the Islamic movements and struggles particularly in this century, and most of these movements have started and taken shape there. That is why Najaf, according to Islamic historians, has always been like a thorn in the eye of western colonizers and particularly the British.

A western historian named Mess Bell writes as follows concerning the historic role of the fighting Ulama in promoting Islamic movements:

“Men of religion were the most effective and greatest promoters of rebellion in Iraq, both during and after the war. It was this religious influence that forced the rulers to establish Schools in order to weaken the position of religious centres of learning and attract the young to these modern Schools which were managed in a western style. With such plots they intended to uproot all rebellions and movements in their own time and future.”

Nevertheless, modern researchers believe that the Muslim nations showed by their authentic movements that Islam and Muslims are not deceived by such plots, and even if they lag behind their Islamic goals as a result of colonizers’ plots, a warning event and an awakening blow are sufficient eventually to make them recover their Islamic perspicacity, reinforce their criteria heartily, and by offering their lives for the revival of Islam and its liberation from colonisers, show that in their view Islam and its noble teachings is the most exalted liberating school of thought. And this secret was revealed by Mirza Muhammad Taqi Shirazi, known as the second Mirza, the great crusader and founder.

By his zealous endeavours, he produced a turning point in the history of Muslim nations’ struggles. Even though his struggles began in Iraq and took root and bore fruit there, a tree which he irrigated with his life and soul, yet it became so flourishing that it threw its life-giving shadow over all Islamic lands, and his struggles awakened the whole world of Islam.

Chapter Five

# Modarress: The Famous Crusader

Sayyed Hasan Modarress is one of the most renowned crusaders of this era, a noble fighter who gave his life for the sake of his beliefs and faith, a man whose name in our contemporary history has a special importance and brilliance. This will become evident when we read the opinions of other great men of history about him.



A’azam of Qum said about Sayyed Hasan Modarress: “Do you think that the Islamic Ulama can become like the Christian clergy? It is impossible. The Shi’a clericalism is independent, and does not rely on anyone. You may say that it does, but you cannot find such a point of reliance. These independent Ulama who do not rely on anyone, these theological students who are content with thirty or forty tooman a month and work hard, cannot be supposed to support another country or government. They are independent in their thoughts and real human beings emerge from them. Sayyed Hasan Modarress emerges from them.”

Modarress is not described thus only by the great Leader of the Islamic Revolution, but also by other politicians and statesmen.

A statesman like Dr. Mosaddeq, leader of the national movement of Iran who was himself a model and an unsubmitting example for crusaders in the time of both Pahlavi’s, speaks of Modarress as a disciple does of his master. Whenever he wished to speak of a courageous fighter, he mentioned the name of Modarress. Once in a session of Parliament, on 16th Esfand 1322 of the solar year, while speaking as a member of the fourteenth term of the assembly, criticising the work of the government of the time, he said:

“Was it not here that Modarress received a box in the ear? Was not Modarress’s death due to his sufferings in this society? Did he not taste martyrdom?”

These are the words uttered about Modarress long after he lost his life in the way of his belief, uttered at a time when he had departed this world, many years after his unrelenting struggle, leaving his name as an outstanding one in history. But even at a time when he was hardly known and had not yet stepped in the field of his historical crusades, as a newly arrived and inexperienced young man, he had exhibited his peerless personality and his mettle in such a way that the great thinkers of that time have recognized his true worth and spoke of him with deep respect.

## His Years of Study

During his study, in the cities of Holy shrines, he showed his mettle so well that he won the attention of the late Mirza Shirazi, the great Shi’a religious authority and anti-colonization and anti-despotism leader in the dictatorial rule of Naseer al-Deen Shah. Indeed, the great master wrote about him: “This descendant of the Prophet of God possesses the chastity of his ancestors, and sometimes amazes me with his intelligence. He has outwitted his classmates within a short time, and attained the top rank in logic, jurisprudence and principles. His power of judgement is perfect, and at the height of honesty and virtue.”

Much research has been made about Modarress by historians and many books and treatises have been written concerning his life, views, convictions, struggles and his constructive influence in his own lifetime and in later generations on the younger politicians who were children or adolescents at the height of his struggles or not yet born.

One of these writers is the late Mahdi Bamdad, a contemporary author, whose researches of half a century about the great politicians and personalities of the last two hundred years were collected in a book entitled, “The history of Iranian Personalities”. In this book he gives noteworthy accounts of Modarress.

Though later, investigators and historians have criticised Bamdad’s book, claiming that some of the facts have been ignored, and though they have confessed that owing to the censorship and suppression which existed in Iran at the time of the publication of his book, many matters, especially concerning the personalities who fought Reza Shah, could not be written, and though the same critics have even declared that justice has not been done to the biography of Modarress, his political struggles and his social and political services resulting in his martyrdom have received inadequate attention and scanty analysis. Yet, what has been written by Bamdad about Modarress is documentary and reliable and cannot be passed over lightly.

Therefore, we, too, to give a glimpse of his life, services and struggles will quote parts of Bamdad’s account of him below.

## Bamdad’s View

The late Bamdad writes:

“Sayyed Hasan Modarress, known as Modarress, son of Sayyed Ismail was born in 1278 of the Hijrah in the village of Sarabah Kojouya (now named Modarress settlement) a dependency of Ardestan. His father and grandfather who were preachers were inhabitants of Zavarah, but he lived in Qumshah (later named Shahreza) and at the age of sixteen left for Isfahan to study there. He stayed there for thirteen years and during these years he studied under Mullah Abdul Ali Harandi (died 1306) and Jahangir Khan Qashqa’i (died 1328).

“In 1309 he left for the cities of Holy shrines to continue his studies, where he remained for seven years benefiting from the classes of Mullah Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani and Sayyed Muhammad Kazem Yazdi. In 1316 he returned from Iraq to Isfahan and in the school of Small Jadda, he taught jurisprudence and principles, and received the nickname of Modarress (teacher). During his stay in Isfahan, his opponents tried to kill him, and in the Big Jadda they fired four bullets at him but failed to kill him. Once more he left for Holy Najaf to continue his studies.

“In Isfahan there took place government changes from despotism to constitution. In the second national assembly in 1328, he was nominated as candidate by the Najaf religious leaders in accordance with one of the articles of the constitution, to supervise the laws, and arrived in Tehran, presenting himself as a member of the Ulama group of the assembly. In 1332 he was elected by the people of Tehran as member in the third assembly and won a great reputation in the capital.

“In the Month of Muharram, 1334, in the First World War, accompanied by a number of personalities and members of the assembly, he emigrated for two years to Iraq, Syria and Turkey and in the same year in an emigrant cabinet formed under Reza Qoli Khan Mafi (Nezam Saltanah), Modarress was given the post of minister of justice, and after his return with other emigrants to Iran, he was chosen member of parliament in its successive terms.

“Modarress lived a simple life without much care about food and clothes, being content with little. He was honest, intelligent, alert, and witted.

Since his childhood, he led a hard and humble life. His father was a religious man who cared nothing for worldly riches, and accustomed his family and children to his own ways, calling on them to be contented like himself, avoid greed and covetousness, and be ready to fight against injustice and oppression, unyielding to force and refusing to sell their human worth and honour for gold. They were encouraged to fight for truth and righteousness and in this battle forsake their own comfort and repose, and those of their family, and be even prepared to offer their lives.

## In the School of Imam Ali (a.s.):

Modarress himself says: “My father taught us in childhood to be abstemious, and eat one meal a day, keep our clothes clean so as to do without new ones. He spoke of our chaste ancestors as our model, and told us to learn fortitude from our exalted grandfather, courage and abstemiousness from our chaste grandfather Imam Ali (a.s.), and unrelenting resistance against tyranny from our martyred grandfather lord of the Martyrs, Imam Husain (a.s.).

“My father always said that he who has not accustomed himself to indulgence in food and sleep, and has not constantly cared for the furnace of his belly, never submits to force, and is never tempted and enslaved by gold and worldly riches. He spoke of our chaste grandfather Ali ibn Abi Taalib (a.s.) as an example, describing how in the last night before receiving his mortal wound, he was the guest of his own daughter Umm-e-Kulsum (s.a.) for breaking his fast, and when she put some salt and milk before him, he protested about being offered two dishes since he was not known to desire more than one. When she decided to remove the salt, the Imam told her he preferred that the milk be removed and the salt be left, so that the milk could be offered to a family which lacked proper and sufficient food. He said that the philosophy of fasting is that a Muslim should be content with one meal a day and offer the other meal to the needy. My father said that he who reaches this stage of contentment in food does not forsake his human honour and pride for the sake of better food. Another model for my father was the life and struggles of my exalted martyred grandfather, Imam Husain (a.s.) to whom Yazeed ibn Mo’awiah (l.a.) had promised abundant wealth and property and comfort in return for his allegiance. But the Imam ignored all those promises since he was struggling in the way of right and truth and in defence of his faith and views, and eventually offered his own chaste blood and that of his family including his babies in this way.

We may well expect such a father who brings up his children in the wonderful school of our great religious leaders and exalted men of human history, to have a son like Sayyed Hasan Modarress emerge in that family.

All his life Modarress applied his father’s advice and counsel, and never deviated from the path shown by him.

In a book which was secretly published in Tehran in 1976, in addition to Modarress’s notes and writings, other articles were included concerning his life and struggles. An interesting part is the notes of one of Modarress’s friends and former classmate. Concerning his arrival from Isfahan to Tehran, he writes:

“His friends and companions narrate that the noble Sayyed entered the capital on a hot summer day on a two wheeled cart drawn by a feeble horse, and arrived at the house of Imam Jum’ah of Khu’i. He was received kindly and respectfully, and a very sincere friendship soon developed between them. After a few days, he went out in search of a room to rent, and found two different rooms one at 30 riyals and the other at 35 riyals a month. Sayyed chose the cheaper one, and when asked for the reason, he answered: “What destroys resolution and independent thought is indigence, and I have no desire to be in need of material help by anyone. I must arrange my life in such a way as to be in no need, and not indebted to anyone, thus diminishing my moral strength.” Then he added in an Isfahani accent: “Gentlemen, I am not one of those to receive secret bribes. I wish to have my sharp tongue free.”

## His Autobiography

In surveying the life, struggles and services of Modarress, the most valid writing at hand is a brief account by himself. Although this is in the form of an autobiography in which he does not speak of his combats, and only contains details of his birth, growth and normal life, yet it serves as a good opening, and we will quote it below:

My birth took place in about 1287 of the Hijrah and now I am at the age of sixty. (This was written by him around sixty years ago). My birth place was the village of Sarabah a dependency of Ardestan. My father was Ismail and my grandfather Abdal Baaqi, of the Mir Abedyn clan, most of whom now live in the same village. I am descended from the Tabatabai branch of Sayyeds. The profession of my father and grandfather was preaching divine decrees, and my grandfather Abdal Baaqi was an ascetic, and they took me to Qumshah at the age of six to be brought up with themselves. I spent my adolescence with him and I was fourteen when my grandfather died. In accordance to his will I went to Isfahan at the age of sixteen for study and my father died when I was twenty-one.

I stayed in Isfahan for about thirteen years and attended the classes of thirty masters during this period in the branches of Arabic, jurisprudence, principles and contemplative sciences, the most distinguished of whom was Mirza Abdul Ali Harandi Nahwi who was about eighty and had written many books which unfortunately for the world, were banned. In contemplative sciences, my masters were the late Jahangir Khan Qashqa’i and Akhund Mullah Muhammad Kashani both of whom lived as ascetics in the Sadr school of Isfahan and died there.

“After the Tobacco issues, (the Regie company and tobacco ban), I visited the Holy Shrines of Iraq and decided to stay in the Holy Najaf to study under the late Haji Mirza Hasan Shirazi. I benefited from most of the exalted scholars of that time, but most of my studies were under the late Kazemeini, Khorasaani and Yazdi.

“My stay in Najaf lasted about seven years, and then I returned to Isfahan. I began teaching jurisprudence and principles in a school in Isfahan called Small Jaddah, in the same way that I am teaching now in Sepah Salar School where I hope to spend the rest of my life, if God wills.

“After returning from Iraq, I had chosen discussion and teaching from among social activities until the situation necessarily changed in the revolution transforming despotism into constitution, when as the saying goes, ‘the split was wider than the patch.’ By the order of the religious authorities residing in Iraq and by the invitation of the second national assembly, I came to Tehran as a first rank parliamentary member ever since. I have seen whatever there is to see, and heard what there is to hear. During the years of the revolution at the time of World War-I, for two years I with some other Iranians, emigrated, visiting Iraq, Syria and Istanbul, to describe the details of which requires more leisure. I was attacked twice, once in the Great Jaddah school of Isfahan in the middle of the day when four shots were fired at me, but they failed and I did not pursue them.

“The second time was when I was walking in a street next to the Sepah-Salar School at about sunrise to teach, when I was suddenly surrounded by about ten men who were firing a shower of shots at me, four of which were effective, three to my left arm, below and above the elbow, and one under the shoulder. They were truly good marksmen, and made no error in aiming at the heart, but by God’s will, they failed, and the last shot hit my right elbow. There exists no power but God’s. This autobiography was written by Modarress on 7th Rabi al-Saani 1346 (over half a century ago) in the first years of the publication of the paper Ettela’at, at the request of its editor, the original copy of which is still kept in the archive of this newspaper.

## His Political Life

Although Modarress was a well-known figure of the time of the Qajar dynasty, and was in the rank of constitutional combatants and crusaders of the great Iranian movement against British colonization and Qajar despotism, yet his venturesome political life began in fact in the time of Reza Khan’s rise to power.

At the time when the oppressed nation of Iran lost all patience with foreign and internal oppression, and started its first movement under the leadership of the first Mirza Shirazi, Modarress was a young man full of ardour who did not only have an active role in the preliminary phases of the constitutional movement, but also afterwards when the people’s struggles for uprooting despotism and setting lip the constitution entered a serious phase, he proved himself a fearless and effective crusader.

When the decree of the constitution was signed by Muzaffar al-Deen Shah, Modarress was a man of thirty-seven who had found the way of life and had taken effective steps in its direction. At the height of the struggle of the constitutionalists, he was in Isfahan where the crusaders were inspired by his fiery words, and he advanced in his course to the extent of finding his way to the ‘house of the nation,’ namely the second legislative assembly. However, he proved his real mettle in the tyrannical rule of Reza Shah, and registered his name in the contemporary history of Iran in the rank of the most brilliant personalities of this century.

At the height of Reza Khan’s power who was in fact installed by the British, Modarress showed such courage and explicitness in opposing the Shah and his British supporters that according to his friends and fellow-fighters, he paralysed Reza Shah’s rule and regime. It was his constant opposition that roused the hostility of Reza Shah and his followers towards Modarress, and this went so far that Reza Shah and his protectors thought Modarress must either change his ways and abandon the conflict, or lose his life in this process. It was obvious what course a fighter like Modarress would chose. He chose the way of the people.

Thus Modarress lost his life for the sake of his convictions and beliefs in preserving the Islamic criteria which were endangered by Reza Shah and his English protectors, and in the way of freedom, leaving behind the name of an honest seeker of truth and right.

In the years when the British colonial power brought Reza Khan into the political arena as a hired agent, and arranged for the suppression of liberals at the top of his program, Modarress rose up as a popular historical figure, and in the commandeered parliament which was told to dethrone the Qajars and vote for Reza Khan’s kingship, Modarress stood up to oppose this plan as a valiant man and to defend the truth.

Although it was a simple matter for colonial agents to curb opposition and silence opponents, yet Modarress’s words echoed in the whole country and everyone heard them within a short time, encouraging their outcries, and creating uproar from a wakeful nation in support of that voice. This message and his other words of combat penetrated the hearts of people during historical years showing that this nation never forgets its great children.

## View of Ayatullah Taleqani

The valiant crusader, Ayatullah Sayyed Mahmood Taleqani speaks thus of Modarress:

“The late Sayyed Hasan Modarress opened the door of conflict with colonization, and showed that it is neither Russia nor Britain but the nation, this nation that must hold its destiny in its hand and find its way and go ahead.”

## Membership in Parliament

It was first in 1332 that Modarress was elected to the parliament, when the First World War was burning the whole world, and plundering countries, especially Britain, were pillaging the wealth of the oppressed nation of Iran. It was at such a time that he rose up with an unparalleled faith and conviction against colonizers and was engaged in an irreconcilable crusade with Britain.

He continued his struggles until the question of the change of dynasty from the Qajars to Reza Khan was brought up, and he began to violently oppose the transfer of kingship to Pahlavi.

In the session of Saturday 14th Aban 1304 (of the Solar year), when this change of reign was being considered on the proposal of some members of the assembly, Modarress strongly opposed it, and as he had formed a small minority with Mosaddeq and a few others, he resorted to a parliamentary manoeuvre in order to secure a suitable atmosphere in the attainment of his goal, to make up for the smallness of the number of his supporters.

In the same session, he rose in the heat of the discussion and said in a loud voice:

“I have a legal notification, Sir!” Tadayyun, the then president of the assembly looked at him with surprise and asked: “On the basis of what article?”

As he could not remember the exact article, which would be in conformity with his reminder and view, he replied: “On the basis of the fact that this proposal is contrary to the constitutional law.”

It is true that the self-sold members and agents did not allow Modarress to resume his remarks, but he rose from his seat and left the hall in protest against what was contrary to the nation’s interests, and as he was passing by the members, he said loudly: “Even if you cast a hundred thousand votes in favour of it, it is contrary to the constitutional law all the same.”

With this sharp move which was heroic at a time when Reza Khan had gained much power with British support and used it in hellish ways, Modarress proved that a man is alive by his faith and belief and should stand by them to the extent of giving his life.

Because of his lasting struggle with Reza Khan, which was really a struggle with the fabulous power of British at that time, Modarress suffered much through him and several attempts were made on his life by hired agents. At last Reza Khan’s plots and intrigues resulted in his exile to the remote town of Khaf near the Afghan border, where he was maltreated and tortured. After a time he was transferred to Kashmar, where he was murdered after much torture.

## His Moral Characteristics

In addition to his brilliant qualities as a fighter, Modarress was at the same time a model for his contemporaries and future generations by his humility and modesty, which could serve as a lesson in human qualities for all, especially the young.

Bastani Parizi, a writer and historian has narrated a story about Modarress which serves as a lesson. He writes:

It is narrated that during the First World War, when a provisional government was formed in Western Iran, which ended with the emigration of some of the cabinet members to Istanbul, at the time of the sudden departure for Turkey, as there was not enough space in the train, the Ottoman government as a sign of respect for the emigrants and especially Modarress, allotted a special carriage to them with several guards.

“Modarress had been orderly since his student days and looked after himself. On the way when the train stopped to give the passengers a rest, Modarress rose and prepared a hubble-bubble and some fresh tea. Ameer Khizi (the narrator of the story) was an interpreter on this trip, and he carried some tea and hookahs for the guards. Their officer was pleased, and thinking that Modarress was a teahouse-keeper by his looks, asked for another cup of tea. Modarress cheerfully offered him a cup. When they reached Istanbul the officer came forward to pay for the tea. Ameer Khizi told him there was no need, but he insisted and said that he didn’t want to cause a loss to the old teahouse-keeper.

When the train stopped, many people had come to welcome the emigrants and they respectfully took Modarress at the head of the group. The officer was watching the scene in amazement and asked Ameer-Khizi about it, and the latter told him that this particular carriage of the train had been added in honour of that honourable old man.

“The officer on hearing this and seeing that magnificent reception, felt ashamed and turning to his friends said: “By God, next to Omar, we have not seen such an exalted gentleman.”

Bastani Parizi adds that what was said in the parliament that Modarress is an Omar descending from Ali, might be a reference to this historical incident.

## Earning a living

His humility as a student, and his effort in earning a living as a simple worker, was a lesson that Modarress had learnt in the school of his master Imam Ali ibn Abi Taaleb (a.s.), a lesson that he remembered all his life.

Another story illustrates this point. To the people of Isfahan who came to him in groups to solve their problems or guide them he used to say: “I hope none of the family of Muhammad Reza Khan Sarhang Gazi (a noble ancestor of an Isfahani family) expects my help which I must grant, whereas I cannot do so even for my brother.” When they asked him the reason for this remark, he answered:

“In my youth I left the village of Sarabah for Ardestan and thence to Isfahan to study. To earn a living, I had to go to villages on holidays and after changing my clothes I worked as a labourer or mason. One day I went to Gaz and a steward of Muhammad Reza Khan employed me as clay-maker, and told me to pull down the clay wall of a garden and receive two riyals’ wage by the evening.

I agreed and began to work. By noon a horseman came and stopped there and said: “My man, May God gives you strength, stop pulling down the rest of the wall.”

I told him: “I don’t know you. Another man has ordered me to pull down this wall, and I must finish it.” Then I gave another hard blow at the wall with my pick-axe. That man (I found out later that he was the owner) said: “My man, don’t you understand? This is my garden, and I tell you to stop pulling down the wall.”

I answered: “Well, you may be the owner, but I don’t know you. My employer has given me this task, and it is he who can stop it, not anyone else.”

The horseman was very angry and said: “The damn fellow wants me to show him the deed of ownership!”

I answered: “I am not to be damned” and added in Arabic: “He who has a claim must produce evidence, and he who denies can swear.”

The horseman thought for a moment, looked down and whipping his horse he left.

I resumed my task when suddenly two riders came and took me to Muhammad Reza Khan’s house. He turned to me and said: “Man, do you know why I did not punish you there for your obstinacy?”

“No. I don’t know.”

“Because no one has dared so far to stand facing me like this. I felt for the first time then that I am a weak creature, and after a moment’s thought I guessed that you must not be a professional labourer. Tell me the truth. What is your trade?”

I answered: “My name is Mirza Hasan and I am fond of learning, and I go to the neighbourhood of Isfahan to earn a living.”

“I untied a little parcel I had with me and showed him the robe and turban that I wore at school. He called one of his secretaries and told him to write a draft to a well-known merchant of Isfahan, containing this note: “As long as he (Sayyed Hasan Modarress is a student at the school, pay him three toomans a month personally at his room, and there is no need for a receipt.” They brought some lunch and after partaking of it, I left for Isfahan.

“That is why I say I hope no relative of Muhammad Reza Khan Sarhang does require my help, for; I would have to comply with his request and cannot refuse it.”

The life of Modarress is full of such stories of counsels. Although he could make use of the financial assistance which was usually granted to theological students at that time, he didn’t consider work a disgrace, and insisted on earning a living by his own labour, showing that one should bear much hardship in the acquisition of knowledge.

## End of It All

Modarress’s end is most painful. When his struggles with British colonization and Reza Khan’s tyranny reached a climax, the Shah and his advisers and masters decided to annihilate him.


He was exiled and imprisoned first, and after torturing this old crusader for some time, he was sent to a prison in Kashmar. From there he sent a message to his friends that his term of imprisonment would soon be over, and after being set free he would either make an end of him or lose his own life.

But this message reached Reza Shah and he ordered to get rid of Modarress. The order for his death was given in 1316, and police executioners murdered this valiant fighter in his prison. He did not find the chance to make an end of Reza Shah, but the struggles which he had starred were continued by others to annihilate Reza Shah’s family and bring victory to the Islamic Revolution.

Chapter Six

# Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani

In the history of the liberation movements of Iran, the name of Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani Tabrezi has been recorded as a brilliant, destiny making one. He has been called ‘the alert spirit’ and the ‘roaring epic’ of Azerbaijan, who began in his very youth to fight tyranny and injustice. He was brought up in crusading Azerbaijan which was the land of valiant personalities and crusading champions. As an adolescent, he tasted the prevailing atmosphere of oppression, and realized with his sensitive mind that his home and realm of his ancestors were in the bloody claws of oppressors, colonization, exploitation, and internal despotism which had left nothing of life and freedom but a wounded and bloody phantom.



Since his childhood, Shaikh Muhammad had been brought up in a religious environment, and since his youth, he was an alert, enlightened and fearless scholar who was indefatigable in his efforts at promoting human ideals in society. At the height of the constitutional struggles, he was a zealous youth who acted as a leader in this movement, and when the decree for the constitution was signed by Muzaffar al-Deen Shah, he was only 27. He rose up in one of the darkest periods of Iranian history, and one of the most painful social and political eras of this country, and saved Azerbaijan from the abyss of distress.

The well-known scholar, the late Kazem Zadeh writes about Khiabani in his magazine ‘Iranshahr’: “He was a rare personality of recent time, an upright, intelligent and resolute man who taught the future generations a lesson by offering his head to save Iran.”

Shaikh Muhammad was born in 1297 of the Hijrah (102 years before this account was written) in the village of Khamena, a dependency of Tabrez, and completed his elementary education there. He then studied jurisprudence and principles under the late Ayatullah Haj Mirza Abul Hasan Agha Angaji who was a crusader himself and had spent over a year as an exile in Mashhad and Sanandaj and had soon attained a high reputation as a man of learning. As a distinguished disciple of Angaji, he was soon considered an authority, owing to his sharp intelligence. He learnt astronomy and mathematics under the late Mirza Abdal Ali, a well-known astronomer, and excelled other fellow students, revealing great talent in scholastic theology, philosophy and literature. Moreover, asceticism, chastity and such commendable qualities were manifest in his personality.

While still a young scholar, he acted as the Imam of Congregational Prayers in the jam’e Mosque of Tabrez, and in the Karim Khan Mosque of ‘Khiaban’ district day and night, thereby winning a great reputation and respect of which he was fully worthy.

It was this very reputation, which in 1327, at the age of about thirty, led him to be elected to parliament by the people of Tabrez and come to Tehran.

When the Russian ultimatum was being considered in the assembly, Shaikh Muhammad with an admirable courage which amazed others, in a fiery speech opposed the ultimatum, and went so far in this opposition that he was eventually banished from Tehran so as to be rid of his violent criticisms.

It was from this stage onwards that his uprising known as ‘The Khiabani Uprising’ took birth, and thence, he never rested a moment in his combat against colonization and tyranny till his last breath.

The writer of the book ‘From Saba to Nima’, refers to the ‘Khiabani Uprising,’ writes: “After the overthrow of the despotic Tsarist regime of Russia in 1919, it was hoped that the British would respect the independence and integrity of Iran, but this was a vain hope. Britain was victorious in the war and was worried about events taking place in Russia, and no sooner had the Russians withdrawn from the Iranian territory, that the British quietly took their place and moved near the borders of Caucasus and Middle Asia. It came to an agreement with the government of Vosuq al-Dawlah, aiming at preserving its empire, and imposed the treaty on 9th August 1919 on Iran.

According to this treaty, the two important pillars of the country, namely finance and the army were placed under the supervision of English advisers, and in this way the perfect domination of Britain over Iran was confirmed. But this treaty violently roused the protest and dissatisfaction of the people.

On 17th Farvardin 1299 of the Solar year, an armed uprising took place in Tabrez against the reactionary government of Vosuq al-Dawlah and British imperialists, spreading to other towns of Azerbaijan. The revolutionaries led by the valiant fighter, Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani, occupied government offices and took over control of Azerbaijan.

At first, Khiabani stood firmly against pressure by Tsarist Russians, and after the Russian Revolution, checked the infiltration of communism. He loved his homeland and had no desire for rank or wealth, and meanness and short-sightedness formed no part of his character.

The literary scholar, the late Professor Saeed Nafisi writes in the introduction to his book The Uprising of Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani’: “For many years, Khiabani was one of the most effective men in Iranian politics, deeply influencing the course of events in Tehran and Tabrez by his views. Not caring for personal benefits which could be his at the asking, he instead lived a simple and almost indigent life, and by the time he died he did not even have a humble saving.”

It would not be an exaggeration to say that the province of Azerbaijan has always been a cradle of freedom and the nurturing land of valiant and devoted fighters. During all national struggles, the effective and constructive role of its people has been unforgettable. But the efforts and unsparing work of the crusading Ulama of this province have been of a different kind. These great men like Haj Mirza Hasan Agha, (a theologian), Imam of Friday prayers, Haj Mirza Mohsen, Haj Mirza Sadiq Agha and Seqatul Islam and other lofty figures of this region whose names have been recorded in the history of constitutional struggles, have with the aid of the people, opened a new chapter in the crusade of the people.

Some historians of the constitutional and liberation movements have even written that the endeavours of such champions as Sattar Khan (known as the national leader) and Baqer Khan (known as the national lord), were inspired by the decrees of religious authorities and guidance of the Ulama. They believe that the role Khiabani played in these events has been so obvious and outstanding that even in a brief historical account, one cannot neglect to mention his name. Thus a description of his life and combats here would illustrate only a brief account of his acts and efforts.

One of the close friends of Khiabani, the late Badamchi writes about him in a letter to Kazem Zadeh Iranshahr:

“In l324 of the Hijrah, when the regime was changed (from despotic rule to constitutional monarchy), the late Khiabani defended freedom and constitution, rifle in hand, in trenches with crusaders, and whenever these crusaders showed the slightest weakness he encouraged them with fine words and breathed a new life into their despondent spirits. He was also a member of the provincial council, where he played an effective role in decision-making.

After the end of the so-called short despotic period of Muhammad Ali Mirza, Khiabani was elected a member of the second legislative assembly for Azerbaijan to defend the rights of the nation.”

The talented Islamic writer, Muhammad Reza Hakimi, writes in his valuable book “The Awakeners of Qebla Lands” about Khiabani:

Men of virtue and heralds of truth always possess a brave heart, a confident mind and a valiant spirit. Shaikh Muhammad Khiabani was an example of such learned and courageous men of Islam. He intended to create an Islamic power and by extending it throughout Iran, bring into existence an Islamic centre free from and secure against every type of foreign agents.

“When he was arrested, he bravely and dignifiedly, refused to utter a single word to the Ottoman officers and to answer their questions. On his death-bed he remarked: ‘An honourable death is better than a shameful life,’ and threw his precious life into dangerous storms.

“In one of his discourses addressed to the young, he said: “Young people! Future hopes of the country! Remember that true glory is in sacrifice. Real honour belongs to one who offers his life for the sake of others’ welfare and in the arena of social conflicts does not turn his back to the assaults of times and is not vanquished by ‘back-breaking’ calamities.”

He was a liberal crusader and devoted soldier of Islam, who called the nation to his ideas, and who respected truths and national realities, and had adopted freedom, nationalism, religion, justice and protection of human rights as his main goals in his struggles. He always desired to see the solidarity of groups and Islamic societies recovering its true meaning, so that Islamic models and values would replace false and deceptively artificial European values. He trusted neither the east, nor the west, neither Russia, nor Britain. He did not see the strength of the nation and his own effectiveness, and attainment of the goal in anything but through revolution and reliance on the power of the nation.

Ahmad Kasravi, the renowned biographer, who has been usually critical of him and of the Ulama, admits that “Khiabani was not pro-Bolshevik and only followed his own ideas.”

He was strongly opposed to the treaty of Vosuq al-Dawlah, and as he had become highly respected and popular throughout Iran and particularly in Azerbaijan, the people of Azerbaijan joined him in opposing the treaty, disarming the military units which served foreign interests, eventually expelling from there, the officials of the central government.

This uprising which was heartily supported by the people of that province was anti-colonization, but it failed before the plots and the hellish powers of the enemies, and the collaboration of internal traitors with foreigners and hypocrites. In the end, Shaikh Muhammad was killed in an encounter with the enemy forces. Thus, he started one of the greatest liberal movements, and provided a model of self-sacrifice in attaining national goals and ideals.

His ideas of reform and his exalted national and humanistic thoughts are reflected in his speeches and eloquent discourses and recorded in history. Kazem Zadeh Iranshahr has collected some of these discourses, a few lines from which we will quote below:

“Our uprising intends to set up a stable state, the permanence of which would not depend on special groups or persons, and would not lend itself to personal interpretation. We envisage this state in the form of a democratic government.”

“The changes which take place in the social life of a nation cannot correspond with the wishes and expectations of all, especially in a country where privileges have ruled for thousands of years. Consequently there appeared conservatives who try to change the atmosphere which has arisen against them.

“Submitting to injustice is treason in itself, and remaining silent before tyranny is another one. Unity and co-operation in a nation and the inter-relations that originate from this brings power and courage, the same like mixing milk and sugar.”


“A matter which should not be regarded slightly is the defence of a nation’s rights. If you see a nation or a group of people not defending their rights, you may be sure that they are not aware of those rights. A civilized nation values its rights and preserves them in times of need.”

At last, in a clash between his forces and the army serving the foreign powers, Khiabani’s uprising was crushed and foreign and internal plots bore fruit, ending with his death on 29th Zilhajj, 1338 (about 68 years ago).

Chapter Seven

# Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Waaez and Malek al-Motakallemeen: Two Preachers and Crusading Martyrs

In the people’s movements and combats for freedom in the way of Islam, praise should be given to a most effective group, which included preachers, orators, elegy reciters and Islamic speakers, whose enlightening and instructive role has been decisive and ‘destiny-making’ in rousing waves of protests and cries for truth and right.



Those comprising this group, through their close contacts with the masses among whom they themselves lived, thereby providing a good opportunity for exchanging ideas were respected and trusted by the people. They thus played a decisive role in mobilizing the masses in whom lied the real and basic power of a society, towards a course necessitated by history, and in promoting their advance. Moreover, whenever the crusading, exalted Ulama willingly joined this group to offer their invaluable services, the result was an effective awareness among the masses and through which the preachers and orators who kept in constant contact with the latter incited them against everything that ought to be uprooted.

In the history of anti-despotic and liberal movements, two brilliant figures stand out for their honour and dignity, namely Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Waaez Isfahani, and Malek al-Motakallemeen Beheshti.

A brief glance at the lives, struggles, and constructive roles of these two in the history of Iranian movements, would be necessary for a historical study of the role of the Ulama in this century.

The sympathetic, late Dr. Ali Shari’ati writes about the preachers, orators and elegy reciters whom he calls ‘the reminders’ as follows:

“The fact that Shi’ism does not refer to the reminders of Ashura and (preachers) of the philosophy of revolution as ‘preachers, orators, spokesmen, theological scholars and jurisprudents and has called them ‘elegy reciters’ is not accidental and without significance.

“The greatest mission of elegy reciters is to recite and remind people of oppression and speak and fight against those who interpret history in favour of the powerful, against historians, writers, missionaries and even the Ulama who owing to their attachment to a regime, do not expose trampled rights and turn a blind eye to calamities, massacres, and martyrdom of great men. They are forever prowling around palace-owners and tribute collectors, whose spokes-men they are. The elegy reciters reveal the crimes committed constantly against deprived people and their rights, and speak of the great calamities of history.

“That is why Shi’ism has called those reminders of oppression ‘elegy reciters,’ so that they remind you here and there and at various times of what blood has been and is being shed in order to silence the voice of religion, Schools (Maktab) and justice.

“Therefore, if you want me to believe that you are of us, when you speak of Muhammad (s.a.w.a.), of Ali (a.s.), of other Imams (a.s.), of the Holy Quran and of whatever else, you should make a digression to mention Karbala and leave the mark of Karbala on what you do and say to make me accept it as valid.

“I approve of a Muhammad whose mission is manifested in Ashura.

“I admit of a prophethood the message of which finds perfection in Ashura.

“My Quran is a Quran which is connected to Karbala.

“Ibrahim is a prophet who joins Husain.

“Ismail is an Ismail who reaches Husain.

“I do not accept anyone and anything without Husain.

“I have already said that when Imam Husain (a.s.) emerges from circumambulation, and leaves the rank of the pilgrims and turns to another direction, what kind of a circumambulation would it be and what are you circling around? If you do not follow the way of Imam Husain (a.s.) and do not reach Karbala, you may as well circle round so often that you may get giddy!

“That is why the most fundamental propaganda of the Shi’a is elegy recitation and reminding people of what the regime tries to make them forget. So, when he speaks of God, the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.) and the Holy Quran, he ends it with Imam Husain (a.s.) and Karbala, even though the rule of tyranny, crime and force, too, pretends to speak of God, the Holy Quran and the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s traditions. Imam Husain (a.s.) is the border that separates truth from falsehood, and the executioner from the martyr, in a system where both the martyr and executioner have one book, one prophet and one religion.”

Now after a glance at the fine words of the martyred teacher about Islamic preachers, orators and elegy-reciters, we turn to the lives of the two exalted Islamic preachers and the crusaders martyred in the national movement of Iran.

## Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Waaez Isfahani

Sayyed Muhammad Ali Jamaal Zadeh, the famous writer, known as the founder of prose literature in the form of short story of the modern world style, writes about Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen:

“Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen is a perfect example of those who have proceeded along the hard way of success from the beginning to the end, step by step, with utmost love and zeal, without the least rest, regret or hesitation. This noble Sayyed is undoubtedly one of the rare prodigies who have performed wonderful deeds owing to the flames of their burning minds, high aspirations, steadfast and unalterable resolution, their iron faith, and have eventually lost their lives in the way of attaining their sacred goals.

The truth of this claim will become evident if one considers the facts that this son of a priest who became an orphan while he was still six to seven months old in the city of Hamadan and got separated from his few relatives who were scattered around the world in Jabal Aamel, Lebanon, Mesopotamia, Isfahan and other places in Iran and Arabia, and who in this world had no one to take care of him except a young, indigent, helpless and illiterate mother with no connection or aid. You should also imagine the same person at the age of forty-six in a corner of Ameer Afkham Hamadani’s prison in the town of Burujard waiting for death every moment, whereas his eloquent and penetrating words and thousands of his fiery discourses for a period of over twenty years have deeply influenced people in all parts of the country particularly the large gatherings in the capital. His activities and self-sacrifices in conjunction with his few colleagues and companions had overthrown bride less despots in this ancient land of Iran.”

Jamaal Zadeh who is Sayyed Jamaal’s son and his descendant, throwing light on his father’s personality writes:

“Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Isfahani rose up all by himself at the height of political and religious tyranny and spoke to the masses in their own language, thereby winning their love through his kindness, affection, and sincere sympathy. Like a patient and benevolent teacher, he guided his compatriots step by step towards enlightenment, and made them aware of their rights, thereby preparing the way for the revolution. Not content with that, he himself became a pioneer and organizer of that revolution, and at last gave up his life for it.

Sayyed Jamaal, born about 1279 of the Hijrah in Hamadan, lost his father in babyhood, and his mother brought him to Tehran. He was sent to classes known at that time as ‘Maktab’ at the age of five, and two or three years later, when the child had learnt some reading and writing, she sent him to work in an iron chain-making workshop belonging to her sister’s husband. But Sayyed Jamaal was so eager to continue his studies that he cryingly and protestingly implored his mother, his aunt and her husband to allow him to continue his education. When he was fourteen, they sent him once more to Maktab. He was so fond of studying that not only did he not leave his books for a moment at school, but at home, too, he spent the remaining of his time with his books and notebooks. Even at night, helped by the dim light of an oil-lamp and the moon, he engaged himself in reading. This excessive love of reading weakened his eyesight even in his youth, and in the last years of his life, he lost the sight of one eye completely.

At 22, he was considered a learned man excelling others. At that time, as Isfahan was known as a centre of learning and since his cousins and other relatives lived there, he set off with his mother for that city, and his relatives being mostly priests there and enjoying a good reputation, they encouraged him to follow the profession of preaching and orator ship like his ancestors.

He agreed and through his learning, his simple and sweet enunciation, his reliance on Holy Quranic verses, traditions and reliable narrations and his use of attractive topics, he won the attention of the people and a great popularity in a short time, not only in Isfahan but also in other parts of the country.

As he intended to stay in Isfahan among his friends and followers, he got married to Maryam, of the Seraj al-Mulk family, and his son Sayyed Muhammad Ali Jamaal Zadeh, the famous contemporary writer is the product of this marriage.

According to his son, “Sayyed Jamaal’s life is so mingled with the revolutionary events of the Iranian constitution that it is inseparable from it.” In Isfahan owing to his beliefs, habits and thoughts as well as his combative anti-despotic spirit, he befriended liberal fighters who cherished the same ideas, one of whom was Haj Mirza Nasrullah Beheshti, entitled Malek al-Motakallemeen, who was a renowned orator and an indefatigable crusader against despotism and later on, a martyr in the constitutional movement. There were also Shaikh Ahmad Majdul Islam Kirmani and Sayyed Abdul Wahhab Imami known as Ayatullah. The constant association of Sayyed Jamaal with these enlightened crusaders presented this circle as a gathering of pioneers of freedom and fighters who created fear in the agents of despotism especially Masoud Mirza Zil al-Sultan, governor of Isfahan, who had stepped beyond his duty and authority as a governor and had built up for himself a royal court not less magnificent than that of the selfish Qajar king.

For this reason, the government’s authorities, helped by its agents and pseudo Ulama and self-sold priests, tried to check gatherings where preaching’s and discourses took place from being held. The repression was even more severe in the case of Sayyed Jamaal, compelling him to leave Isfahan in the mourning months of Muharram and Safar, and stay in remote places, preventing him from gathering the people around him and influencing them with his words and enlightened ideas.

Thus, in those years, he was forced to travel to Shiraz three times, to Tabrez twice, and to Mashhad once in 1322 known as the ‘cholera year.’ In 1318, in his first visit to Shiraz, he was eulogised in an ode by Shoorida, the well-known poet of Shiraz beginning with these lines:

The Reverend Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen, whose pure thought has made a mirror of the original beauty of meaning, On a pulpit, he is like an ocean on a lofty firmament, and in preaching, he is a celestial body in an infinite ocean. I asked him what gift he has brought, and he said: A world of knowledge as present, and a heaven of learning as gift.

In the same youthful years, in the 1320’s, Sayyed Jamaal, in co-operation with several crusading friends from Isfahan, wrote a treatise entitled “A True Dream,” exposing the crimes and tragedies brought about by the corrupt Qajar dynasty, its unlimited tyranny, and the hypocrisy of the pseudo-Ulama of Isfahan, and secretly printed it in 80 pages with the aid of Mirza Hasan Khan Musheer-o-Dawlah, the copies of which were distributed in a short time.

The government and the self-sold pseudo-Ulama at once realized who the writer was from its style and contents, and readied themselves to oppose the progressive Ulama. Jamaal Zadeh writes in this connection. “The Isfahani pseudo-Ulama joined hands in order to supposedly uproot mischief” and “check infidelity and heresy,” and “attacked people under the guise of killing Babis. These events took place in the months of Jamaadi al-Aakhar and Rajab, 1321, when Sayyed Jamaal had returned from Tabrez to Tehran on his way to Isfahan.”

“The writer of these lines one day saw a large number of people in the Shah square of Isfahan setting fire to two tall men dressed in robes, but with bare heads and feet, and damning them. They paid a small sum to a man who had a barrel of Kerosene and received a bowl-full and threw the Kerosene on the heads of those two. I ran weeping to my mother and told her about it. She sent me to the judge who was our neighbour in that district and a friend of my father and whose wife was related to Zil al-Sultan, to ask if it was advisable for my father to return to Isfahan on such an occasion. He sent my mother a message through his wife, saying: ‘Today I was with the Prince and he had a pair of scissors with him, remarking that he was looking forward to the return of Sayyed Jamaal, that ‘Babi’ Sayyed to Isfahan so that his flesh could be cut to pieces with those scissors.’ My father decided to remain in Tehran thenceforth, and summoned his family to the capital.”

In Tehran, Sayyed Jamaal became a preacher at the former Shah Mosque, known today as the Imam Khomeini Mosque, and was soon able to find thousands of followers who were so devoted to him that they were ready to offer their lives in the way shown by him.

Edward Browne, the famous English orientalist writes in his book “The Iranian Revolution” about Sayyed Jamaal Waaez:

“Sayyed Jamaal has a great influence among the common people, tradesmen and lower classes, since he used their language and had thereby won their affection. He related to them an incident revealing inhumanity and selfishness at its height saying that one night when the Shah was on a hunting expedition, it started snowing heavily accompanied by a violent wind, threatening to collapse the royal tent. To prevent this, several soldiers were sent out to hold the ropes firmly in their hands. Next morning their dead and frozen bodies were found, and he said: ‘This is the most sacred sacrifice for the person of the monarch!”

At the height of the people’s struggles in the constitutional movement, a time came when the crusading Ulama acted as the vanguard of the combats. Sayyed Jamaal, too, began his crusade against the Shah and his governors and agents, as a result of which he fell in disfavour with the latters who decided to murder him while he was preaching by attacking the Mosque and assassinate him in the confusion. But he was alert enough to escape, and he was carried away to his home by the two brave sons of Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai, namely Mirza Muhammad Sadiq and Mirza Abd al-Mahdi.

Jamaal Zadeh writes in this connection: “Religious leaders went in a group, from the Shah Mosque to the Shrine of Abdul Azeem to take refuge there, but as there was a physical danger for the Sayyed, he was hidden in Tehran in the house of Nezaam-e-Islam Kirmani, secretary of Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai, the principal of a school named ‘Islam,’ who later published the book, “The Awakening of Iranians.” Government officials tried hard to arrest Sayyed Jamaal. So he was compelled to change his residence every night, and at last he found our own home safer in the district of Sayyed Naseer al-Deen, and came overnight and hid himself in an upper room. At that time, the people of Tehran showed much true devotion to him.

“The day was wonderful when the Ulama were returning from their place of refuge, and the Sayyed left the house to join them and return to Tehran together. The people encircled him, kissing his hands and praying for him and shouting ‘Long live the Sayyed.” When the carriage succumbed to the crowd’s pressure, he was lifted up and carried a part of the way, while they sang:

“Our Sayyed and master has come, The lord of the pulpit has come.”

“As the mourning month of Muharram was approaching, and there was a fear of his making remarks on the pulpit which might ignite a riot, the Ulama and the government came to an understanding that he should leave Tehran for Qum. They sent him a carriage and a thousand toomans. Pretending to accept the offer, he took the receipt and tore it up and returned the money, saying that he wanted neither the carriage nor the money. The same day, he hired a carriage and left for Qum accompanied by myself and a servant named Mahdi.”

Sayyed Jamaal remained in Qum for some time and found many friends and staunch supporters there. His fiery words and illuminating discourses roused waves of protest everywhere against despotism and support of freedom. That is why government leaders always said: “Wherever Sayyed Jamaal steps, tranquillity is lost.”

After the month of Muharram, those who had feared the danger of his remaining in Tehran during that month, were relieved that at least for a year until the next Muharram, they would be free from his inciting sermons. So they thought of his return to Tehran, and Muzaffar al-Deen Shah sent him a telegram allowing his return to the capital. He was warmly welcomed by the people on his return, and began preaching in Shaikh Abdul Husain School known as the Turks’ Mosque situated in the market of Pa-Chenar. His sermons were taken down in short-hand and published in a newspaper called “Al-Jamaal”, then sold to his supporters and the public in general.

His discourses were so inciting that when it was proposed to establish a national bank of Iran in 1325, and Sayyed Jamaal asked for public contribution, one and all, including the rich and poor, offered their help and even the women dropped their gold rings and bracelets at the foot of the pulpit as their contribution towards the realization of this goal.

After Muzaffar al-Deen Shah’s death and following the signing of the constitutional decree, he was succeeded by Muhammad Ali Mirza, who began to oppose the constitution and constitutionalists, and once again Sayyed Jamaal’s life was endangered.

Muhammad Ali Shah who knew Sayyed Jamaal well, since the days the latter had made his tumultuous trips to Tabrez when Muhammad Ali was still crown-prince, tried hard to win his support and obedience through promises, bribes and threats. However, failing in this, he decided to resort to violence.

Jamaal Zadeh writes about the last days of his father’s combats:

“On the day that the Parliament was bombarded, the Sayyed was there together with other pioneers of the constitution whose names is recorded in the history of Iran and will be always respected by every Iranian and those interested in Iranian history. He had said to Taqi Zadeh: “You are younger than us and can still do much. So don’t linger here to be killed.” Eventually he sent him home. The Sayyed and others took refuge in the garden of Ameen al-Dawlah which was behind the Parliament building. After coming out, as the others dispersed and as he could not run, he stood at a junction with his back to the wall, when the door of a house got opened and a woman came out. On recognizing him, she took him in. That house belonged to Etemad al-Dawlah, the Shah’s uncle, and the woman was his wife. That night he was the guest of that benevolent and brave woman and her son, and the next day, disguised in different clothes and accompanied by one of her relatives by the name of Mirza Asadullah Khan, he left Tehran for Iraq via Qum and Hamadan.

At that time, the governor of Hamadan was Muzaffar al-Mulk, an old and sincere friend of the Sayyed who hoped that he could help the latter cross the border and join his uncle Sayyed Ismail Sadr Aameli who was a Shi’a authority in the city of Holy shrines and was greatly respected there. But fate had something different in store for him. Eighteen days after leaving Tehran, a rumour spread that the Sayyed was arrested in Hamadan. He was staying in a house belonging to a baker in the tannery quarter when an officer of Muzaffar al-Mulk, known as “Reza, the baldy”, came and arrested him, taking him to the stables where he was kept for five days.

“One night, the governor’s brother came there carrying a lamp and was full of apologies. He took the Sayyed to the governor’s house, where the latter stayed for several days and was treated most kindly and respectfully. At that time, the governor and his household had left for Shurin and the Sayyed remained in Hamadan. One day, Hesaam al-Mulk, son of Ameer Afkham, came to the Sayyed and showed him a telegram of the Shah to the effect that the Sayyed must be delivered to Ameer Afkham in return of a receipt. The Sayyed was taken from there to Shurin and lodged in the house of Saeed Khan Agha Bashi Muneer al-Dawlah, wife of Ameer Afkham and sentinels were placed on the roof to keep watch. He was kept there for several weeks and the Sayyed was called to the women’s private suite once or twice a week to preach.

“At last he was taken on a mule, with his legs tied to it, to Burujard and put in jail, and after some time, the date of which is not clear, he was killed there. It is said that he was given coffee and then strangled with a strap. His kins say that he was martyred on 26th Rajab which is the anniversary of the martyrdom of Imam Moosa ibn Ja’far (a.s.) but there is no certainty about this date.”

In this way, another crusading scholar carried his belief and conviction to such an extent that he lost his life without retreating even once in his course.

## Malek al-Motakallemeen: The Fearless Orator

Haj Mirza Nasrullah Beheshti, entitled Malek al-Motakallemeen, a fellow-thinker and fellow-crusader of Sayyed Jamaal Waaez, was a famous orator and a fine preacher and fighter in the constitutional period. His role was decisive in awakening the nation during the constitutional movement, and his faith in the course he had adopted was so deep and unyielding that he did not only forsake comfort and repose for its sake, but also brought captivity to his family and children, and never spared himself or his kins in his struggle for freedom and redress of the human rights of his compatriots.

He became acquainted with Sayyed Jamaal when the latter began his struggles on the pulpits of the Mosques in Isfahan, and proceeded in the same course side by side with him.

Nezam al-Islam Kirmani, author of the book ‘The Awakening of Iranians’ who was for years a secretary of Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai, the great crusader of the constitution and a witness to the happenings of the time, while being an organizer of some of the revolutionary programs, one who had close acquaintance with the leaders and constitutionalists, wrote a book later on in the form of a diary, which is considered one of the most reliable documents and a source of the Iranian constitutional history. He writes as follows about Malek al-Motakallemeen:

“Thursday 1st of Muharram 1325, the assembly is closed, and the people are mourning. The Reverends Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Isfahani, Malek al-Motakallemeen, and Shaikh Ali Zarandi spoke eloquently in their sermons, inciting people towards justice and rule of law.”[[2]](#footnote-2)

Elsewhere he writes:

“Wednesday 19th Safar 1325, the assembly is closed. On these days, Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Isfahani and Malek al-Motakallemeen spoke strongly and openly on the pulpit against despots.[[3]](#footnote-3) “His logical speeches and orderly and reasoned exposures which awakened the people and made them aware of their social and human rights, rousing them to secure these rights through uprising and crusades, frightened tyrants and transgressors upon public rights, and made the malevolent and enemies of justice, spend sleepless nights.” Ahmad Kasrawi writes in his ‘History of Iranian Constitution:’ “What was worse was that during these same days, various Tehran societies assembled in one place and after some discussion, wrote a letter to the Shah demanding the expulsion of Sa’d al-Dawlah and Ameer Bohador from the court, stating that if this demand was not met, the masses themselves would rise up. Moreover, these societies met every day in the Sepah Salar Mosque, and Motakallemeen and Sayyed Jamaal delivered discourses condemning the Shah and his court, sending him messages in the name of the Iranian nation.’[[4]](#footnote-4)

Although owing to his untiring combats for the establishment of the constitution and the overthrow of the Qajar tyrannical regime, Malek was hated by both Muzaffar al-Deen Shah and his successor, Muhammad Ali Shah, yet the latter’s dislike and rancour dated from the time when he was still crown prince.

The above historian writes in the same book: “Malek al-Motakallemeen as the masses’ spokesman could not avoid criticising Muhammad Ali Mirza, though he did not go as far as defaming him. The Shah’s hatred of him had another reason. Years before the constitutional movement, Malek had gone to Kurdistan and was for a time attached to Salar al-Dawlah’s organization. Since Ein al-Dawlah as a chancellor was hostile to Muhammad Ali Mirza as a crown prince and intended to get him replaced by another son of Muzaffar al-Deen Shah, Salar al-Dawlah sent Malek to Tehran to promote this scheme. But when the constitutional movement started, Malek forgot his mission as Salar al-Dawlah’s deputy. Nevertheless, he remained the target of the crown prince’s rancour’s.”

Thus Muhammad Ali Shah was waiting for an opportunity to avenge himself, and he found it in the events of the Parliament’s bombardment.

On Tuesday 23rd, Jamadi al-Awwal 1326, the Parliament building was shelled on the Shah’s order and the two leaders of the movement, namely Tabatabai and Behbahani were seized, and together with a large number of Ulama and crusaders, were imprisoned in Tehran’s Bagh-e-Shah. Next day, according to a plan to suppress the leaders, Malek, the spokesman of right and justice, and Jahangir Khan, editor of the ‘Soor Israfil paper’, were arrested and taken to Bagh-e-Shah.

The same author of the history of Iranian constitution continues:

“Another group including Mirza Jahangir Khan, Malek al-Motakallemeen, Qazi Aradaghi and others accompanied by two Sayyeds went as far as Ameen al-Dawlah park, but they had a grievous fate which we should narrate, and since we have had this account from Qazi’s brother, Mirza Ali Akbar Khan who accompanied him, we will quote his narration:

“He says: ‘As my brother was among those who had taken refuge in the Parliament together with Mirza Jahangir Khan and Malek al-Motakallemeen, I had to visit the Parliament several times a day to take his meals to him. When on the 2nd of Tir I was going there as usual, and got near the Parliament, the Cossacks stopped me and would not allow me to proceed. At the same time, Behbahani’s carriage arrived and went on without being stopped by the Cossacks. I mingled with them and got to the Parliament.

“I stayed there with my brother and others until the battle started. When Behbahani and others went out, we all followed them. In the Ameen al-Dawlah Park, they took us, namely Malek al-Motakallemeen, Mirza Jahangir Khan, my brother Ghazi, Muhammad Ali, son of Malek and myself to an upper room to stay in, Ameen al-Dawlah came and treated us kindly, but when Behbahani came back after seeing him, he told us: “The reverend scholar says that as the Shah wants to seize these people and some people have seen them entering this house, they may report it and soldiers may be sent to arrest them. So it would be better if they are sent elsewhere.”

He then told a servant to take us to another place. The servant took us as far as the door, and pointed to a half-built house which he said would be safe. He then went back and closed the door on us. We proceeded to that house taking it for granted that it would be a safe hiding place. When we got there, we found it all open and without any shelter, so that we would be clearly visible to all. We realized that Ameen al-Dawlah’s purpose had been to send us away from his house. The house of Sayyed Hasan, editor of Hablul Mateen was nearby. We sent for him and when he came, on seeing our distress, he got very depressed and took us to his house.

“Feeling rather safe there, Malek, Mirza Jahangir and my brother tried to find a way out. Someone suggested: “Let us go to the British legation.” My brother disagreed: “I refuse to go under the British flag.” After much arguing it was finally settled that we would stay there till sunset, and when it got dark, we would leave one by one, cross the ditch and get to the Shrine of Abdul Azeem by a roundabout way, and take refuge there.

“Soon after, there was uproar and we were told that the Cossacks had surrounded the house. Malek, Mirza Jahangir and my brother said: “The Cossacks had come to seize us, so it is not right to let them rush into the house and frighten the women and children. So they rose and left the house. The head officer of the Cossacks was Ameer Panja Qasim Agha. He ordered three Cossacks each to take one of the prisoners and ride double without hurting them, and put me and Muhammad Ali together with Haji Muhammad Taqi Bonakdar who had been arrested elsewhere, under the charge of several court servants.

They forced us to take off our clothes and shoes and made us walk ahead, followed by the Cossacks and took us in this way to the barracks.

“In Bagh-e-Shah, we were taken to a tent where a number of the followers of the Reverends Behbahani and Tabatabai. When darkness approached, someone came and took Malek, Mirza Jahangir and Qazi with them. We thought they were to be executed and we were extremely depressed. But in about three quarters of an hour the three of them returned. The man who brought them back told the Cossacks: “The brigade commander has ordered that these prisoners are in his charge and no one must hurt them, and they should be treated kindly. These three must be kept apart from others.

“This message was opportune since before that, the Cossacks kept on abusing us. Now however, they behaved kindly towards us and offered us tobacco and cigarette rolls. They kept Malek, Mirza Jahangir and Ghazi away from the rest of us.

“After some time, a Cossack unit marched towards us, stopped, surrounded us, and ordered us to rise and move on. Most of us trembled with fear, thinking that they were going to kill us all in the dark. But they took us to a large room in a building and gave us some supper. They then chained the eight of us together and nailed the chains on the middle of the floor, telling us to sleep and warning us they would shoot us if we moved. We lay down, but what a terrible night we spent.

“The next night, they killed Malek and Mirza Jahangir Shirazi, the editor of the valiant paper and writer of pounding articles, in a most tragic manner.

Nazam al-Islam Kirmani, author of ‘The History of Awakening of Iranians’ gives the following account of the tragic execution of these two:

“Malek al-Motakallemeen was a fellow-fighter of Jahangir Khan, editor of the paper “Soor Israfil.” Chained together, they were repeatedly abused and beaten with rifles’ stocks and chains. The foreigner, Paleknik who was in charge of city discipline visited them and turning to Malek, said: “What had I done to you to justify your abusing me on the pulpit?” Malek denied having done so and said he had nothing to do with him personally. Then he said he had three requests to make:

1) “Our fate will be clear by tomorrow, so we will be your guests for one day only. Tell them to provide us with food, tea and pipe in proper time.

2) Instruct the Cossacks not to abuse us and watch their tongue.

3) Our bodies are sore and wounded, and our necks are painful, and the breeze hurts us. Arrange for some kind of shelter for us, or send us to some other place.

“Paleknik told an officer that no one was allowed to abuse or maltreat them, and we were to be provided with what we wanted and they changed our room.

“Next day, when the Cossacks came to take them to the gallows, they were asked to repent. Malek very courageously, worthy of a man of God, said that he was proud to die for his country and there was nothing to be repentant about.

“When the executioners of the Qajar court put the rope round his neck, he said: “It is in the interest of the government and nation to keep me alive.’

It is a true fact that the lives of learned men and valiant individuals are those upon which a nation depends, but dictators and despots do not understand this, and to them, living entails violence and animalistic behaviours.

Malek was martyred on Wednesday 24th Jamadi al-Awwal. May God bless his soul.

Chapter Eight

# Extension of Ulama’s Combats Elsewhere: Backgrounds of the Great Iranian Revolution

Though the Ulama’s struggles took birth in Iran, yet they were not confined to Iranian borders; they spread to other Islamic lands, concurrent with the struggles of Iranian Muslims led by the Ulama which shook the foundation of internal despotism and foreign colonization. In other Islamic lands, especially in the Middle East, revolutionary movements were taking shape, in countries like Egypt, Algeria, Morocco, Sudan, Libya, Tunis, Indonesia, Lebanon, Iraq and Saudi Arabia, advancing under the leadership of the Ulama and men of virtue, and establishing close connections with the movement in Iran.


The voice of freedom had echoed from Iran to other lands, awakening the people and showing them how to rise and stand against oppression and move forward unitedly.

Moreover, the revolutionary ideas of the religious leaders had directly or indirectly influenced the people and leaders of other lands deeply, and some of these leaders had even been closely associated with the Iranian movement leaders. One clear example is Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh who had for many years been a disciple of Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi, and when Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen published his journal ‘Urwatul Wusqaa’ to propagate his revolutionary ideas, Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh assisted him by taking charge of the administrative side of that publication, and followed the same policy.

In discussing Islamic movements and Ulama’s struggles, it would be necessary to deal briefly with the happenings in other Islamic lands. Therefore, to avoid a lengthy description, we have chosen three personalities who are outstanding, namely Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh, Kawaakebi and Shaikh Mahmood Shaltoot, all of whom enjoy some reputation in our country, too, as heroic figures.

## Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh

Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh who had an important role as a founder of the modern culture and civilization of Egypt, was a disciple of Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi, and owing to their common beliefs and ideas, he joined the rank of his friends and fellow fighters. His deep interest in Islamic thoughts and culture inspired him to endeavour to apply Islamic teachings to the exigencies of his time and bridge these two. At that time, the mental rigidity of some of the Egyptian religious scholars did not only hamper all progress, but was also an obstacle to the progress of the Egyptian society. Shaikh Muhammad was resolved to remove this mental rigidity and encourage dynamism in authentic and constructive Islamic forces.



Therefore, in addition to benefiting from the progressive and modern ideas of Sayyed Jamaal, he later on, even presented topics which had not been dealt with by the Sayyed himself. He wanted to prove to the world that Islam is powerful enough to serve as a base and guide for mankind in offering a perfect school of thought and a comprehensive ideology, and bring to humanity, worldly happiness and salvation.

The great philosopher and scholar and the first Ulama martyr of the Islamic Republic, Ayatullah Mutahhari writes in his book ‘Islamic Movements in the Last Hundred Years’:

“Abdoh disagreed with his teacher, Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen, on two points: Firstly the Sayyed’s thoughts were revolutionary, whereas Abdoh was in favour of gradual reform. Secondly, the Sayyed gave topmost priority to his combats against despotism and colonization, believing that the ‘mother of mischiefs’ should be uprooted, whereas Abdoh gave priority to the religious education of society over political education and revolutionary movement.”

Another point which may be added to Scholar Mutahhari’s writing is that the reason for the Sayyed’s failure and even his mysterious death before he could see the result of his efforts and his revolutionary ideas in Iran and other Islamic lands, was that he always sought contact with rulers and kings in order to enlighten them about the need of respecting human rights and social freedom of the individuals under their domination. Consequently, he often neglected the common people who are in fact the nucleus of movements and revolutions. This is the reason for his failure since a change effected from above by means of granting something does not attain its true goal, whereas the basis of public movement is a lasting and genuine one. Abdoh differed from his teacher on this point, and instead of seeking rulers; he found his object among the masses.

Scholar Hamid Enayat at in his book, “A Survey of the Arab Political Thought” writes in this connection:

“The results of Sayyed Jamaal’s efforts with regard their effects on the history of Muslim awakening, are very different from those of Abdoh. Sayyed Jamaal was essentially a man of effort and combat, whereas Abdoh was a moderate in thought. Sayyed Jamaal considered Muslims’ freedom as the only way of getting them mentally active, whereas Abdoh was more interested in the moral and religious education of Muslims. Sayyed Jamaal had spread his efforts to almost the whole world, whereas Abdoh had confined them to reforms in Egypt.

And because of these differences, we may say that the works of these two complemented each other, especially as both of them realized the importance of returning to the original source of religious thought and logical explanation of Divine injunctions, their harmony with the problems of the time, avoidance of dispersion, sectarianism, and despotism, all of which would check tyranny, if backed by the political and social intelligence and awareness of people and their vigilance over the state of affairs. In such a case, the black dragon of despotism would be fettered. This of course does not mean that we should leave the regime to itself; that regime will only be useful when people’s political awareness is increased and Kawaakebi, like Sayyed Jamaal and unlike Abdoh, gave priority to political activity and to elevating the Muslim political awareness over other aspects of reforms. Similarly, he attributed a similar importance of religious awakening. The revival of religious expertise and efforts for understanding the spirit and essence of Islamic faith beyond the dry rides and views of religious authorities, who served the government, were combined to create the basic foundation of Sunni Modernism and give shape to their ideals.

## Shaikh Abd al-Rahman Kawaakebi

Another crusader and reformer in the world of Islam and a founder of Islamic movements whose name has been recorded in history among progressive Ulama, is Shaikh Abd al-Rahman Kawaakebi, a follower of Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen.

Although Kawaakebi was a Sunni, his view was similar to those of Shi’a thinkers concerning the close relationship of religion and politics, and he considered Islam as a political religion. He believed that if the principle of Tawhid (Divine Unity) is understood properly and if the true meaning of the phrase ‘there is no god but Allah’ is realized, which means negating all power and domination in the case of all creatures and attributing it to God only, then the firmest stronghold against despotism would be theirs.

The above sacred phrase means that no one is worthy of reverence and humility but the unique God, and whatever humility shown in any other way except in obedience to God’s command, is idolatry and atheism.

Kawaakebi does not consider Islamic monotheism to consist only of mental, theoretical and devotional monotheism; he expands it to the sphere of action and external objectivity and demands a system of monotheistic justice.

Kawaakebi was a descendant of Shaikh Safi al-Deen Ardbeli, and a citizen of Syria. In spite of his short life of fifty years, he had a deep influence on Islamic thoughts and movements. He was familiar with both Persian and Turkish languages and has left his progressive thoughts of reform and anti-despotism in two valuable books, namely, “Tabayeul Istebdad” (Natures of Despotism) which was translated into Persian in the early period of the constitutional movement in Iran, deeply influencing not only the Arab nations, but also awakening the Iranian minds and acquainting them with the basic principles and criteria of freedom. The other book is ‘Omol-Ghowra’ in which he presents his views on reform.

Scholar Mutahhari speaks of him as follows in his book, ‘Islamic Movements in the Last Hundred Years:’

“Kawaakebi was an anti-despotic Islamic thinker who fought against the tyranny of Ottoman Turks who ruled over Syria.”

Like Sayyed Jamaal, Kawaakebi considered political awareness a necessity for Muslims, and believed that a political regime, whether constitutional or otherwise, would not by itself be able to check despotism.

## Shaikh Shaltoot, President of Al-Azhar University

Shaikh Mahmood Shaltoot, the exalted Mufti and the late president of the great Islamic university of Al-Azhar, was a great religious crusader who greatly endeavoured to unite the Islamic world and its various Islamic creeds, turning differences into unity and co-operation, even to the extent of giving up his life.

It was he who for the first time issued his revolutionary historical verdict of formally recognising the Jafari jurisprudence in the Sunni world, and with his freedom of logic and scholarly intelligence, gave preference in some cases to this jurisprudence over the views of Sunni authorities especially in family and personal matters. He took steps for printing the jurisprudential book, ‘EI-Mokhtasar-o-Naf’e’, written by Gransang, the scholar from Hilli, at the expense of the Egyptian Endowment Office, and placed it at the disposal of Al-Azhar students of jurisprudence, in order to enable world Muslims to find the way of brotherhood and unity by means of a closer intellectual and cultural relationship and a deeper understanding. In this way they could recognize their political, economic and military powers in this world of plunder and confront world powers.

He was closely associated with the exalted leader of the Shi’a world, namely Ayatullah Haj Agha Husain Burujardi, and their envoys went back and forth, assisting to bring about true understanding between various Islamic sects.

What gives Shaikh Shaltoot, the great Sunni thinker and crusader, a special importance in the Shi’a world, in addition to his formal recognition of the principles of Jafari jurisprudence as based on a similar reasoning of Sunnism and his issuing of the historical and revolutionary verdict in that connection is his effort at the establishment of ‘Dar-e-Taqreeb.’

This institution was a centre aiming at uniting the various Islamic sects and was based on the original idea of Ayatullah Qummi and supported by Ayatullah Haj Agha Husain Burujardi, and established by the valuable efforts of Shaikh Shaltoot. When Ayatullah Qummi proposed the establishment of a society to promote unity and solidarity among various Islamic sects for the purpose of bringing all world Muslims under the banner of brotherhood, equality and unity to stand against the enemies of Islam, it was Shaikh Shaltoot who endeavoured to set it up and strengthen it, using it as a turning point in the history of the followers of Islam.

His endeavour was in fact a great scholarly, and logical crusade against various classes of fanatics from Egypt and Sunnis from other lands; an endeavour which brought him much suffering.

The late Ayatullah Burujardi in his last days of life when he repeatedly fell into coma, once while regaining consciousness, the last words that he uttered (revealing the highest ideal) was: “Has the Reverend Qummi who was supposed to leave for Egypt to visit Shaikh al-Azhar gone to discuss the establishment of ‘Dar-e-Taqreeb’?”

This clearly reveals that this noble religious authority showed the same degree of deep interest in unity that Shaikh Mahmood Shaltoot had in carrying out the idea, as well as his scholarly and Islamic worth.

Chapter Nine

# The Movement of the Contemporary Ulama

Not only has the colonizing and opportunist Europe never exhibited a human conduct based on human criteria and principles of mutual respect for human rights towards Islam and Muslims, but further, since the last two centuries, it has increased its unchivalrous pressure and force and has imposed its colonialist and exploitative policy on Islamic countries.

European colonizers began through humiliation to pound upon national and religious personalities and ridiculing Islamic beliefs and ideology, charging them with error, and finally, through military and economic domination and dispatch of their military advisers, they tried to realize their anti-Islamic designs.

At the end of the nineteenth century, Gladstone, the British Prime Minister of Queen Victoria’s time explicitly revealed this policy of attack and retreat. In a Parliamentary session in the House of Commons he held up a copy of the Holy Quran and said: “As long as this book is the guide and leader of Muslims, it is impossible for our policy to be stable and lasting in their lands.”

He concluded his lengthy speech which has a special place in the history of English Parliament with this warning: “The rapid advance of British influence in Iran and other Islamic lands is possible only if we obliterate this book through carefully calculated preparations and programs, and neutralise its vestiges among the Muslims, otherwise we shall never be successful.”

The late Mir Zadeh Ishqi, the fighting poet and true spokesman of the Iranian nation, has composed an ode against the 1919 treaty in which he makes a warning reference to this point, saying:

What will it do in facing this blood thirsty one? The fellow, who said the Holy Quran should be obliterated, Will soon carry out this word with the bayonet. Woe upon this guest who has hardly come in when he tries to drive out the host from the house. The story of us and Britain is the story of the mouse and cat. Once the mouse is caught by the cat, it is never let out of its clutches. Even if we were a lion, he is the cunning fox. And as everyone knows, the fox cheats the lion.

Next to Gladstone’s statement, the old English statesman, a well-known military figure, General Allenby on capturing Bayt al-Muqaddas in World War I, explicitly declared:

“Now the series of the wars of crusades have come to an end.” These two documentary confessions together with tens and hundreds of other hidden and unpublished documents show very clearly the basis which governs the foreign policy of colonizing and expansionist countries in Islamic lands and unravel the plots designed by them.

They begin by weakening the foundation of people’s beliefs and their religious bonds through condemning and disrespecting their religious values, humiliating exalted Ulama and scholarly personalities. Following these, they embark upon military assaults and economic exploitation, and by suppressing the Muslims, they aim at reinforcing their position.

One day, they put forth the idea of Islam without Ulama, which is the same as a class without a teacher, or a hospital without a doctor. The next day, they present the progressive religion of Islam as the opium of society so that in future they may rob real brains, and seize our petrol, gas, copper and other mineral resources and products, and exploit us more by means of our own wealth. Nevertheless, the well-informed and fighting Ulama have constantly stood up against such plots and policies of foreign powers and their internal agents, and have never allowed the Muslim nation to yield to these plots.

In the contemporary period, with the policy adopted by Reza Shah on the recommendation of his British masters, many attempts were made to remove the Ulama from the political, social and national scenes and neutralise their combats by means of calculated plots. But the Ulama never surrendered to these schemes, and during the fifty years of the despotic rule of the Pahlavi dynasty, they manifested brilliant examples of their firm and indefatigable struggles against foreign colonization and internal despotism.

These combats were sometimes open, such as those of Modarress, Ayatullah Kashani, and the most dazzling of all, the combats of Ayatullah Khomeini. In other cases, the struggles took the form of laying the foundation and paving the way, and preserving the principles and criteria of Islam, and the infinite power of Shi’ism, promoting the unity and solidarity of the Muslim nations and keeping them alert until their uprising and movement were suitably shaped for a more comprehensive struggle. The example of this may be found in the efforts of Ayatullah Burujardi and other contemporary religious authorities who have acted as guardians of Islam.

## Ayatullah Kashani

The open and hidden combats of the Ulama in our contemporary period begins with the name of Ayatullah Kashani, and the point we mentioned before about the efforts of colonizing powers in giving a wrong picture of national and religious personalities is true of this scholar, too. Ayatullah Kashani has a brilliant record in the history of national and Islamic struggles of our time, and is considered a committed and progressive crusader who because of his untiring endeavours for reviving the thesis of Islamic government and his theoretical and practical contribution in this field, has met the same fate of being accused by the plotters of the east and west. It is, therefore, not surprising that we come across many contrary statements and judgements about him.

He was the first fighting scholar who, in spite of all the misleading propaganda about the separation of religion from politics which was carried on in the time of Reza Shah and reinforced by foreign colonizers and in the height of assaults against the sacred dictates of Islam by western pillagers, broke the silence of several years and valiantly rose up. At a time when suppression had reached a climax, he stood up and laid the foundation for the national Islamic movement, and led the Islamic combatants and self-sacrificing devotees.

Since for many years, calculated attempts by British colonizers and the dictatorial British puppet, Reza Shah have been made to remove the Ulama from the field of politics, one of the aims of Ayatullah Kashani was to break down this barrier between religion and politics, and encourage the Ulama to involve themselves seriously in political and social issues.

Gaining political maturity at a very young age in the Islamic land of Iraq, where he came to learn how to fight despotism and colonialism effectively, when he began his activities in Iran, he was so heartily supported by various classes of people that his every utterance to them was a verdict which, they considered their duty to carry. The despotic authorities were well aware of this fact.

Ayatullah Kashani was born in 1303 of the Hijrah about a century ago in Tehran. In 1319, as a young adolescent of 16, he accompanied his father to Iraq and continued his studies at Najaf. He was a disciple of the late Akhund Mullah Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani and had won a lofty position among the pupils of that peerless teacher. He attained the rank of a theological expert by the age of 25, a fact which proved his authentic spiritual Islamic spirit and his religious thoughts.

In 1914, when World War I started and English soldiers attacked the region of Iraq, he took part in the fight, and with much effort and admirable self-sacrifice, supported by other crusading Ulama and the Iraqi nation, they were able to stop the advance of the invading forces at the Koot al-Amara zone.

Among the defenders of the Islamic zone of Iraq, could be found outstanding figures such as Ayatullah Sayyed Mustafa Kashani, Ayatullah Shaikh al-Shari’a Isfahani, Ayatullah Sayyed Ali Damad, and Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Saeed Hobuji, all Ulama and religious authorities of the first rank. Ayatullah Kashani showed a greater zeal as a young man than others and was in the first line of battle, facing many hardships but never yielding to the end of the war. The struggling Ulama ordered the nation of Iraq to mobilize, calling them to the front and leading them to fight foreign forces and defend the Islamic lands. The active part of Ulama in this fight roused the enthusiasm of the people encouraging them to enhance their efforts, and through extraordinary dynamism and guerrilla operations, they crippled the powerful British army.

When the war ended, Ayatullah Kashani continued his struggles, this time against western colonizing powers which intended to strangle weak nations especially Islamic ones. With this goal in mind, he returned to Iran, and resumed his extensive combats against the western powers especially Britain which had expanded its sphere of influence and colonial operations in Iran. His active and untiring efforts in Iran, too, are noteworthy, and in one sense he may be considered as the forerunner of anti-British colonization conflicts in Iran. A noted figure he was dreaded by foreign powers was arrested and imprisoned by the English who had prepared extensive plans for purging their opponents when Iran was occupied by Allied forces. For two years he was kept prisoner in the towns of Arak, Rasht and Kermanshah (now called Ghahreman Shahr), during which period, he was maltreated all the time.

Once freed from the Allies’ prison, he did not abandon his combat, and soon, he was once again arrested while Qavam al-Saltaneh was Premier, and banished from Tehran, spending 18 months in exile in Qazvin. In 1327 of the solar year, when Saeed was prime minister, an attempt was made upon Muhammad Reza Shah at Tehran University, and when this attempt failed, Ayatullah Kashani was arrested and imprisoned for a time in the Fort of Falakul Aflaak and later banished to Lebanon.

As a believer in the idea of unity and a strong opponent of secularism, he considered the separation of religion and politics as a treacherous plot of western colonisers. Therefore, when he returned from his exile in Lebanon, he resumed his struggle in a more serious and extensive manner. This time, with the support of Dr. Muhammad Mosaddeq, and his zealous followers, he laid the foundation for the victory of the Iranian national movement. While in exile in Lebanon, he had kept in touch with the Iranian people, and incited them by his messages and manifestoes to promote the Islamic movement. Thus, on his return to Iran, he was given such a warm welcome by the nation that even his enemies declared that this was a sign that he held the pulse of the national movement, and can lead it to victory. With careful planning, he led the greater part of the movement, due to which, it is often said that he was one of the initiators of the oil nationalization movement in Iran. But had he not fortified the foundation of the Islamic movement, then, it would have been very difficult, if not impossible, to defeat the plundering Britain in this matter.

The national movement of Iran, started thirty years ago and crushed by an American coup d’état about a quarter of a century ago, at a time when final victory was close at hand, bore witness to religion and politics joining hands to uproot internal despotism and foreign colonization. In that movement, the pillar of politics was reinforced by Dr. Mosaddeq and that of religion by Ayatullah Kashani. The co-operation of these two which unfortunately did not last to the end and was disturbed through foreign concoctions and policies was so strong in the beginning that even the most cynical enemies at home and abroad did not doubt its victory.

It was in this course that the Iranian national movement produced the great historical event of 30th Tir 1331, and for the first time it seriously proved to agents of despotism and colonization in a definite way, that the unity and solidarity of a nation can annihilate tyrannical forces, resulting in freedom and prosperity. In that event, the nation as an entity, forced the downfall of the Shah and his supporters and masters.

Qavam al-Saltaneh, the Prime Minister and his foreign imposed cabinet was overthrown, and the political arena was left open for Dr. Mosaddeq, This event was a turning point in the history of national and Islamic movement of Iran in which Ayatullah Kashani served as an important pole of attraction owing to the strong spiritual influence he exercised upon the people, who trusted him highly.

Ayatullah Kashani, like Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi and many other outstanding figures of religion and politics, supported the idea of Muslim unity and thought of holding an Islamic Congress during the national movement. But although some preparations were made for it, the various crises which occurred in the country one after another left no opportunity for its formation, and this lofty idea could not be realized.

The effect of Ayatullah Kashani’s crusade was so pronounced on the despotic regime of the Shah, that the latter, his supporters and foreign masters did their best to remove him altogether. Even many years after Kashani’s death, the Shah never stopped abusing him, and his hostility went so far as not to allow anyone to utter a word or write anything about this crusading scholar. He even ordered his portrait removed from among those of former parliamentary presidents, hung in the Parliament building.

Despite the shameful American coup d’état of 28th Mordad 1332, creating an atmosphere of suppression all over the country, and despite the establishment of a new government, and the fact that many politicians were either killed, imprisoned or driven out of the political arena, yet even in those fearful conditions, Ayatullah Kashani did not abandon his combats. When the usurping government of Zahedi, Prime Minister after the coup, began to renew political relations with Britain, again it was he who under the hardest conditions incited the Muslim nation against the oppressions of the foreign sponsored cabinet of Zahedi and the Shah’s tyranny and roared loudly for freedom and justice.

In 1334, after the bloody success of the coup d’état of 28th Mordad, brought about by the ruthless murder of innocent victims, the time had come to benefit from this military American victory. The power was now in the hands of Marshal Zahedi, an old servant of America, and the fugitive Shah was brought back to assume absolute power so that they could do what they liked through him, and take away what they wished beyond the frontiers. Now they expected to see the tangible results of their expenditure and human efforts.

So, they brought up the question of the “Consortium Oil Agreement” which was so drawn up as to counter the efforts and sacrifices of Mosaddeq for nationalizing the oil industry and granting its benefits to the Iranian nation. This agreement went totally against all the struggles and efforts towards nationalization of the oil industry, and further transferred all the oil profits of Iran to the pockets of American masters and imperialist and Zionist rulers. The alert nation of Iran had been awakened under Dr. Mosaddeq’s leadership, but this American military coup d’état resulted into heavy repression of the people. Nevertheless, they knew that this agreement would suck their life blood, nullifying their long-time struggles, handing over everything to the masters, but they did not know how to react and make a stand.

Once more, the Iranian nation found itself in need of the power and alert leadership of the crusading Ulama, and once more the latter hastened to support and aid these deprived and oppressed groups. Again, this time, Ayatullah Kashani, the untiring religious leader, rose up to perform his religious, historical, national and social duty and reveal the true nature of the ‘Consortium Agreement’, placing it before public judgement. He strongly condemned this agreement by warning declarations and manifestoes, exposing it as invalid to the whole world.

He went a step further, declaring that the Iranian nation would not remain silent before this agreement, and by securing the necessary power and by using its right of national sovereignty, which had been forcefully seized from it, it will punish all the traitors who had trampled upon national interests by signing this agreement. He persevered in his fight against this agreement for a whole year until 1335, when he was once more arrested on the Shah’s order. This was the last time that Ayatullah Kashani was arrested and imprisoned. The Shah deciding to get rid of him while he was in prison, ordered his assassination and thus relieve all those who had been involved in a combat with him so long. By the time the Shah’s executioners were about to carry out this order, the alert Ulama had realized what the plan was and warned the Shah that such an act would turn the whole country into a cemetery, since nothing would silence a nation which loses its political religious leader.

The pressure of the Ulama and mobilization of public opinion as well as the extensive demonstrations of the masses and manifestoes and night-notices frightened the Shah into desisting from executing his plan. But by this time, Ayatullah Kashani was nearing his end, and he eventually died in Esfand of 1340. On his death, he had no worldly riches, except for a house in which he had been born and brought up and which he had inherited, and was full of over half a century’s memories of his life and struggles, and where he breathed his last. This house had been mortgaged with a bank for ten thousand toomans. This is how great men and leaders live, a quiet and unceremonious life, without any luxury, but a spiritual life directed towards the ideal.

## The Crusading Ground of the Contemporary Ulama in the Great Islamic Revolution of Iran

Our description of the Ulama’s combats so far, was related to the crusades of patriotic Iranians and progressive Ulama against internal despotism and British exploitation in Iran which played a decisive role in Middle East politics for many years, from the time of Naseer al-Deen Shah’s rule down to the shameful coup d’état of 28th Mordad. The British influence in the Middle East which especially in the time of the Qajars had reached its height of tyranny and plunder, had several objectives, the most important of which was the plunder of the natural resources of Iran, and their destruction when they proved inaccessible to them. The final aim was to weaken the Iranian nation, making it dependent, and at the same time to preserve Britain’s empirical position and its world strategy. Although this domination seeking and plundering power had put its bloody and plotting claws round the throat of the Middle East Muslim nations and especially Iran, and was rivalled by Russia, yet it acted as the sole forayer of the arena owing to the power it had obtained by colonizing and exploiting backward nations.

This state of affairs continued until World War I started. During that period, and after the war, which weakened many powerful countries and gave many small countries the opportunity to appear in the political arena of the world, Britain began to lose its position as the dominant world power, and there rose to power other strong rivals.

One of the most important of these, which proved to be economically and militarily as well as politically and culturally more extensive and scheming than Britain, was the United States of America. Even if Russia or Britain were content to pillage the wealth of the Middle East, and fill their pockets, this new colonizing power intended to interfere in all aspects of life, including military, political, social, cultural and even religious matters and to become the absolute dominant ruler that made its power felt in all directions throughout the world.

In addition to industrial and economic domination, America’s policy was directed at brainwashing and at violation in the cultural, scientific, traditional and religious fields. Even though it apparently showed no open opposition to religion, yet in its colonial logic, that kind of religion found recognition that would show no dynamism, and preferred those religious leaders who confined themselves to prayer, devotion, and religious rites, similar to what church priests did without getting involved in governmental affairs and politics.

It was owing to such policies, external pressures and internal accord with them, that the constitutional law which had been the result of the sacrifices of freedom lovers in the despotic period of the Qajars came to be characterized by selfishness, tyranny and suppression during the constitutional period especially in the fifty-seven years’ rule of the Pahlavis. It was repeatedly and wrongly altered in its principles and articles, to people’s detriment, and replaced by anti-public and tyrannical amendments, ratified by commandeered parliaments. Thus the law which had been enacted at the price of the blood of thousands of crusaders became so worthless and unreliable that it could no longer safeguard the people’s legitimate demands and rights.

The constitutional law which was drawn up after the victory of the constitutional movement and ratified, included fundamental articles, the contents of which were intended to reduce the power of the sovereign and strengthen democratic principles and grant the nation sovereignty and the right to rule. But during the Pahlavi rule, when the bullying Reza Khan came to power by force and with British backing imposed himself on the nation, he ordered the establishment of a constituents’ assembly which would ratify certain new articles in favour of himself and his masters and bring new amendments to the constitutional law.

Reza Khan’s opprobrium and aspersion went so far that he forcefully compelled the Parliament to approve Article 27 of the supplementary constitutional law in the following form: “The enactment of the law is derived from His Majesty, the Shah, the Assembly and Senate, and each of them has the right to draw up a law.”

Needless to say, the principle by which the King becomes the source and origin of the enactment of the law is contrary to the existence of both houses of Parliament. If a King had the right to enact laws, what need was there to shed all that blood for the constitution in the early constitutional movement.

Article 35 adds: “Kingship is a divine trust granted by the nation to the person of the Shah.” But it is not explained that if kingship is heavenly and divine, what is the role of the nation in this connection? And if the nation has granted it, what connection does it have with a divine trust? Moreover, if the nation had really granted kingship to the person of the Shah, where was the sense for all those struggles, blood-shed, imprisonment, tortures, individual and collective massacres. Why were combats initiated against kingship?

In answer to this probable question, Article 36 gives a legal explanation:

“The kingship of Iran is granted on behalf of the Iranian nation by the constituents’ assembly to the person of His Majesty Reza Shah Pahlavi, and will be instituted in his male descendant for successive generations.”

Even if we supposingly accept this artificial principle that kingship is granted by the nation and the present generation has chosen a man as the ruler of the country, the next question arises as to what crime has the next generation committed that it must accept an unseen and unrecognized and even unborn individual as its ruler, simply because this has been approved by its ancestors? What right has one generation to decide the destiny of the next or successive generations? Have the future generations and grandchildren of the present generation agreed beforehand in the time of Reza Shah to empower their ancestors to grant kingship on their behalf?

It can definitely be claimed that out of the seventy articles added as supplements to the constitutional law after the Pahlavi dynasty came into power, two-thirds of them were drawn up to safeguard the rights and privileges of kingship, and in view of the changes which had been made in the original constitutional law, it would have been more suitable to call it the ‘Book of Kings’ or ‘Pahlavi Code,’ rather than the constitutional law of a country which is Islamic and believes in a divine religion.

The slogans of the Ulama in the constitutional movement was the establishment of justice and equity, but afterwards and during half a century of Pahlavi despotism, their slogans were directed at the uprooting of tyranny and colonization. The following were some of what the nation and committed Ulama clammered for:

1) Removal of savage despotism and its wild, man-devouring ways.

2) Severing the hands of colonization and those of its manifest and hidden agents.

3) Creation of a perfect bond between religion and politics, and doing away with any demarcation between the two.

4) Neutralisation of governmental efforts at reviving the beliefs and culture of the pre-Islamic Achaemenid period by attempting a change in the calendar year and through misleading propaganda under the guise of the revival of ancient culture and traditions, intending actually to throw Islamic traditions and influence into oblivion, and destroy the anti-despotic and anti-colonial values of Islam which had created terror in the minds of the ruling classes.

5) Preservation of Islamic authenticity and identity, and its valuable culture, with the motto, ‘neither east, nor west.’

6) Removal of class discriminations and class gaps existing in government and administrative organizations.

7) The doing away with domineering non-Muslim elements over the nation in political, administrative and social organs.

The committed Ulama of Iran were deeply upset at seeing the extent of foreign and internal suppression and pressure, especially in connection with the competition of the east and west for financial, cultural and religious control, and the material and intellectual plunder of Iran and Iranians. Therefore it patiently awaited a suitable opportunity to start an uprising which would not waste national effort and cause useless bloodshed, and to prepare the ground which would bring radical changes in existing conditions and awaken the Iranian nation. Moreover, with the nation’s support and co-operation with the Ulama, at a time when the reign of terror, suppression, force and police pressure was the order, opposition movements emerged in the form of scattered groups in various parts of the country, revealing a certain degree of internal and intellectual revolutionary discipline. Even though those movements were suppressed at the very beginning and destroyed by the ruthless despots and foreign colonizers, yet their recurrence in various forms showed that even if one group was defeated, the spirit of uprising and movement would not be vanquished, and it would rise again and again, more optimistic and powerful than before.

This is in reality the revolutionary spirit which exhibits itself from time to time in one fresh group or another. A clear example of this may be seen in the Ulama’s movements of Isfahan and Khorasaan in the incidents of Goharshaad Mosque of Mashhad, which movements were suppressed by the police government backed by the CIA. Yet it was the very failure of these uprisings that sowed the seeds for revolution and awakening within the nation and society, preparing them for a greater dynamic effort, an example of which could be seen in the uprising of Dr. Muhammad Mosaddeq and the unsparing support of the nation in his movement. With the establishment of the latter’s national government in which he himself was a popular figure supported by the committed and progressive Ulama of the time, Iran was on its way to recover its political, economic, social and individual freedom, and step in a course leading to salvation, public welfare, universal happiness and freedom in all spheres. However, the shameful and treacherous coup d’état of 28th Mordad 1332 which had been planned well in advance by the CIA forced the national hero once more out of office and his movement was left incomplete. Once more the hireling Shah, brought back by imperialism, entered the arena of the colonizing policy of America.

The traitor Shah, unsparingly supported by America, in addition to its hellish police, military and political might, relied on the clever machinations of the CIA, and tried this time to violently curb all intellectual freedom, Islamic traditions, and to remove their perpetrators, imprisoning, torturing and murdering all the honest revolutionary and Islamic figures.

## Ayatullah Burujardi

In those dark days, the leader of the Ulama and Shi’as was Ayatullah Burujardi. At that time, this exalted leader did not consider the conditions ripe for an uprising, and in view of the influence of the colonizing powers, he knew that any movement would result in much bloodshed and suppression of the movement’s forces. Thus, with fortitude and adopting a policy of non-direct interference in the country’s affairs, apart from issuing occasional reminders concerning religious and other necessary matters to the government, he was able to preserve the Theological Centre of Qum and to keep Islamic centres secure against damage from savage governmental assaults, and independent and free from political interference. In fact, he managed to organize the six thousand members of these centres into a well-trained unit in the course of history, ready for mobilization towards a probable explosion and revolution in the true centre of Islamic jurisprudence, until the opportunity arose for them to perform their religious, national, revolutionary and historical obligations.

After the death of Ayatullah Burujardi, a great wave of religious sentiments was aroused in the people, and large groups of mourners assembled in support of the Ulama and religion, exhibiting an unprecedented fervour.

The government, taken by surprise, was at first a worried spectator at this display of power by the Ulama and the Muslim nation. Though the government itself appeared to participate in these ceremonies and even in some cases held such sessions, yet its real intention was to divert such moves from their true course. Eventually after Ayatullah Burujardi’s death, Muhammad Reza Shah sent a telegram of condolence to Najaf in order to weaken the basis of religious authority in Iran. It is said that this act was intended as a first step to destroy the bases of religious authority in Iran.

Well-informed observers, especially the Ulama knew that this plan was prepared in conjunction with America to put an end to the influence and position of the Theological Centre of Qum, so that the Shah and his imperialistic supporters might once for all stop worrying about the Ulama.

With the election of the democratic candidate, John Kennedy, as President of the United States, American statesmen drew up a plan by which they intended to carry out certain superficial and deceptive reforms in the countries where their hired agents had brought bloodshed and suppression to extremes. These reforms aimed solely at establishing a certain degree of political stability and were to serve as a precautionary measure against revolutionary struggles so that imperialism could have a free hand in executing its plots. Following this scheme, repeated notes were delivered to Iran concerning the adoption of reform policies, but the Shah found himself unable to carry them out owing to the deep religious and spiritual influence of Ayatullah Burujardi among the people. After Ayatullah Burujardi’s death, the Shah found the opportunity he was seeking, and with the coming of Ameeni to power in 1340, he laid the foundations for the execution of his wicked plans.

Though the basis of the great Islamic movement and revolution of Iran had been established in those days, the date of its actual birth is 15th Khordad 1342, even if its origin is to be sought in previous years under the leadership of the progressive Ulama.

The Islamic society of Iran during half a century of the installed and despotic Pahlavi regime, has been subjected to suppression and savage tyranny, it was a savagery which deprived the people of ail freedom, and paved the way for fresh colonization. Secularistic propaganda means depriving religion of its life and driving it out of people’s lives. An attempt was made to take Iran back to pre-Islamic times. They forged a new Islamic culture to replace the precious and genuine one. They propagated governmental Marxism, encouraging its atheistic philosophy, omitting its political and social aspects. Their plan was to embark on a pogrom, to incite class differences, to deceive Muslim women, to give non-Muslims domination over Muslims in administrative offices, to propagate artificial, illusionary freedom, and lastly to sever the bond between the Iranian nation and other Islamic countries by means of sycophancy and winning favour with imperialistic America.

All these were realized, and the Muslim nation of Iran became weaker day by day. The most admirable members of this society were taken to the slaughter house repeatedly or made to suffer torture in dungeons and live a life worse than death. The valiant and progressive Ulama took note of such crimes and chaos, and could not in view of its duty and divine commitment, remain silent and witness the destruction of Islamic teachings.

Thus, the well-informed Ulama began to inform the various enlightened classes of society of the true nature of Islam, and through the pen, public speeches, publications and sermons, they acquainted the new generation and educated classes with the living and dynamic principles of Islam, while at the same time, they exposed the crimes, corruption and oppression committed by the regime. The nation, too, which had witnessed such treason and futile promises and half-way friendships, clearly understood the goal of the progressive Ulama and heartily responded to their call.

The first display of power by the people in this connection was in 1341 during the premiership of Alam, concerning the ratification of the Bill of Provincial Councils. The government, as dictated by America, wanted to have this bill approved with the word ‘Islam’ omitted from the qualification of the electors and elected, and substituting the word ‘heavenly book’ for the ‘Holy Quran,’ and offering the promise of freedom of election for women, while no such freedom had been given to either men or women before.

The announcement of this bill was like a spark which kindled the fire of the nation. The Ulama, too, showed immediate reaction and took quick steps. The exalted Ulama at Qum sat down together to discuss the situation, and came to the conclusion that this bill was a harbinger to greater dangers and treacherous plots by international colonization and internal despotism. With the publication of the bill, the next day, religious authorities lodged their protest with the government concerning its articles, and provincial Ulama undertook to follow up the matter. Following this protest by the Ulama, other protests were lodged in public by orators, preachers, writers and ordinary people. In the face of rising public opinion, the government discussed the bill in its session of 5-9-1341 and agreed that it was not practicable. (The details of these combats of the Ulama can be found in the book, ‘The Two Month Movement of the Ulama in Iran’ written by Davani and in several other sources.)

Thus, there resulted the first victory of the nation, led by the progressive Ulama after years of suppression, especially following the disgraceful coup d’état of 28th Mordad.

## 15th of Khordad, Birth of the Revolution

This victory marked the beginning for other struggles which shook the foundation of the despotic rule, reaching their peak in the bloody uprising of 15th Khordad in 1342, when public rage at its height dealt a deadly blow at the despotic regime. That date was a turning point in the anti-colonization and anti-despotic struggles of the Iranian nation. On that day, the Muslim nation led by the struggling Ulama stood up against foreign and internal oppressors, and reacted effectively to the artificial referendum of 6th Bahman and the American ‘White Revolution’.

During that ‘make-believe’ referendum, the installed regime of America tried deceivingly, threateningly and forcefully to drag people to the ballot box to vote for it. But the people immediately reacted in an effective way. The Tehran market was fully closed. Shopkeepers followed suit, and government employees left their offices for a few days on some excuses, and all of them tried to stay away from ballot centres. Nevertheless, the regime managed to drag a number of employees, workers and villagers to ballot boxes through deceits and threats. But the figure of votes cast was so negligible that the regime was compelled to forge it, bringing it up to a total of five million and six hundred thousand.

Following the publication of this distorted figure, the king attacked his opponents shamelessly, calling them reactionaries, feudal supporters, and accusing them of being anti-progress, and hostile to land reforms and the like. However, the religious authorities did not remain silent before this babbling, and issuing manifestoes and delivering revealing discourses, they invalidated the Shah’s claims, and informed the people of true facts.

In this way, the Muslim nation and committed Ulama began their intensive struggles against the Shah’s regime and the American ruse through the so-called ‘White Revolution.’ The pioneer of these combats was the exalted Ayatullah Khomeini who wrote in his manifesto:

“The Iranian nation is presented as a backward nation because of its uproar. The teachings of the Quran are abandoned and the country’s laws are violated. Corruption and prostitution prevail all over the country so that neo-colonialism may freely continue its plunder, and its ignoble agents may dance on this cemetery, indulge in debauchery and amassing of wealth.

“The independence of the country is due to this group of Ulama and jurisprudents. It is they who have hitherto defended the independence of Islamic countries. Islam has given them the duty of rising up. Have they ever opposed any progressive matters? When have they endeavoured to check progress in the fields of learning, economics, industry, and judiciary, iron-smelting or check other advances? What we say is that there should be no corruption and deviation in the country. What you say is that you have nothing to do with the Ulama. But they have something to do with you. Giving advice is an obligation, and forsaking it is a great sin. A scholar says that the government should be at the service of the nation, and see to people’s affairs. The conquest of a country is not as important as the conquest of hearts. The events which are taking place are threatening to destroy Islam. The plot is against Islam, the Islamic nation and Iran’s independence.

Ayatullah Khomeini wrote in those days to religious leaders of Yazd:

“I have decided not to give up until I put the corrupt regime in its place. It is my duty to shout as loudly as I can, and to write as much as my pen is able to, and publish it.”

These calls were supported by similar calls and invitations of other religious authorities of Qum and other cities, and with a true harmony and unanimity, they declared the new year, the year of national mourning in order to make the masses aware of the importance of the matter and rouse them in support of religion and independence which the installed regime intended to deny to them, in accordance with American scheming.

The people welcomed the call of the exalted religious authorities, thereby fortifying the Ulama’s struggles. And soon, the seeds of strike, demonstration and protest were sown in the hearts, especially when the students and the enlightened classes joined the struggle.

On 2nd Farvardin 1342, which coincided with the martyrdom anniversary of Imam Jafar al-Sadiq (a.s.), leader and head of the Jafari creed, a mourning session was held in the Fayziah Theological Centre of Qum by Ayatullah Gulpaygani in which people from all corners participated, in an unprecedented manner. On the same day, a number of the Shah’s executioners, rangers, S.A.V.A.K. and police agents, as planned, disguised as civilians and soldiers, rushed inside the centre to create turmoil so as to lay the ground for further abuse in future by the regime.

The same group intended to carry out a wicked plan in Ayatullah Khomeini’s house, but this plot was neutralized by the alertness of the religious leader who said aloud: “I warn all those who are sent to create chaos in this gathering that if once more they cause disorder and prevent the voice of the orators from reaching the people, I will depart for the Holy shrine of Masumah (s.a.) at once and there I will inform the nation of the facts.” This declaration and warning silenced the disrupters and the plot was nullified.

But in the Fayziah Theological Centre, where the meeting was held on the order of Ayatullah Gulpaygani, things were different. According to eyewitnesses, the Shah’s agents, some of whom were drunk, entered the centre and surrounded the pulpit and others took position at strategic spots. No sooner had the sermon begun, that they started booing loudly, interrupting the sermon and creating much confusion. The preacher and other members of the centre who were aware of the rioters’ plot, tried to preserve peace and order, but all their efforts went in vain. Seeing that the situation was getting chaotic and beyond control, the preacher descended the pulpit to restore order, but the hirelings intensified their shouts with slogans like, ‘Long live the king.’

The rioters advanced from every side, making the ring smaller for an assault on the people. The crowd in fear rushed towards the exits, and in the turmoil that followed, many fell down and were trampled upon and hurt while a few breathed their last. But the ring of commandos and rangers remained intact, and a thousand of them, commanded by an executioner of the regime, named Colonel Mowlavi, assistant director of the Tehran S.A.V.A.K., aimed their assault straight at the theological students, shouting and roaring wildly at the same time. The students, trying to show a certain degree of orderly resistance against this surprise attack, rushed to the second floor of the centre. They managed to hold their position on the roof of the building. Though their weapons consisted simply of a few sticks and stones, they manifested a certain spirit of faith and combat. They showed such a stubborn resistance against one thousand soldiers who were armed with the latest American weapons, that the military lines were broken up, and they were compelled to leave the college’s premises. Meanwhile another well-equipped and trained unit launched an attack from the back side of the college and managed to reach the roof and fire upon the students.

This surprise attack forced the students to retreat from this uneven battle, and take refuge in the rooms below. But the soldiers roaring like wild beasts and thirsty for blood and massacre broke down the doors with their boots and bayonets. In this attack tens of students were shot down and floors and corridors were covered with their blood. In this unequal fight between weapons and empty hands, the hefty rangers who had been trained to kill defenceless people, showed such savagery that each group of three soldiers seized a single student and knocked his head repeatedly against the wall till they broke his skull. Bits and pieces of brain and bloodstain remained for a long time on the walls of the room as evidence of the bloodthirsty barbarism by the regime’s hirelings. They showed their full training for savagery on that day and even pushed down the students from the roof and upper floor headlong towards the courtyard or upon the streets beyond. The students and even innocent people jumped from up into the river in an attempt to save their lives. It was while so escaping that Yunus Rood-bari was killed in this way.

On that day, these hired executioners left tens of people dead on the scene and hundreds wounded, with broken arms and legs. They heaped up the Holy Quran and religious books, furniture and clothes and set them ablaze. At seven in the evening, when darkness fell and the atmosphere was terrifying, no life could be seen in the college’s compound and dead bodies and half alive wounded victims laid everywhere. At this time, military trucks arrived to take the dead and wounded to a pre-arranged destination. Only a few of the wounded were saved from this catastrophe and taken to clinics and hospitals where the hospital staff did not dare for fear of the regime to treat them openly.

After this painful disaster, Ayatullah Khomeini and other religious authorities expressed their condolences to the theological students and families who had lost some of their members. In his discourse Ayatullah Khomeini expressed the hope that the regime would be disgraced and that the ground would be prepared for the movement of oppressed Muslims:

“Do not be uneasy and worried. Remove fear from yourselves. The government has disgraced itself by committing this crime and revealed its barbaric nature. The tyrannical regime has made its defeat and annihilation certain by bringing about this calamity. We are victorious. We had prayed to God that one day the regime would show its true nature and be publicly disgraced. It was only by means of this awareness that the way would be paved for a popular movement. If we had spent millions of toomans, we could not have shown the world the nature of this bloodthirsty regime, but they did it to themselves.”

The tragic massacre and plunder carried out by the regime, as they came, killed, burnt and went, left a deep and everlasting effect on the hearts and conscience of the broad-minded people of Iran, and served as a basis for the victory of the Ulama.

An Iranian loves learning and learned people. Students are highly respected by the people, and this respect and attachment are stronger in the case of theological students, since the people regard them as true seekers of knowledge who follow their religious career for the sake of God’s satisfaction and without a regard for worldly and material goals. They stay away from their homes and families, and come from near and far to study and proceed towards their goal, tolerating many difficulties and hardships.

These theological students continue their studies on trifle living expenses which are far below normal, so that they might rise to the rank of the Prophet’s disciples and become defenders of the Holy religion. These qualities have won a worthy place for them in the hearts of religious and committed Iranians. That is why the savage assault upon their centre of learning and lives left a deep impression on the people, mobilizing their unsparing support for this group.

An example of this impression left on the people by the barbarous attack upon Fayziah college is reflected in the speech of Ayatullah Khomeini delivered on the 10th of Muharram (13th Khordad 1342), in the yard of the college. (This speech was printed for the first time after fifteen years in the Etela’at paper, at the time of the premiership of Bakhtyar.) Here we will quote parts of it on account of its significance to show how his predictions came true after fifteen years.

“In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Benevolent.

“After killing the men, they hurt the little children of Imam Husain (a.s.), captivated the women and molested them. They seemed to be hostile to the Bani Hashem family, and did not want this Household (a.s.) to exist.

“The same intention is witnessed in Iran today. What had the government to do with boys of sixteen and seventeen? What had these young Sayyeds done to the Shah? What had they done to the government and the tyrannical regime? It seems that they are opposed to the principle of religion, and not to young boys. They do not wish to see Islamic injunctions in this country. They have no desire to allow any of us, big and small, to exist. They do not want to have learned men in this country. They are opposed to the rule of the Holy Quran in this land. They reject religious leaders. What they desire is to uproot Islam here, and that is why the black agents of Israel pound upon the college, backed by their master and pound upon us and the nation. They want to take control of our economy and destroy commerce and industry so that no wealthy person is left in this country. They intend to remove the only things that serve as obstacles in their way, namely the Holy Quran, Fayziah College, and theological students who may prove to be future obstacles. So, they must be thrown down from roof-tops and their limbs must be broken so that Israel benefits thereby. They encourage the provisional government to insult us following the example of Israel.

“You gentlemen of Qum remember the day that disgraceful and ‘illusionary’ referendum took place, a referendum which was limited to a few thousand votes and running contrary to the interests of the Iranian nation. On that day, they put a number of ruffians, scoundrels and children in cars, going round the streets of Qum which is a religious city, shouting: “This is the end of sponging and of being parasitic.”

“You gentlemen can see that in this Fayziah College, there are young people in the prime of life, living in these poor rooms, studying hard and living on only 30 or 40 or 100 toomans a month. Are these people spongers? And those who spend millions of toomans on unnecessary things not spongers? Are we parasitic that when such an exalted scholar as Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri dies, his sons have nothing to eat for supper?

“Are we spongers that when our Reverend Burujardi dies, he leaves behind a debt of 600,000 toomans for the expenses of the religious centre? But those whose deposits have filled all the world banks and have built great mansions and palaces, and keep on robbing the nation and seizing the wealth of this country for themselves and for Israel, are not parasites? The world must judge! The Ummah must judge!

“How often have I told this man and sent him messages not to resort to referendum that it would not be to his interest, but he never listened. Sir, I give you advice because the Holy Quran and religion bind me to do so. The law gives me this right. Stop acting in this way. Sir, you are being deluded. I have no wish to see the day when the people will be glad at your being driven out of this country.

“I will narrate a story for you which our old men and even those who are about forty or even thirty may remember. We were attacked by three foreign powers, Soviet Russia, Britain and America. They seized our country and wasted people’s property and violated our honour. But God knows the people were happy to see Pahlavi go. I have no wish to see you have the same fate. Stop acting in this way! I have no wish to see you meet the same fate as your father. Do not oppose the Ulama. If it is true that you are hostile to the Ulama, your thought is quite wrong. If they dictate something to you and ask you to read it, reflect about it. Why do you speak without thinking? Are the Ulama untouchables that you say so?

“If they are untouchables, why do the people kiss their hands and find it a good omen to partake of their half-eaten food? Are we untouchable animals? I hope that this is not what you mean. I hope that you are not speaking of religious authorities when you say: “Black reactionaries are like untouchable animals whom the nation avoids.” Otherwise our duty becomes hard to perform, and yours will become harder. We will not let you remain in this country, nor will the nation allow you to live. Stop such acts! Follow my advice! Don’t go on in this way! It is enough! Listen to the Ulama. Reflect for a while. Learn a lesson however little. See what happened to your father. Listen to me. Listen to religious authorities. They are seeking the interest of the nation and country. Are we and Islamic leaders reactionary? Are you and your associates progressive? Have you started a black and white revolution? Why do you delude the people? I swear to God that Israel is of no use to you. It is the Holy Quran which helps you.

Today I was informed that some of the preachers have been taken to the S.A.V.A.K. centre and have been told there: “You should abstain from three things, but you are free to say anything else you wish concerning other matters. These three matters are:

1) Do not speak of the Shah and about him.

2) Do not speak of Israel.

3) Do not declare that religion is in danger.

“If we leave these matters aside, what else remains for us to talk about? All our difficulties are related to these things. They themselves attribute them to the Shah. Whomever you refer to, says the Shah has said so. Has the Shah said: “Destroy the college? Kill the Ulama!” That fellow entered the Fayziah College (I won’t mention his name now. I will do so when I have his ears cut off) and blew his whistle and ordered the commandos to begin. He shouted: “What are you waiting for? Demolish and plunder the rooms, destroy those who are the enemies of His Majesty.” When we objected why they did so, they answered: “It was the king’s order. These people are his Majesty’s enemies. Israel is a friend of His Majesty.” Israel’s agents are engaged in sabotage work in Iran. What ill-omened secrets are involved? Should we not talk about them? They tell us not to speak of the Shah and Israel. What is the connection between the Shah and Israel? Is the Shah an Israelite?

“May be the S.A.V.A.K. thinks the Shah is an Israelite, but he claims to be a Muslim and appearances show him to be one. Perhaps there are some secrets involved? The S.A.V.A.K. intends to degrade and disgrace the Shah. We see that religion is threatened in this country, but they tell us not to say so. If we do not say so, would religion not be exposed to danger? If we do not say that the Shah is this and that, would he cease to be so?

“Sir, act: differently so that they can’t: say the S.A.V.A.K. intends to remove the Shah. Don’t you think this may be true? If you deem it probable, do something. Take these messages to this man, to awaken him. May be he would realize the facts and put an end to these confusions. They blame the Shah for everything. Poor man, don’t you know that on the day that a voice is raised and something happens to you, then all these people who surround you will leave you? These people are servants and middlemen, they have no faith, no loyalty; they are servants of pound sterling’s. I am very sorry for the danger in the way of this nation. We are sorry for the ruinous conditions of this country and this government.

“There are many things to say, more than you can imagine. I have got a headache. Tell someone to come and pray.”

It was after these moving words were uttered that Pahlavi’s executioners made use of the night’s darkness and at 3 a.m. on 15th Khordad 1342, carried away Ayatullah Khomeini from Qum to the Officers’ Club in Tehran. After a few days’ confinement there, they took him from the Officers’ Club to the Eshratabad garrison and imprisoned him in a cell. At the same time, a number of religious leaders of other towns were arrested and put in jail. These painful events took place within a few days.

Following the event of 15th Khordad, the country’s affairs were at a standstill, and the people took refuge in Mosques with angry cries and shouts. Torrents of telegrams and letters reached Tehran protesting against the regime and supporting the goals of the Ulama. This was followed by the arrival of religious authorities in Tehran to set Ayatullah Khomeini free from prison and continue the duty of struggle which the rightful religion of Islam and Shi’a had appointed for them. Upon his arrest, the Ulama became at once active to liberate him, and by means of manifestoes informed the Muslim nation of the disaster that was about to take place at the hand of imperialistic agents. They did not only warn the government authorities to observe a limit, but also took step in support of religious authorities in general and Ayatullah Khomeini’s exalted spiritual position in particular, so that no one would dare in future to cause any damage to such personalities.

After several months of imprisonment, the leader of the Revolution was set free on 17th Farvardin 1343. The authorities imagined that the discomforts, hardships and tortures of the prison would affect his lofty spirit in such a way that he would forsake his combat and abandon his Islamic and revolutionary struggles after being freed. But this showed their sheer and illusory ignorance, for, very soon after these events, the question of the ‘capitulation treaty’ came up.

This disgraceful (capitulation) agreement, the bill of which was presented to the assembly in the last week of Mehr 1343 and was easily ratified by both houses of Parliament, placed the life, property and honour of Iranians from the lowest to the highest in the hand of American subjects, so that if the lowest American subject killed the highest-ranked Iranian without any excuse, our government had no right even to arrest and prosecute the murderer. As the ratification of this bill was contrary to all Islamic principles and criteria and a great and intolerable insult to a Muslim nation and its religious leaders, Ayatullah Khomeini delivered a fiery and revolutionary discourse in his house in the presence of hundreds of the followers of the school of combat, calling upon the people to rise up and revolt against the satanic regime, thus shaking the foundation of the despotic rule.

This discourse which was the last speech of the founder of the Revolution at that time resulted in his banishment to foreign lands. Even though in the last fifteen years, this discourse has been printed in hundreds of thousands of copies and secretly distributed amongst the people, yet it would be proper to quote parts of it here as an important destiny making historical document which directed the course of the Islamic Revolution of Iran. This historical speech which resulted in the exile of Ayatullah Khomeini was delivered at Qum on the 4th Aban 1343 on the occasion of the birthday anniversary celebrations of Muhammad Reza Shah when the people were forced to decorate and illuminate all the places:

“These days after hearing about the problems of Iran, I have slept little. I am uneasy and troubled at heart. In deep sorrow, I am counting the days waiting for death; Iran has no festival any longer. The festival has been turned into mourning, (By festival is not meant the Shah’s birthday anniversary, but the birthday anniversary of Hazrat Fatima (s.a.), daughter of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.) and wife of Imam Ali (a.s.), which happened to coincide with the 4th of Aban). They have illuminated everywhere and celebrated and danced when they have sold us and our independence. They continued their illumination and dancing. If I had been in their place, I would have forbidden these illuminations, and in their place I would have asked them to raise black flags over shops and houses. Our dignity is trampled upon, our greatness gone, and the honour of Iranian army destroyed. They took a bill to the Parliament to join us to the Vienna Pact to begin with, and then made military advisers who are all American, together with their families and administrative staff and whoever is related to them, immune against every crime that they may commit in Iran.

“If an American servant murders your religious authority in the middle of the market or trample upon him, the Iranian policeman has no right to stop him. Nor have Iranian courts the right of interrogation or trial in such a case. The matter must be followed up in America where the masters will issue the verdict. The former government had approved this agreement and had kept it a secret. The present government took it to the Parliament a few days ago, to both houses, and ratified it with a simple rising and sitting, and once more they kept quiet about it. Then they brought it to the assembly where some opposition was shown to it, but it was ratified, and shamefully ratified. The government defended this disgraceful bill most shamefully, and lowered the Iranian nation below the rank of American dogs. If an American dog is run over by someone, he is prosecuted, even if that person is the Shah of Iran. But if an American cook runs over the Shah of Iran, or over any other authority or a man of consequence, no one has the right to prosecute him. Why? Because they wanted to ask America for loan and America asked for this in return.

“What can we do with this calamity? What can the Ulama do with it? Where can they take refuge to? What country can they appeal to? Other countries think that it is the Iranian nation which has humbled itself? They don’t know that the Iranian government and Parliament have done so. This Parliament has no connection with the nation. It has been created with the force of the bayonet. What connection does it have with the nation?

The Iranian nation has not given its vote to it. The high-ranked religious leaders prohibited the election. The nation followed suit and refused to vote. These deputies are sitting there by the force of the bayonet. In one of the history textbooks printed this year for children, a false statement that removing the influence of the Ulama is useful for the welfare of this nation is made. So the welfare of the nation depends on the annihilation of this group. Yes this is true. The influence of the Ulama does not allow this nation to be enslaved sometimes by Britain and sometimes by America. The influence of the Ulama does not allow Israel to control Iranian economy, or allow Israelite goods to be sold in Iran, duty free.

“If the Ulama had any influence, they would have rebuked this Parliament and government and expelled the deputies from Parliament. They would not have permitted a puppet of America to commit such wrong deeds. He would have been driven out of Iran. Is the Ulama’s influence harmful for the nation? No it is harmful for you traitors, not for the nation.

“I give a warning! O, army, I give a warning! O, politicians, I give a warning! O, Iranian merchants, I give a warning! O, religious leaders, learned men, theological students, I give a warning! O, gentlemen, O, Najaf, O, Qum, O, Mashhad, O, Tehran, O Shiraz, I give a warning! There are secrets behind the veil, of which we are not aware. In the Parliament it was declared: “Do not let the veils be lifted.” So it is clear that they are deciding on a fate for us. What can they do to us worse than this? I don’t know what is worse than captivity. What is worse than abjection?

“Tell us if the country is under American occupation. If so, then throw us all out of this country. What do they want to do to us? What does this government say? What does this Parliament say? What has this illegal Parliament done to us? This is a Parliament which has been declared illegal by the verdict of the religious authorities, and its members do not belong to the nation. This is a Parliament whose members boast by saying: “We.... We.... We.... are the products of the White Revolution.” Which White Revolution? They have suppressed us. I am aware of people’s hunger, of the depressing state of agriculture. Come and think of something for this country, and for this nation. You keep on borrowing and enslaving yourselves. Of course there is a dollar accompanying slavery. But you intend to take the dollars and make us work as slaves.

“Islam is being discarded. O, Islamic nations, O, Islamic leaders, O, Presidents of Islamic republics, O, Kings of Islamic realms, O, Shah of Iran, redress the wrongs which you have done yourselves and come to our relief. Should we be trampled upon by American boots simply because we are a weak nation, and lack dollars? America is worse than Britain, Britain worse than America, and Soviet Russia worse than both of them and the wickedest of all. But today we are dealing with these wicked ones, and with America. The President of America should realize that he is the most hated person in the world. To our nation, today, he is the most hated for; our nation has been treated by him most unjustly. The Holy Quran and the nation are hostile to him.

“These are not true deputies; they are not deputies of Iran, and even if they were, I would dismiss them. They are discharged as deputies. All the bills which have been approved by the Parliament since the beginning of the constitution are invalid. They do not recognize the law in accordance with the text of the law, and according to the terms and article two of the supplement to the constitutional law.

“As long as there is no supervision by religious authorities over the Parliament, no law is valid. Which religious authority has exercised supervision? And now the hand of the Ulama must be severed? If there were five priests or even one priest in this Parliament, they would have rebuked these people and would not have permitted them to commit such acts. I told those (deputies) who opposed the bill: “It was shameful. Why did you not reject this man? Was it enough to express opposition? And keep on flattering and giving compliments? Your opposition should have taken the form of rising all together and creating uproar to prevent its ratification. Will everything be remedied by merely saying that you object? You saw that it could not. You should not have allowed the session to be held and should have left the Parliament.”

“We do not consider legal this so-called law, Parliament or government.

They are traitors to the country. O God, help the Muslims in their affairs, and grant greatness to the Holy Religion of Islam. O God, annihilate traitors who betray this land and Islam and Holy Quran.”

But what happened during the nine days to Iran and Iranians following this fiery and revolutionary and historical discourse? The great leader of the Revolution following his significant speech about capitulation, in a manifesto, condemned the Shah’s treason in securing the ratification of the bill of Iran’s captivity by the force of the bayonet, and called upon all classes from the Iranian nation to be united to the last and to support Islam and Iran’s independence at the cost of their lives.

What is important and certain are the good intention, sympathy and interest in the promotion and victory of the Revolution shown in the depth of the heart of every single exalted Islamic leader, and it has been these noble men who have made clear their real thoughts on various problems through their speeches and interviews. As Ayatullah Khomeini has repeatedly declared, the victory of the Muslim Iranian nation, in addition to the explicitness and decisiveness of leadership, has depended and will depend on the unity of word and action of all classes in safeguarding and continuation of victory, and avoidance of dispersion and differences.

Informed enemies and ignorant friends have not yet been able to estimate the miraculous and amazing effect of unity, or to understand the degree and extent of the sufferings and hardships borne by our contemporary Ulama for the success of this great movement.

Some newly risen individuals and pseudo revolutionary anti revolutionaries have summed up the idea of combat in the words ‘prison’ and ‘banishment,’ and measure people’s services and their sufferings and the extent of their role and effect on the revolution only by the length of their terms of imprisonment and exiles and if an individual does not satisfy these criteria, he is to be counted as anti-revolutionary, at least he should possess no right to social life. In their opinion, one year’s imprisonment or exile of a young theological student may be the equivalent of or higher than fifty years’ scholarly endeavours of the great Islamic philosopher, scholar Tabatabai, who is the pride of Islamic and oriental philosophy, and who has created a dignified position for the Theological Centre of Qum in learning and thoughts, and has trained such disciples as Scholar Mutahhari, Scholars Muntazeri, Makaarim, Rabbaani, Noori, Khaz’ali, Ameeni, Jawaadi, Subhaani, Beheshti, Mofatteh, Musawi and hundreds of other crusading men of learning, whose activities and efforts were the source of pride for the Theological Centre.

Chapter Ten

# A Survey of a ‘Character-Building’ School of Thought

“A great man of Qum will invite people towards truth and freedom, and they will accept his call resolutely and with an iron will gather round him. They are individuals who fear no calamities and unpleasant happenings, and never tire of combat and endeavour. Their only hope and refuge is God. Ultimate victory and success belong to chaste and virtuous people and those who follow the way of God.”

‘Sixth Imam’

“God Almighty reasons with the city of Qum and its people as well as other towns. In the period of Absence a day will come when Qum and its people will act as a plea for other towns. The city of Kufah will be emptied of Shi’as and lovers of the Prophet’s household, and knowledge and learning will depart from there, whereas Qum will become the city of learning from where all knowledge will spread to other regions of the world.”

‘Seventh Imam’

These two utterances delivered in the form of an amazing prediction and in such a lucid way are quoted from two exalted and divine personalities and two impeccable leaders of the Shi’as, namely the sixth and seventh Imams, written in pages 445 and 446 of volume two of ‘Safinatul Behaar’ which has been handed down to us. It is exhilarating and soul inspiring for believers, and at the same time astonishing and vanquishing for unbelievers and sceptics that the sixth Imam (a.s.), the founder of the Shi’a creed and leader of the Ja’fari faith, and his son the seventh Imam (a.s.), have twelve centuries ago, made such a clear and definite prediction concerning the present position of Qum, and have given the world and its people such good tidings about the rise of a man from an Islamic land.

Today, as Islam and atheism confront each other in an unequal war, everyone can see and find a new life by seeing that the above divine promise has been fulfilled, and the city of Qum has become the home of the true uprising of the Iranian nation, and the realm of religion, knowledge and creative ideas, as well as the stronghold of the combat with ignorance and corruption, and a centre of honour and virtue and the axis of all human and Islamic moves.

Today Islam can see and find a new life, and atheism can see and thereby tremble that after twelve centuries since that divine tidings was delivered, a great man of religion, named Ayatullah Khomeini, has stood up, and in the way predicted by the sixth Imam (a.s.), has invited people towards truth and freedom, and they have accepted his call with an iron resolution.

Today the whole world can see that the rightful voice of this superman of religion has echoed under this vault of heaven, and has inspired the entire world Muslims and all the oppressed non-Muslims of this planet full of tyranny, with an exciting and life-giving dynamism. As the two exalted Imams (a.s.) have predicted, the city of Qum has become not only the guiding light of this nation, but the refuge of hopes and expectations of all Muslims and oppressed peoples of the world.

Let us now throw a brief glance at the city of Qum. This blessed city which turned into a centre of lofty human ideals and Islamic movements attained its deserved height of splendour from the time that the Holy shrine of the great and chaste lady of Islam, Faatemah Masooma (s.a.), granted it honour and nobility. Ever since, the small town of Qum has borne witness to a new historical and history making phase.

The Blessing of this Noble lady’s shrine has made Qum the refuge of the devotees of the household of virtue and purity and centre of attention of distinguished Islamic personalities. Since then, Islamic scholars, great Islamologists and those enamoured of the Holy Prophet’s household (a.s.) and Imam Ali (a.s.) have been drawn to this land, residing in the vicinity of the Holy shrine. Gradually, various important theological centres were established in Qum to propagate Islam and a nucleus of learning was formed which continued to flourish and produced distinguished narrators, orators, jurisprudents, thinkers and religious authorities for the Islamic society, each of whom has won a lofty-position in the history of Islamic intellectual endeavours.

The Theological Centre of Qum which was founded by the great scholar of divinity Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri Yazdi has become in the last sixty years a most powerful, destiny-making, intellectual foundation in Iran and the Islamic world.

In view of the heavy responsibility which the Theological Centre of Qum has had in the history of social, political and Islamic struggles in Iran and other Islamic lands during the last sixty years, we decided to give in this volume of ‘Ten Decades of Ulama’s Struggle’ an account of the oscillating lives of the great men and banner bearers of this centre. These descriptions may prove valuable to those historians and investigators who wish to clarify certain problems which have remained obscure concerning this pioneering society and may serve as a reliable source for further research. They may illustrate how in this chaotic world and this fearful abyss, the Muslim nations have succeeded in overthrowing the Pharaohs and their foundations by means of reliance on Islamic universal criteria.

## The Humanistic and Revolutionary Charter of Qum’s Theological Centre

The city of Qum is described beautifully as follows by Ayatullah Taaleqaani, a model of chastity, virtue and a crusader who believed only in Islam, humanity, honour and human dignity to the end of his life and fought for these principles, and even his death, mourned by the whole nation of Iran produced a unity of hearts.

Listen to his warm and eloquent utterances about the city of Qum:

“The city of Qum has been the city of a genuine Islamic and human uprising; the city of the followers of the school of the prophet’s household; the city which has been the source of changes and transformation in other cities of Iran. Qum has been the cradle which has nourished many great narrators, scholars and philosophers such as Mullah Sadra and Haaeri, and then comes the turn of the uprising of Shaikh Muhammad Taqi Yazdi, the Abuzar of the time. These doors, walls and courtyard are my memories of fifty years. Even now they inspire and press my heart and an occult voice whispers in my ears. You cannot realize how inspiring these doors and walls are for me. Now I can hear the loud protesting voice of Shaikh Muhammad Taqi Yazdi here in this same courtyard. His voice under the deadly lashes of Reza Khan reaches my ears, and I can hear the thumping of his soldiers’ military boots at the moment when they attacked this Holy shrine with guns and tanks. We were there in their midst, and were beaten and bayoneted, but we stood up to fight.”

What did Reza Khan really want to say?

Ayatullah Taaleqaani continues to say:

“After a year, this old Abuzar of the time, this man who lived on a piece of dry bread was freed from prison and banished to the shrine of Abdul Azeem. When I went to visit him he showed his arms on which the scars of lashes could still be seen.”

That is how Ayatullah Taaleqaani speaks of Qum and of the great fighters who raised their banner of combat in this city. And now we wish to speak of the city of uprising, as it is called by Ayatullah Taaleqaani, the city of genuine human and Islamic uprising, and of its theological centre which opened the bright horizon of hopes and ideals before the oppressed people for Iran during the dark rule of Pahlavi.

As we said before, Qum’s Theological Centre found a new life since Ayatullah Shaikh Abdul Karim migrated to that town, and it began its present magnificent life.

That great man of religion was the bright light of a circle of men of learning and virtue and served as the nucleus round which scholars gathered. That godly, learned and chaste man educated such accomplished disciples in his school that in the days of hostility and suppression of Islam, knowledge and ideas, when Reza Khan and other hirelings of foreign powers had decided to trample upon everything standing for religion and human honour, united even more strongly his followers and disciples to withstand all slaughts. The number of his disciples rose to six hundred and these stood up valiantly against world devourers’ plots and tyranny of Reza Khan’s rule. They refused to give up their religion for worldly things and although all of them were exalted and worthy of respect, there rose from amongst them such noble men as the great leader of the Islamic Revolution of Iran and other religious authorities, scholars and jurisprudents of whom the Theological Centre is highly and fittingly proud. Each of these men has been the illuminating light of Islamic circles, ever since Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri had gathered round himself constructive men of thought and school, and had turned the city of Qum into a centre for the propagation of Islamic ideas in the whole of Iran.

In fact it was since he resided in Qum that this city became the centre of learning and intellectuality of the Islamic world, and the abode of the exalted authorities and social and intellectual leaders. It was turned into a base which had inherited research and dynamism from the Alawi School, and stability, profundity and precision about Islamic matters from the fruitful Jafari jurisprudence.

The fundamental goal and program of a centre of learning which has been recognized as the base of men of knowledge and combat, and the abode of Shi’a guidance in the world, is in the first place to preserve the authenticity of all Islamic branches of knowledge, to promote scientific goals, to guide the people in Islamic matters, to enlighten public minds and to direct the course of Muslim thoughts in social and political life.

It is through being inspired by the above goals that an army in search of knowledge, freedom and chastity is trained in that exalted centre, thus producing distinguished researchers, historians, commentators, narrators, orators, preachers, missionaries and philosophers, all of whom are armed with the weapon of religious knowledge and despatched to all parts of the country in order to defend Islamic facts and truth.

Qum’s Theological Centre has constantly paid attention to people’s social and spiritual needs and has taken effective steps in training fine preachers, writers and well-informed investigators. It is now passing through the highest and best phase of its constructive life, directed in its administrative and scholastic programs by exalted authorities who do their utmost in realizing this character building objective.

From the time that Reza Khan appeared on the political scene of Iran, the city of Qum was able to reveal its humanistic, historical and Islamic nature and this time coincided with the period when Ayatullah Haaeri as a religious leader of lofty ideas acted as a religious authority of the Shi’a world, and performed his duties of leadership in that city.

At the time the Theological Centre of Qum was so flourishing that it could be compared to other famous centres founded by Behbahani, Shaikh Ansari, Mirza Shirazi, Akhund Mullah Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani, and the contemporary master Agha Zia al-Deen Araqi. Each of these men of God has trained many other distinguished disciples who have played a great role in the history of thought, faith and religious school.

Chapter Eleven

# Biography of Ayatullah Haaeri

The late Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri Yazdi was born in 1276 of the Hijrah year in the village of Mehr-Jerd of Yazd. He was a most exalted scholar of the centre of Haj Mirza Muhammad Hasan, known as Mirza Shirazi in Samarrah, and then participated in the centres directed by the late Mirza Muhammad Taqi and Sayyed Muhammad Fesharaki.



In 1316, he went to the town of Arak on the invitation of the religious leaders of that town and resided there for a few years. When he came to settle permanently in Qum, he laid the foundation stone of the Theological Centre close to the Holy shrine of Faatemah Ma’sooma (s.a.), the noble lady of Islam, and very soon he succeeded in captivating the attention of various scholarly gatherings of the world to this centre. It can in fact be claimed that his going to Qum and his establishing of this centre opened a new chapter in the expansion of Islamic culture in the Shi’a world. Thus, the city of Qum which had been a centre of attention for Shi’a leaders since early Islam, was about to add another brilliant page to its proud history, and when Ayatullah Burujardi joined this city, it became the main axis of all Islamic theological centres in the world.

Although we have no intention of giving all the biographical details of these great men here, and wish mainly to deal with the way of life of these godly men and their intellectual influence in promoting Islamic goals and movements, yet since Qum has assumed a great importance today, we must mention certain dates, places and individuals, and describe in detail the life of Ayatullah Haaeri from the beginning down to the foundation of Qum’s Theological Centre and finally to his death.

Ayatullah Haaeri completed his earlier education at Ardekan of Yazd under Majdul Ulama Ardakaani and other scholars of Ardekan. Then he left for Yazd and found his way to the Khan Theological School, and while he resided there, he studied under the late Haj Mirza Sayyed Hasan Wamegh, and Agha Sayyed Yahya Yazdi.

In his youth, he immigrated to Iraq, and resided in the Theological Centre there which was managed by the great religious leader Ayatullah Mirza Muhammad Hasan Shirazi, and continued his higher theological studies, benefiting from the study circles of eminent masters such as Agha Sayyed Muhammad Tabatabai Fesharaki Isfahani, and Ayatullah Mojaddad.

After the death of these two scholars, he left for Holy Najaf where he studied under Akhund Mullah Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani, author of ‘Kefaya’, and Sayyed Muhammad Kazem Yazdi, author of ‘Arvat’, until he attained the rank of an Islamic jurisprudential expert (Ijtehad).

He then left for Holy Karbala where for many years he was engaged in religious instructions and training men of learning and virtue. This phase lasted until 1332 of the Hijrah when the late Haj Sayyed Ismail, son of Ayatullah Haj Agha Mohsen Araqi invited him to visit Arak to set up a study circle. He accepted the invitation and spent eight years there teaching jurisprudence and principles to a number of scholars of Arak and other places who wished to join his circle.

After spending eight fruitful years in Arak, he left in 1340 for a New Year pilgrimage to Qum, and it was in this trip that a new phase of his life and a fresh chapter in the magnificent history of Qum began.

His religious position was at that time so exalted that the news of his trip had reached Qum even before his arrival. Thus the people, following the example of the Ulama, gathered in large groups to welcome and receive the benefit of meeting him.

For that welcome, many great religious leaders were present including the late Bafqi, a model of learning and virtue. It was he who persuaded people to request Ayatullah Haaeri to reside permanently in Qum.

The large crowd of welcomers went as far as Jamaalabad, four kilometres out of Qum towards Arak, and when they met Ayatullah Haaeri, they decided to rest for an hour there. It was then that they requested him to settle in that city and for the establishment of a theological centre. He was then brought to the city amidst the cheers and enthusiasm of the people, to reside in the house of the late Haj Shaikh Mehdi Pa’in Shahri.

In the New Year which coincided with Rajab of 1340, a large number of pilgrims, including religious leaders had come from different parts of the country to be close to the Holy shrine at the transition time to the New Year. These people, too, hastened to meet Ayatullah Haaeri to persuade him to give his assent for residing in Qum.

The matter was much discussed in family, street and Mosque circles, and preachers encouraged all congregations to insist on their request. It is narrated in biographical accounts that Haj Shaikh Muhammad Taqi Bafqi was the most insistent of all since he had been fully acquainted with the Ayatullah at Najaf and well aware of his position as a man of learning and virtue and as a religious authority. He thought it a pity not to give the people the chance to benefit from his deep knowledge through a study circle in Qum,

The fine author of ‘Treasure of Scholars’ writes in the chapter on Ayatullah Haaeri:

“The late Bafqi told the writer and some others that Ayatullah Haaeri had on his way to Mashhad seen the sorry state of Qum including the centres of Fayziah and Dar-e-Shafe. He had witnessed how this theological college which had been the study centre of such exalted masters as the late Mohaddis, Mulla Mohsin Fayz, Mulla Abdul Razzaq Lahiji, Sadr al-Mota’allehin Shirazi and others had been turned into a refuge for beggars, lunatics and poor people. He had expressed great regret at this and wished that something would be done but he thought it difficult to transfer the Theological Centre from Arak to Qum. However, the insistence of Shaikh Bafqi, in spite of the hesitation of Ayatullah Haaeri, eventually won the latter’s assent with the following remark:

“Have you not come across the account narrated from the two impeccable Imams about the end of Time when Qum will become the centre of learning, when knowledge will creep underground like a snake from Kufah and Najaf and raise its head at Qum?”

He answered that he had. Bafqi asked whether he believed in it or doubted it. He answered that he believed in it.

Bafqi said: “Don’t you wish to set up this centre by your hand to make your name everlasting?” He answered in the affirmative. Then Bafqi said: “Then make a decision to stay here and send a message to Arak to those who wish to come and stay at Qum.”

In addition to Bafqi, there were other distinguished men of Qum such as Ayatullah Hajj Mirza Muhammad Arbaab, Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Muhammad Reza’i Save’i, Haj Sayyed Hasan, custodian of Faatemah’s shrine, Haj Mirza Mahmood Ruhani, all of whom expressed the same desire. Hesitatingly, Ayatullah Haaeri bidded beads as to whether it was advisable for him to stay in Qum and invite other masters and his disciples in Arak to do the same. Although Ayatullah Haaeri was not in the habit of basing his decision on an augury, yet one early morning, while visiting the Holy shrine, he consulted the Holy Quran at random and verse 93 of chapter Yusuf encouraged him to decide in favour of staying in Qum. He returned to the house of Haj Sayyed Ali Mustafavi, a Qum merchant, to announce his decision to all those who were waiting for it, at which they were all overjoyed.

The late Haj Mirza Abdul Husain Saheb al-Dareyn who served as distributor under Ayatullah Haaeri told the writer in Arak that when Haaeri’s message reached Arak, he and Haj Mirza Hedayatullah Wahid Gulpaygani were the first to join Haaeri after making the usual payment to Arak’s divinity students, and there Ayatullah announced to him his decision to stay in Qum, saying to him, “I am destined to stay here. If you want, God is great; you can go and bring your family.” Following this, Agha Haji Mirza Hedayatullah left for Iraq, informing the disciples and a friend of Ayatullah of the latter’s decision and soon, many of them came to Qum and soon started laying the foundation of that centre. Thus, the impeccable Imam’s prediction proved true and Hazrat Ayatullah Haaeri at the height of his Islamic and humanitarian thoughts, decided to follow suit and settle in Qum, thus giving a new life to this centre.

Qum became then a centre of Islamic ideas of the Shi’a world, training and; nourishing great leaders each of whom opened a fresh chapter in the field of Alawi Shi’ism and the history of the progressive Ulama.

## The Works and Services of Ayatullah Haaeri, Founder of the Theological Centre

The fruitful life of Ayatullah Haaeri in the city of Qum has not only left us with his illuminating thoughts over the wide world of Islam and Shi’ism. There are further his precious books and treatises which are often resorted to when religious problems in theological circles arise, his exalted disciples who were trained by him and offered to the Shi’a world, and also his great service in emphasizing the fact that Shi’ism does not only mean groaning and mourning, but also protest and shouting. He reminded one once more that what the Islam of Imam Ali (a.s.) has always been seeking is wholly and basically different from the Islam of Mo’aviyah. He showed the true identity of the Ulama in the Islamic society, which is quite different from what had been exhibited by foreign and internal colonizing governments which had installed pseudo-Ulama in order to hide the genuine visage of the Ulama. With persistent fervour, he succeeded in removing the veil which foreign colonization and internal exploitation had placed between the people and the Ulama, and showed that the Shi’a Ulama are the followers of a school which has Imam Ali (a.s.) as its leader, and have never been apart from people, and have always adopted the example of that leader’s way of a simple life and abode, and in spite of having all the tempting wealth of the Muslim nation at his disposal, has been content with a single dish for his meal.

If we are to present a list of his works and services as a great founder, we may offer the following:

1) The formal establishment of Qum’s Theological Centre which God willing; will last until the advent of the Twelfth Imam (a.t.f.s.).

2) The establishment of Sahami and Fatemi hospitals which were built out of one-third of the inheritance fund left by Sayyed Muhammad Fatemi for the benefit of theological students, and which are now managed by other exalted religious authorities.

3) Building the Qum river dam after the havoc caused by floods which did much damage to houses, streets and even to the Holy shrine. The people had a great share in helping to build it.

4) Repair of the Fayziah and Dar-e-Shafe Schools which were in ruins before his arrival and on the point of destruction.

5) Building a ‘wash-house’ for the dead which were badly needed, on the south bank of the Qum River.

6) Building the citadel of Mubarakabad for families whose dwellings had been demolished in the flood. (This district is still known as Rahbar Street.)

7) Authorship of the valuable book, ‘Durar al-Fawaaed’ on jurisprudence, most topics of which he taught in his circle.

8) Writing the precious book, ‘A-Salaat’ as a source of reference for scholars and jurisprudents.

9) Founding the Fayziah college library which is now one of the riches of Iran, and is unrivalled not only from the point of view of quantity, but also of quality as compared to important libraries in the world of Islam.

10) Designing the Haaeri cemetery known today as Haj Shaikh Cemetery.

11) Designing the New Cemetery.

12) The disciples of his school. This is the greatest gift left by Ayatullah Haaeri as the finest intellectual and spiritual heritage to benefit many generations to come and to expand the field of knowledge in the world.

Some contemporary writers say in this connection:

“The late Haaeri is such a genius of scholarship that he has produced many symbols of virtue, honour and fully-qualified theological experts, men of learning, and fine teachers and masters.”

## A Brief Account of His Character

The disciples of his scholastic and moral school are always full of praise and admiration for him. His moral, mental and spiritual qualities were such that those who met him or attended his study circles felt a deep affection for him.

## The View of Ayatullah Akhund Mulla Ali Ma’soomi

One of the disciples of that great master was the late Akhund Mulla Ali Ma’soomi Hamadani who was a distinguished religious authority coming from the country’s west. He always expressed a great respect for his master and spoke of his deep conviction, his morals, his sincerity, and talked of the encouragement he gave to men of learning, which showed his humanity and his love of educating the younger generation and providing the chance for innovators. It was an account which could prove beneficial even to the selfish world of today. He said:

“I had newly returned from Qum to Hamadan and had repaired the school of Akhund Hamadani in Shoorbon Street, and had gathered a number of pupils for discussion and teaching. Few people were aware of our condition and time was passing. One day the school servant entered the class and said that a gentleman wished to see me. I asked him to bring him in. When he joined our small group, he had a few purses of money on him. He was a well-known merchant of Hamadan and said that he was returning from a visit to Ayatullah Haaeri where he went to pay his religious taxes. Ayatullah Haaeri had asked him where he lived and he answered that his home was Hamadan. On hearing this name, Haaeri had told the merchant that he should not have taken the trouble to come to Qum since he could pay the taxes to the Reverend Ma’soomi in Hamadan, and that this would bring a greater heavenly reward, since that gentleman is a trustworthy man of religion and is in charge of a study circle there. So the purses containing a considerable sum of money were handed to me, and this enabled me to act generously in the financial affairs of the centre. Again, as he was a well-known man in that region, his benevolent act produced much excitement encouraging others to follow suit, so that the centre can now accommodate five hundred students, teachers, congregation Imams and preachers.

In fact, the nucleus of this centre owes its existence to the benevolence, commitment and humanitarian activities of Shaikh Haaeri. It should be mentioned that the Theological Centre of Hamadan has hitherto offered the society many religious scholars, some of whom have trained others in the Theological Centre of Qum. Among these masters are the martyred scholar Dr. Muhammad Mofatteh, the exalted master Husain Noori, and Shaikh Hasan Noori and other distinguished men.

Among the brilliant achievements of Akhund Hamadani, who was a zealous lover of books and libraries, was the opening of a library in the west of the country in 1340 containing over 20,000 volumes of precious books on various Islamic branches of knowledge as well as valuable manuscripts including the philosophical treatises of Avicenna and Farabi in their own handwriting. There are also other manuscripts the list of which is compiled by Dr. Maqsudi, former librarian of this library. The writer and others who have benefited so much from this institution are greatly indebted to the admirable and fine intention of the late Haaeri.

## Ayatullah Sayyed Shahab al-Deen Najafi Mar’ashi’s View

This Reverend gentleman, who is another distinguished disciple of Qum’s Theological Centre, made the following brief remark concerning his exalted master: “He possessed distinctive noble qualities which were the same both externally and internally. He loved his disciples and was deeply attached to men of learning and virtue. He believed in preserving the secrets of clericalism. Concerning the forceful unveiling of women, he sent a telegram to Reza Shah and received a very abusive and insulting answer. It was clear that protest was of no avail. In the last six months of his life he was secluded in his own house, and rarely appeared in public. It looked as if he died of grief caused by the installed government, and was repeatedly slandered because of his objections.

## Ayatullah Gulpaygani’s View

Ayatullah Gulpaygani who is one of the senior disciples of the late Haaeri, said in an interview on 25th Zilhajj 1400 about his exalted master: “The late Shaikh possessed many noble qualities, and he seemed to have attained his accomplishments through a hard and long asceticism, otherwise it would not have been an easy matter to pass through such difficult stages. He was a model of humility and modesty, and treated everyone equally. He would sit wherever it was suitable as did the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.) without feeling abased. He was intimate and frank with his friends and pupils. He paid no heed to expensive food, and made a point of partaking of simple foods. He possessed a truly chaste personality, and often declared that he had never sought a position. He was greatly inclined towards men of learning, considering it a duty to preserve the dignity of the Ulama.

“One day a religious scholar named Shaikh Muhammad Reza Sabeti Savaji had come from Saveh and told the late Haaeri: ‘The people of Saveh have turned aside from Ayatullah Maamqaani and imitate you. Shaikh Haaeri cried out angrily: ‘What has enabled them to consider me superior in learning? There is no material criterion to measure learning so simply and superficially. Why do they give way to such acts?’ He was never interested in wealth and position, and considered his leadership a Divine duty.

“One day a merchant brought him a considerable sum (apparently thirty thousand toomans) which was enough to cover three months’ expenses of the centre and its students. Although that money was badly needed by the centre at that time, yet when Haaeri realized that the source from which the money had been obtained was not legitimate from a religious point of view and it was open to suspicion, he asked the contributor to refer to another scholar named Sayyed Abul Hasan Isfahani, to decide whether the fund was acceptable. Thus out of his uprightness and honesty, he refused to accept such a doubtful contribution despite the urgent need for it for college expenses, and sent the contributor to another man who may not be so particular about his own doubt. At the same time, he did not grudge his colleague a much needed assistance, since they both followed the same goal and ideal.”

Such are those who have trained in the monotheistic school of thought.

## The View of the Younger Ayatullah Haaeri in Regard to his Father and Teacher

For a better acquaintance with the character of the founder of Qum’s Theological Centre on 26th Muharram 1401, I visited the house of Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Murtaza Haaeri, the eldest son of the late Haaeri to question him over this matter.

At first he abstained from answering since he considered praising one’s father implied a praise of oneself. But the question was eventually put differently, that is, he was asked to describe the late Haaeri not as a father, but as his spiritual teacher and the influence the latter has exercised on him as one of his pupils.

He produced a pamphlet he had written on this matter in which the following three points describe his father’s qualities which are worthy of attention in these days where egoism is the rule.

1) Respecting the rights of the spouse

“He possessed certain characteristics which amazed people, revealing a remarkable degree of self-refinement and Islamic education. In his family life, he paid attention to matters which are often ignored by others. For instance, he had another wife before marrying our mother, who had lost her sight, but he looked after her without rousing our attention. I have been told by the late Farid Araki that in spite of his age he carried her about whenever the need arose, and he did so in such a way that would not make us jealous.

2) Generosity to others

For many years, he financially supported the late Sayyed Muhammad Fesharaki, theologian who lived in abject poverty, and after Fesharaki’s death, he supported his children even though his own income was inadequate, to satisfy his own needs.

3) Family’s hunger on the death of the founder of the Theological Centre

This point is seen in the words of the exalted Leader of the Revolution in 1342 before the happening of 15th Khordad, by which he repudiated the nonsensical statements of the Shah, saying:

“Are we parasitic when our Haaeri on his passing away leaves his family without supper, and are they not parasitic who have filled foreign banks with their money and still continue to plunder the nation?”

The question which was put was this:

“In view of the chastity and remarkable cautiousness of this founder of the Theological Centre, should the observance of Islamic standards and economy be to that extent that the founder’s children have no security of livelihood?”

The answer given was as follows: “The truth is exactly what the late Haaeri said: ‘Religious funds were spent according to certain regulations. The fund was kept in special places to avoid their being spent for personal uses, and our father had earmarked it for definite cases, giving no one the right of alternation and interference. On his death we were short of cash and we borrowed 50 toomans from Sayyed Abul Fazl Zanjani for personal expenses until we were relieved later on.

These three questions were answered by Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Murtaza Haaeri who likes his father, has a great reputation for learning and chastity and is indifferent to material comfort. He lives in a humble and simple house as did his father and is a fine model of him. May God encourage his example among the Ulama and men of learning!

## His Death after Fifteen Years’ Endeavour

Ayatullah Haaeri lived a life full of endeavour for fifteen years in Qum, namely from 1340 to 1355, from the age of 68 to 83. But these fifteen years of this follower of the school of Imam Ali (a.s.) and lover of Imam Husain (a.s.)’s chastity, leadership and martyrdom were full of sufferings, hardships, combats and crusades. His departure from Arak to Qum coincided with the British inspired coup d’état of Reza Khan and the beginning of hostility with Islam. In those years, when the aim of foreign colonial policies had been to destroy the criteria and vestiges of Islam at the hand of their puppet rulers, the heaviest blows were dealt upon Islamic societies, theological centres, clerical gatherings, Mosques and congregational centres and whatever was the emblem of Islam and of Shi’ism in particular. Day after day, suffocating policies were adopted against Islam and the Ulama, especially against those who were progressive. Every day, difficulties and repression increased, and this anti-Islamic policy showered great hardships upon the Divine followers of the school of Islam and wore them out physically and mentally.

But Ayatullah Haaeri was not a man to withdraw from the scene, or leave the banner of religion and learning in the hand of Satans and satanic elements. So with a courage inherited from the Schools of Imam Ali (a.s.) and Imam Husain (a.s.), he stood up firmly against all these hardships, and decided that the best way of combat was to establish even more firmly the Theological Centre and train his disciples as an army of religion and virtue.

He saw that big powers rushed in from every side to burn up like poisonous winds the odorous flowers of this heavenly garden of religion and chastity and leave in their place fearful thorns and thistles. He logically realized that he could not face the enemy in an unequal battle with his small followers. But he trained this small army for a day when it would be powerful enough to confront the enemies of religion victoriously.

But this combat, mental and negative in nature, even though being in the direction of his mission, was hardly satisfying to his heart, and the blows he received were most painful to his heart and spirit so that at the end of his life he spent most of his time in retirement and seclusion, and sometimes he told his companions that he heartily prayed God for death so as not to witness any longer the hostility of foreign powers and internal rulers with religion.

He had often told his intimate friends that even though he felt sure that Islam would eventually win over infidelity, yet he was too old to live to see this victory. Therefore, he preferred to die so as not to see the violation of the Holy religion of Islam and Holy Quran and Muslims’ honour by internal politicians backed by foreign colonisers. That is why his friends, companions as well as a great religious authority of the centre declared after his death that he had died of grief.

He spent his last days of life fighting his physical weakness. He passed away on the night of 17th Zilqaad 1355 at the age of 83, at a time when Reza Khan’s anti-religious policies have reached their peak, the latter having allowed foreign politics to penetrate all the foundations of Islam and Shi’ism.

The author of ‘Treasure of Scholars’ writes about Ayatullah Haaeri and his death and its deep effect on the Ulama’s and Islamic circles and the wounded Iranian Muslim nation of that day: “His death was a great loss in that critical and gloomy period when the mysterious hands of all the colonisers and enemies of religion and the country’s independence were most active against the Holy Quran and Islam, constantly imposing iniquitous innovations on those who were feeble of belief. They had exiled, destroyed or forced into seclusion all the great scholars and active and protesting religious leaders, and he was the only one left to combat the enemies and foreigners in his negative and positive way and check their aggression.

“He had managed to bring that enemy down to his knees who had claimed that he got rid of all opponents except this one whom he wished to destroy too, to be freed from the bounds of religion and faith.

‘When on the morning of his death the news reached Tehran and other places of the country, the people mourned and lamented this loss, and the world predicted gloomy life for Shias and other believers, expecting many other great calamities.

“At that time, performing funeral rites and demonstrations were forbidden, but the people of Qum, men and women of all classes were so distressed that they moaned and cried as if a thunderbolt had fallen from heaven burning everything. At that time of suppression, such a demonstration was carried out by people the like of which is rarely seen. The late Ayatullah Haj Mirza Fakhr al-Deen Shaikh al-Islam read the late Haaeri’s burial prayer, and he was buried in the Mosque near the shrine, where the deceased himself used to pray and teach.”

His household, one of virtue and learning, consisted of five off-springs, two sons and three daughters, all of whom were thoroughly educated. His sons are Ayatollahs Haj Agha Murtaza, and Haj Mahdi, and his daughters got married respectively with Hujjatul Islam Haj Shaikh Muhammad Tuysarkani, Haj Shaikh Ahmad Hamadani, and Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Muhammad Mohaqiq Damad Yazdi.

Many poems and odes have been composed eulogizing the late Haaeri’s asceticism and abstinence, by men of renown, and many opinions have been expressed about his fine qualities the most important of which is uttered by Ayatullah Khomeini, Leader of the Islamic Revolution of Iran and a disciple of the Haaeri School.

In his famous discourse which in a sense inspired the Islamic movement of Iran and gave direction to the Islamic Revolution, delivered on 13th Khordad 1342 at the Fayziah College concerning the hostility of the government towards religion and the Ulama, he gave a moving warning to the government and its nonsensical abuse of the Ulama, saying:

“You can see this Fayziah College where so many young men spend their youth in these small lodges studying hard and living on 30 or 40 and a maximum of 100 toomans a month. Are they parasitic whereas those who spend millions of toomans on futile matters are not parasitic? Are we spongers when our Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri on his death leaves his children without supper?”

Indeed his household led a life of chastity and moderation under their father’s blessing. But the fact is that his household is not limited to two sons and three daughters and his grandchildren. It comprises also his select disciples and men of faith and martyrdom who were brought up in his school, all of whom form a large army of godly men of learning scattered all around Iran, carrying the echo of his voice throughout the world of Islam.

Chapter Twelve

# Period of Intermission and Directorship of Three Religious Authorities

In the course of the Theological Center’s intellectual combats and evolution after the death of its founder, we come across three exalted and progressive religious authorities whose fame and services to the centre cannot be passed over. They are the late Ayatullah Hujjat, Ayatullah Sayyed Sadr (Father of Imam Moosa Sadr and Ayatullah Sayyed Reza Sadr), and Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Taqi Khunsaari.

These three undertook the difficult task of managing the Theological Centre in the critical period following the death of the founder. It was a time when this institution badly needed mental and spiritual impetus against its opponents and its students required the patronage of its kind leaders.

To follow up the work of its noble founder these men rendered the following services in continuation of a hundred years’ combat of the Ulama:

Ayatullah Hujjat, founder of the great cultural foundation. He was a noble jurisprudent, and erudite authority, and a fine Islamic biographer who was greatly respected by his master for his knowledge of jurisprudence and principles as well as his chastity and abstinence. This attachment was so deep that the late Haaeri granted him the privilege of acting as Imam of the congregational prayer of the Holy shrine, and was also chosen by him as one of the two executors of his will with certain duties and responsibilities.

Under those critical conditions, he acted as a director for a short time, making use of his particular method in propagating Islamic teachings. He acted in collaboration with other leaders as an intellectual leader of the centre after the death of its founder in 1355.

## A Brief Biography Ayatullah Hujjat

Ayatullah Hujjat was the son of divinity scholar, Sayyed Ali Koh Kamari, of the village of Koh-Kamar in Tabrez, and a descendant of Imam Sajjad (a.s.) through twenty-five generations. He started his studies in Azerbaijan and continued it in Najaf under Ayatullah Shari’at Isfahani, Ayatullah Naaeni and the Reverend Zia Araghi. He was deeply interested in his studies and very soon attained the rank of a theologian.

As the climate of Najaf did not suit his health, in 1349, he emigrated to Qum, and owing to his scholarly capabilities, he soon captivated the attention of Ayatullah Haaeri who appointed him as congregational prayer Imam of the Balasar Mosque in his own place, and put him in charge of all the religious affairs several months before his own decease.

He possessed a powerful memory, a penetrating mind and fine judgement. He himself said of his memory that after coming across a narrative in Bihar al-Anwar, he could still remember the content and the page number after twenty years.

He has produced valuable works, one of which is “The revival of the great Tabyan commentary of Shaikh Tusi.” He also founded the school of Hujjatia in an area of eight thousand square meters with 126 well-lit and well-equipped student’s rooms which are at present occupied. He also established a valuable library in the same school in 1351 at the end of his life. He was helped in this enterprise by the scholar Haj Agha Mehdi Haaeri Tehrani. The library now possesses a total of 10,000 volumes including theological text books, commentaries, literature, annuals, traditions and biographies. The library is open during the day and night to both the resident students of the centre and others from outside.

As one who was entrusted with various responsibilities, he sent the following note through Ayatullah Behbahani to the government of the time concerning the prohibition of the woman’s veil in public:

“In the Name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful,

I should like to beg your reverence to remind those in charge of the country’s affairs that it would be impossible to carry out acts which are definitely contrary to the Holy religion in certain respects, since this will lead to corruption. I express my thanks for your endeavours.”

Humbly Yours

Muhammad al-Husaini al-Koh Kamari

Thus he was very much outspoken and fearlessly opposed a government this was fully supported by America and other western governments. He died in 1372 of the Hijrah and left the world of virtue and knowledge mourning sorrowfully for his loss.

## Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Taqi Khunsaari

Another director of the period of intermission of Qum’s Theological Centre after the founder’s death was the late Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Taqi Khunsaari born in 1305 and died on 7th Zilhajj 1371. After finishing his elementary education in his home town, he visited the cities of Holy shrine and in Najaf attended the classes of Ayatullah Akhund Mulla Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani and Ayatullah Sayyed Kazem Yazdi. After the death of these two masters, he attended the classes of Shari’at Isfahan, Agha Zia al-Deen Araqi and Agha Shaikh Ali Quchank In the Iraqi revolution against British colonization led by the progressive fighting Ulama, he rose up together with Ayatullah Mirza (known as the second Mirza) and Agha Sayyed Mustafa Kashani to fight Britain and other colonizers, and together with many other Ulama and Iraqi guerrillas, they checked for two months the enemy’s advance at one point in spite of the enemy’s modern European equipment and outgrowing number.

He was exiled in 1333 to India which was a British colony and after four years he returned to Iran to his home-town of Khunsar, and was warmly welcomed there. After a brief stay in Khunsar, he returned to Qum once again and collaborated with the Theological Centre. After the founder’s death, he joined Ayatullah Hujjat and Ayatullah Sadr in managing the centre until the arrival of Ayatullah Burujardi, which duty of keeping the centre and education of students and orphans he performed most diligently.

Many poems have been composed eulogizing the late Ayatullah Khunsaari, of which we will quote two here. The first poem is by Hujjatul Islam Nabavi:

“You are a friend of a friend of religion and an enemy of its enemy,

sustainer of the helpless, and helper to the friendless.

You are the champion of the arena of learning and knowledge,

and you are a wide realm of knowledge and chastity.

You received the perfect name of Muhammad and Taqi,

from whom truth has become evident and resplendent.

You, crusader who have risen up in all purity and honesty,

are indeed a perfect symbol of the Most Powerful.

It is natural for one to emerge like a mine of art and knowledge,

who has been trained in learning and leadership in such a school.

You are a source of pride and honour for all Muslims,

and a burning fire to the enemies of religion and faith.

May your shadow fall eternally over the heads of Muslims,

and the fate of your enemy be gloomy like the world of infidelity.

Intellect is unable to describe you, monotheist,

Desiring one, seeking one and singing the praise of the Unique One.”

The late Hujjatul Islam Haj Sayyed Hadi Ruhani Roodsari has composed the following poem in praise of Ayatullah Khunsaari:

“Khunsaari, the exalted scholar of the time, has passed away,

The jurisprudent of Muhammad’s household, of a fine character.”

He fought, was captured and imprisoned in order to make the pillar of religion steadfast. He rose to preserve the centre and to educate, and the centre like a crescent moon turned into a full moon. His Holy self-led the Friday congregational prayers, and let those thirsting for truth taste its pure water. In the year 1371 after the Hijrah, on the seventh of Zilhajj with glory and magnificence, passed away that chaste and pure ascetic of the world, to join his noble and exalted ancestor. Here Ruhani concludes his poem, since grief did not allow him to continue.

Ayatullah Burujardi had dreamt on the night of Ayatullah Khunsaari’s death that the exalted scholar had died and his body was being carried to Qum. He woke up in terror and was expecting an unpleasant event to take place, when he was informed by telephone about Ayatullah Khunsaari’s death in Hamadan whose body was being sent to Qum. He arranged for all the theological teachers and students to’ participate in the funeral ceremony in Qum, where his body was buried next to that of the late Haaeri, founder of the centre.

## Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Sadr al-Deen Musawi

One of the great men from the Theological Centre of Qum who attained a high position as a man of learning was Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Sadr al-Deen Musawi. After the death of the founder of the centre, he collaborated with his two colleagues to manage this institution and protect it against damage, collapse and dissolution, and encouraged students to continue their studies and preserve the centre with all their interest and sincerity.

He was born in 1296 in Kazmayn in an atmosphere of learning. In 1328 he left for Najaf to continue his studies and joined the classes of Saheb Kefaya and scholar Yazdi. He then came back to Iran and after six years’ stay, returned to Iraq. In 1349 he came to settle in Qum on the invitation of Ayatullah Haaeri. He won the favour and attention of Haaeri so much that he was chosen as one of the two executors of his will. After the founder’s death, he collaborated with his two colleagues in the management of the centre. He died in the morning of 19th Rabi al-Awwal 1373, and was buried close to the tomb of the founder, near the Holy shrine.

## His Character

He possessed a lofty mind, true benevolence and perfect sincerity. He was deeply interested in religious affairs and their promotion, and in matters related to the needy and helpless. He had a great talent and interest in Arabic literature, and has written many books on various Islamic topics showing his mastery of them. In addition to his book of commentary, ‘Kefayt al-Usul, he has also written ‘The History of Islam,’ and a book of poems, odes and elegy on the Prophet’s household, showing his indifference to rank and position. He has also composed an elegy on Faatemah Zahra (s.a.) in Arabic, showing a great depth of emotion.

## A Noble Household

The household of Sadr belong to a noble Islamic family, the branches of which have spread greatly in the Middle East. Its famous learned members may be found in Iran, Lebanon, Palestine, Iraq, Egypt, Yemen, Saudi Arabia and other Middle East countries. You find such personalities as Ayatullah Sayyed Abdul Husain Sharaf al-Deen Jabal Aameli, Sayyed Mohsen Ameen, Sayyed Hasan Sadr, Imam Moosa Sadr, Ayatullah Reza Sadr, like a constellation of bright stars in the heaven of learning, and in the field of politics and Islamic administration, illuminating the world of Islam.

Chapter Thirteen

# The Academic Curriculum of Theological Students

It would not be out of place here to give a description of the academic curriculum of theological students which aims at training chaste and learned crusaders for Islamic societies.

The first qualification for admission to this group is an adequate knowledge of Persian at the level of elementary education. But what is noteworthy is that many of those who desire to follow the course of theological studies are those who have graduated from high Schools and even from universities.

All those who wish to take this course, no matter what their previous level of education has been, must pass through three stages in order to be able later on to attain the rank of religious experts.

1) Preliminary course

The course begins with a basic course of Arabic grammar and composition, to be followed by elementary syntax and logic. The first text-book used by every student is ‘Jaame’ al-Muqaddamaat’ consisting of fourteen sections in Persian and Arabic on grammar and syntax, logic, method of learning and drills of difficult conjugations.

The above book has been used as a text-book for several centuries. This is followed by a more elaborate book on grammar and syntax to enable the student later on to understand the texts of annals, traditions, jurisprudence, principles and commentary, and develop his power of comprehension.

Next to the first book, the student is expected to study the following books: “Alfia bin Malek” of Jalaal al-Deen Suyuti, ‘Sharh-e-bin Aqil, ‘Sharh-e-Nezam’, and ‘Sharh Razi on Shafia bin Hajeb.’ He should also memorize the poems of ‘Alfia bin Malek.’

Then to become more familiar with Arabic literature he studies ‘Mughni al-Labib’ of Ibn Husham, and Mutawwal’ of Saeed al-Deen Taftazaani (now the abbreviated edition is used). He must also use more elaborate books of logic such as the commentary of Mulla Abdullah Yazdi, ‘Sharh-e-Shamsia’ and sometimes ‘Sharh-e-Matale’e.’ This course ends with a brief course on the principles of jurisprudence, in which the text of ‘Mo’alem al-Usul’ is used with many commentaries on it. In this and sometimes in the next course the text of ‘Sharaaye-e-Mohaqqiq’ is included.

The basic plan of this course has been in use since the Safavid times. The following is the table showing the preliminary course, text-books and objectives:

### Table 1

#### The Preliminary Course

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Order of courses | Books used formerly | Books used now | Objective of the Course |
| 1. | Jaame’ al-Moqaddamaat | Modern Arabic simple grammar | Elementary teaching of Arabic syntax, and preparation for the following course |
| 2. | Suyuti | al-Qawaaed al-Asaasiyyah | Recognition of Arabic text and structure and its syntax and preparation for the next course |
| 3. | Mughni | Tahzeeb | Mastery of grammar and syntax |
| 4. | Haashia | al-Manteq | Familiarity with logic and preparation for logical thinking |
| 5. | Motawwal or its abbreviated form | Jawaaher al-Balaagah | Familiarity with rhetoric and eloquence, and ability to explain the Quran and difficult texts. |

#### 1) Intermediate Course

This course consists mainly of reading. After the beginner has completed the elementary course, he enters the intermediate course and his task is mostly that of learning jurisprudence and principles from related text books.

These books which have for long been used are ‘Sharh Lomah Shahid Saani’, two volumes of ‘Sharh Riaz’ on jurisprudence, “Qawaanin” of Mirza Qummi on Principles ‘Kefaayatul Usool of the late Mulla Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani, ‘Rasaael’ of the late Shaikh Murtaza Ansari on Principles, and ‘Makaaseb’ of Shaikh Ansari on rational jurisprudence.

Table – 2 shows the topic, books and objectives of this course:

#### The Intermediate Course

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Order of Courses | Books used formerly | Books used Now | Objective of the Courses |
| 1. | Mo’allem al-Usool | Usool al-Fiqh | Familiarity with principles of jurisprudence, and preparation for the next course |
| 2. | Qawaaneen | Usool al- Fiqh | Deeper knowledge of principles of jurisprudence |
| 3. | Luma’h | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Familiarity with the basis of expertise in jurisprudence |
| 4. | Makaaseb | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Mastery of jurisprudential Laws and their uses |
| 5. | Rasaael | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Mastery of intellectual reasoning in the principles of jurisprudence |
| 6. | Kefaayah | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Complete mastery of principles and problems of jurisprudence |

#### 3) Advanced Course

This course consists of discussing matters related to jurisprudence and principles outside textbooks. As the student has in previous courses grasped jurisprudential questions presented in the textbooks of jurisprudence, annals and traditions, he attends the master’s class to discuss either questions of jurisprudence or principles as the case may be. The discussion is begun by the master, and students express their views. Sometimes such a discussion may last several weeks or months for a proper analysis and for reaching a conclusion.

The ultimate purpose of these discussions is to reach a proper conclusion and issue a verdict based on the four sources of reasoning. (The four basic sources of Shi’a jurisprudence are the Holy Quran, Tradition, convocation and intellect. The Shi’a differs from Sunnis on the question of convocation.)

Henceforth, the student according to his ability and talent moves on towards specialization. What is important in jurisprudence and Shi’a expertise is that there arises a need for a jurisprudential verdict at all times with the development of new events which occur with the passage of time. In each new case, the Shi’a expert can deduce the necessary verdict on the basis of jurisprudential laws and by reliance on the four sources of reasoning mentioned above and discover a proper Islamic and religious solution.

Table 3 shows the optional courses and their objectives:

#### Optional Courses

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Order of courses | Courses | Objective |
| 1 | Manzumah | Familiarity with philosophy (Pre-requisite) |
| 2 | Eshaaraat | Familiarity with peripatetic thinking and Gnosticism |
| 3 | Bedaayah al- Hikmah | Familiarity with various Schools of philosophy (Pre-requisite) |
| 4 | Nehaayah al-Hikmah | Familiarity with philosophical topics (Pre­requisite) |
| 5 | Asfaar | Full mastery of philosophical matters and philosophical thinking |
| \* | Sharh Tajreed | Familiarity with scholastic theology |
| \* | Maqaamaat al-Hariri | Familiarity with Arabic Literature |
| \* | Maqaamaat al-Hamdaani |  |
| \* | Moalaqaat-e Sab’e |  |

## Extra-Curricular Studies

A student in his leisure time is expected to undertake certain extra-curricular studies and discussions. They are related mostly to scholastic theology, commentary, religion, biography, ancient and modern astronomy and any other branch of knowledge related somehow to the science of jurisprudence and religious precepts.

Philosophy is sometimes studied as an extra-curricular subject, and sometimes as a part of the ordinary curriculum. For beginners, the usual textbook is ‘Sharh-e-Manzumah’ of Haj Mulla Hadi Sabzvaari, and for more advanced students, ‘Asfaar’ of Mulla Sadra Shirazi. Other subjects of interest for both teachers and students include sociology, psychology, English language, and ideological topics.

## Discussion, Not Lesson

When a student wishes to inquire about the course studied by another student, he usually asks:

“What are you discussing?” And the latter answers, “I am discussing such and such a topic.” Generally speaking, the word ‘discussion’ is used for both teaching and learning.

The reason for the use of this term is apparently the fact that teachers and students usually collaborate in a topic, and a student is absolutely free to express his view or even reject the teacher’s view by offering reasons. If the teacher regards the students’ reasoning to be right, he admits it and praises the latter for it. There is no denial or refusal. This is the main method and procedure in extra-curricular programs.

A student studies the topic before coming to the class and uses many references, and presents the result to the teacher and fellow-students. In the class all the views are discussed until some acceptable conclusion is reached.

## Some Factors Effective in Students’ Progress

There are several factors which are important in the academic progress of the students: One of them is careful preparation before the class, enabling him to be already aware of details and only requiring the teacher’s aid in explaining difficult and obscure points.

Another factor is his perfect freedom of expressing his views and raising questions. This is particularly effective in the advanced course where voices sometimes raise high in such discussions.

The third factor is the exchange of views between members of small groups which are voluntarily formed to thrash out problems. The fourth factor is freedom in the choice of a teacher, a matter which is psychologically significant in the relationship of a teacher and his student, leading to a trust of and reliance on the teacher by his student, thus removing all compulsion and monopoly based on a fixed and unalterable schedule.

In this way, a theological student passes through the above stages of his academic studies and aims at attaining the rank of a religious expert.

Chapter Fourteen

# Ayatullah Burujardi

Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Husain Burujardi, the man who has spent the greater part of his fruitful life in the struggle to spread Islam and laying the foundation of the Islamic movements of our time, is one of the pioneers and exalted scholar of the contemporary period about whom the briefest and yet the most meaningful descriptions have been given. They have often called him: ‘A great personality with a profound Islamic thought, and distinct and prominent moral qualities.



This brief description gives a picture of the nobility of spirit and lofty mind and Islamic thought of this great man. Those who are familiar with his moral and spiritual qualities say of him: “He considered Islam in the same precious and genuine form which was entrusted by the Holy Prophet of Islam, and Imam Ali (a.s.), Lord of Believers, and all his endeavours were in the direction of keeping Islam away from the form given it by foreign colonisers and their internal installed elements and removing the dark veil which had been drawn over the visage of Islam, and exhibiting the magnificent manifestation of the Muhammadan faith as it should be and not as it has been damaged through centuries, especially in recent years. He tried to acquaint the people with true Islamic principles, both those who were ignorant of them altogether, and those who owing to their negligence and inspire of being Muslims have failed to know them.

He wished to make every effort to make all human beings, both Muslims and followers of other creeds, realize that Islam is not a religion of groaning and lamentation, but a creed of shouting and protestation. He wanted to prove that Shi’ism is not a creed of mourning and grief, but one of crusade, belief and martyrdom.

But that man of virtue was realistic and clear-sighted enough to realize that the time was not ripe yet for raising the voice of protest owing to intense suppression and concentration of foreign forces and their devoted hired agents and lack of people’s readiness. He was waiting for a suitable opportunity, not by sitting down and doing nothing, but by making his utmost to create a convenient atmosphere. For this reason, he realized that the best way was to preserve the base of training of the Islamic army, namely the Islamic theological centres, and to keep the Ulama safe from the danger of dissolution caused by wars and unequal clashes. These elements should be strengthened constantly until the time arrives for the performance of their historical function, to erupt from within in order to give fresh impetus to the world of Islam and other religions.

When Ayatullah Burujardi appeared as an exalted religious expert and authority, the distressed Islamic society of Iran was covered under a black cloud of oppression and terror, and no one dared utter a word about right and justice. But even then at the height of Reza Khan’s power, when talking of human logic and truth and justice was considered an unforgivable sin and speaking of Islam as a religion of truth and justice was punishable, Ayatullah Burujardi rose up all by himself in order to reveal the true nature of Islam and present its pure and noble visage.

The first step was to make the voice of Islam heard in that fearful silence. So he held meetings which were attended by a large audience in which he introduced true Islam to those who were lovers of prophet hood and imamate. The fame of these sessions which were full of ardour reached every corner and more and more people gathered to benefit from these discourses.

Many of those who attended these sermons in those dark days declare that this noble scholar spoke with such zeal and sincerity combined with fine logic and reasoning that one felt it was his chaste ancestors who were uttering those words, and the audience were so charmed by his sermons that even official spies who attended these sessions disguised in order to report to the higher authorities, were so impressed that they refused to act as spies thereafter. His Islamic logic proved to them that the slogan, ‘An agent is not to be blamed’ is considered wrong in Islam, since every Muslim believer can think and decide for himself. A true Muslim knows that freedom in Islam is based on logical thinking, and each individual has an independent personality which prevents him from telling lies, submitting to force, humbling himself before any but God, fearing anyone but God, and worshipping anyone but God, or becoming a miserable spy.

When the sermons of Ayatullah Burujardi had such an effect on the agents sent by the enemies, it is clear how deep were the flames of faith kindled by him in the hearts of believers and how much they were roused to find the needed strength to uproot the rule of tyranny.

He lived at a most critical period of Iranian history when the sword of Reza Khan’s tyranny was levelled towards the hearts of true Muslims, and when foreign powers gave their unsparing support of the government installed by them in order to destroy the foundation of Islam and leave no trace of it at all.

At such a time, this heroic scholar having inherited the courage of his chaste ancestors, stepped into the field of combat, and eagerly lifted up the banner of Islam to bring its light into the darkened world and let its voice be heard everywhere. He upheld a religion which submits to no force. He did not confine his analysis and interpretation of Islamic philosophy to our society, but extended them to other societies as well. He believed that these efforts would one day produce results, and said: “Our mission is to keep the light of Islam burning, and we can be sure that its light will one day illuminate the whole world. The seeds sown now will produce a rich harvest in future. We prepare the ground, and throw the beams of Islam on people’s hearts, and preserve the clerical society against damage until the day when this society enters the field of battle like Imam Husain (a.s.)’s self-sacrificing army to seek victory in martyrdom.’’

We can venture to say that if today this Ummah of Islam has succeeded in making the voice of Islam echo in the world to reveal its true meaning, and if today the lofty thought of the renowned leader of Ali (a.s.)’s Islam has confronted the Islam of Mo’awiyah and brought the whole world under its wings, it is mainly due to the untiring endeavours of this noble scholar in preserving Qum’s Theological Centre against disasters and calamities, in training distinguished disciples and in laying a foundation of conviction in people’s minds.

His resistance against government oppression, internal despotism and foreign colonization, all of which intended to destroy the centre and annihilate the Ulama, succeeded in nullifying their plots. The centre remained intact, books were written, and press and publications explained the truth of Islam. The progressive Ulama grew in strength and found their way to towns and villages, stabilizing their centres, and even though all these activities were carried on in the silence of the existing suppression, a movement was being born behind the scenes, growing rapidly, capturing the hearts of the younger generation, spreading over all classes of religious people and waiting for the time when a general uprising would start its work of transformation and reconstruction of the society.

History showed that the grounds laid by Ayatullah Burujardi through his persistent efforts remained intact and became more firm day by day until it budded under suitable conditions of the magnificent Islamic Revolution of Iran.

## Ayatullah Burujardi’s Character

Many things have been said and written about the moral qualities of Ayatullah Burujardi by his loyal friends, disciples and other followers to quote which is beyond the scope of this book, but a few words will illustrate the strength of his character and his fine qualities.

He was most kind hearted, affectionate and simple, a man of feeling, simple and unaffected, thoughtful and well-informed and at the same time, a headstrong and unrelenting fighter, who even in his silence like Imam Hasan (a.s.), at the time of oppression, was planning the course which the future revolution should take.

Brought up in the school of such great scholars as Akhund Mulla Muhammad Kashaani, the exalted Islamic philosopher, Hujjatul Islam Haj Agha Muhammad Muqaddas Isfahani, the noble gnostic, and the late Jahangir Khan Qashqaai, he possessed a deep and strong faith even as early as his youthful days. In his prayers, he was noticed to fall into such a trance as if he could see God Himself, and when he uttered the sacred words, he trembled so much as if his soul had taken a flight in heaven, and sometimes many hours would pass before he would rise from his prostration.

After some years of study in Iran, he left for Najaf where he continued his studies in Islamic branches of knowledge at the famous Theological Centre of that city, and after a few years his teachers realized that he has not only mastered the required subjects, but has also attained such a high degree of scholarship that he was fit to join the group of masters.

From that time onward, he had a school of his own and eager students gathered round him. His fame soon spread across many frontiers of Islamic lands, and he was eagerly invited by the clerical society of Iran to return home and give the seekers of religion and knowledge the benefit of his learning. He accepted the invitation and placed his great store of knowledge at the disposal of his disciples. His course on Islamic jurisprudence attracted many students from even the remotest part of the world, and Qum found a new life in his presence.

As in all his life he hoped to cure the wound of the soul and heart of human society, he chose the way of expanding the branches of Islamic Schools and centres in various parts of Iran and other lands.

His greatest joy was always to set up a new centre. Those who were associated with him give a pleasant account of him in this connection and say that in these last years he was seized by a heart attack which almost paralysed a section of his heart, thus causing great anxiety in his companions and followers. The people ran here and there worriedly trying to find a remedy and Qum doctors could only provide first aid waiting for the arrival of specialists from Tehran.

After being delivered an injection to alleviate the pain, he came out of the coma and opened his eyes for a moment. Everyone was overjoyed waiting to carry out his slightest wish. But with his feeble glance he was seeking someone, and his glance fell upon his fifty-year old attendant who was standing tearful in a corner. The faithful servant approached and knelt on the floor near his bed. He said softly to the servant: “Why don’t you go?” The man, still kneeling, asked “Where?” He said: “Was it not arranged that you should accompany Mr. Lorzadeh to Mashhad for the opening of Mirza Jafar School?” The attendant answered: “Yes, sir, but I am waiting for your recovery so that I may leave with peace of mind.”

This account given by eye-witnesses who are still alive shows that even in moments when death was near, he was still thinking of opening theological Schools and centres, and was well aware what a deep influence such measures would have in organizing the army of religion and its mobilization. Thus he created such a transformation in the world of Islam which no other factor could replace or perform.

It is said of Mirza Taqi Khan Ameer Kabeer that when his death warrant was issued, he remarked: “I thank God that the enemies delayed their destruction of me, and I got the opportunity to establish Daar al-Fonoon (Technical College); for, the establishment of this college means that this tyrannical government will not last long.”

## Religious Ambassadors

Under the leadership of Ayatullah Burujardi, the Theological Centre became a meeting place of all lovers of Islamic subjects, philosophy and jurisprudence.

It was during those glorious sixteen years that the Theological Centre for the first time despatched a number of Ulama as religious ambassadors, in the same way that catholic missionaries had been sent, to various European and African countries in order to establish religious centres and Mosques through the endeavours of Ayatullah Burujardi and with the generous aid of loyal merchants and other believers.

In this task too, Ayatullah Burujardi acted with great care and planning as he had done in other matters.

Even though he spent most of his life in peace and tranquillity as a prelude to the late revolution and movement of the next generation, he never abandoned even for a moment his struggles. That is why once, during the repressive rule of Reza Khan, when the Ulama were the targets of guns and bayonets, on his return from Iraq, he was arrested and imprisoned. But this news caused such uproar among the people that the government was shaken, and within a short time the people of various towns especially of Burujardi assembled threatening revolt if he was kept in prison. So the government in fear released him to prevent a rebellion, and he was reinstated in his exalted position.

## An Effective Telegram

At the time when Ayatullah Burujardi still resided at Qum, it was suddenly rumoured one day that Ayatullah Qummi was leaving for Tehran. This great scholar, who is a most distinguished Shi’a personality and a renowned and chaste leader of Islam, was warmly welcomed in Tehran. He had been exiled to Iraq after the happenings of the Gowhar Shad Mosque and had for many years lived next to the Holy shrine of Imam Husain (a.s.). After his arrival in Tehran he did not rest a moment, and began making proposals to the government of the time concerning the freedom of women as far as the public wearing of veils was concerned and the teaching of the Holy Quran and canon law in Schools. But the government which considered the execution of these proposals to mean the revival of Islamic injunctions, which would be contrary to its own interests, resorted to delaying tactics hoping that the matter, would be soon forgotten.

This procrastination by the government produced a wave of protests and dissatisfaction among the Ulama and rage among the people, so that the leaders of the Theological Centre of Qum sent deputies to prominent religious authorities to seek help. But none of these measures of which the government was informed through telegrams, proved effective and the government remained silent as usual.

At last the religious leaders of Tehran assembled, and decided to send some of the more respected Ulama to visit Ayatullah Burujardi and request his assistance.

The advisory session of the Tabatabai household was held in his abode and upon the insistence of the deputies that he should personally leave for Tehran and the refusal of the people to allow his departure, he sent a sharp telegram to the prime minister of the time declaring that if opposition was shown to the proposals of Ayatullah Qummi, he himself would leave for Tehran, and the government would be held responsible for the consequences.

This telegram, followed by others especially from tribal chieftains and the violent reaction which his probable departure for Tehran would have produced, prompted the government to accept Ayatullah Qummi’s proposals, and that great scholar, having succeeded in this matter, left for Iraq via Arak and Malayer.

Moreover, having expressed a desire to thank Ayatullah Burujardi for his endeavours, the next day, he unexpectedly left for Malayer where the two exalted Ulama met for an hour.

This was the last meeting between these two religious personalities, and soon after, Ayatullah Burujardi was moved to Tehran owing to his illness, and Ayatullah Qummi following Ayatullah Isfahani’s death, was taken to Najaf where he passed away. Ayatullah Burujardi was left as the sole leader of the Shi’a world.

## Two Unpublished Documents

In the years 1327-28, when the constituent assembly was to be established to discuss and decide some fundamental affairs of the country, Ayatullah Burujardi was uneasy since this assembly could according to the texts of the law, give a verdict about the constitutional law, and he was afraid that foreign and home policies might take a turn to produce certain alterations in the articles of the law related to religion.

So, as soon as the news of the formation of the constituent assembly was announced, he opposed it decisively. This opposition under the suppressive and despotic conditions of those days revealed his crusading and irreconcilable spirit in refusing to exchange the interests of Islam for the expediency of the time. His opposition which was widely supported by Muslim people led him to issue a decree which postponed the holding of the constituent assembly for some time until the government formally declared cases of intended alterations and gave the Ayatullah, the assurance that no changes were envisaged in the law concerning religious matters. Thus they won his agreement to the formation of the constituent assembly.

His efforts at upholding his views began a new chapter in the struggles of the Ulama in Iran.

Next the struggle carried on under his decisive leadership and the steadfastness of the Ulama in the provinces against atheists and non-believers in the month of Ramazan. In spite of the hidden support given to this group by the authorities to carry out their policies, Ayatullah Burujardi did not relinquish his efforts in closing down the centres of corruption, so that even those perverse and misled people came in groups to repent of their past conduct and step in the Holy way of Islam.

## The Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam (Devotees of Islam) Movement

The beginning of the movement, Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam, is connected with the social and religious life of Ayatullah Burujardi. Some people had criticised his social and political method because he did not openly support this zealous group, and his silence in this matter gave the enemies a free hand.

The most optimistic answer to this criticism is that in those days when groups and factions emerged like mushrooms from that social environment, most of them, dependent on some foreign powers, the people and especially the Ulama had little trust in groups, factions and personalities, and could not easily accept them even if they had a realistic foundation.

Moreover, Ayatullah Burujardi, in view of the political atmosphere of the time, did not consider the people to be mature and aware enough, and supporting the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam would mean favouring terrorism and destroying the Theological Centre. So he abstained from giving them an open support. This is the apparent reason, but it is God who knows the whole truth, and now that with the Islamic Revolution, truths are being discovered one after another, there is a hope that history will give a true judgement on this matter.

And now a few words about the activities of this group.

1) Navab Safavi, the fellow-crusader of Ayatullah Taaleqaani is a personality about whom Yasser Arafat had said: “He is my master.” When he returned from Egypt, Ayatullah Taaleqaani went to welcome and embrace him at the airport, bringing him to stay in his house.

Martyred Safavi, leader of Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam fought in the way of the Quran and establishment of the government of Islamic justice at the cost of his life and never neglected this task. He was the pioneer and founder of armed conflict against the satanic regime of Pahlavi, being inspired in this mission by the Najaf religious leaders and authorities. According to true witnesses, men as Ayatullah Sayyed Abdullah Shirazi, scholar Ameeni, author of ‘Al-Ghadeer’ and other leaders were aware of his plans, and his return to Iran was on their request in order to defend the sacred realm of the Prophet.

At the dawn of 24th Bahman 1334, the sun had not yet raised and it was still dark. The commander raised his sword and ordered his soldiers to stand in row with rifles at the ready to fire at the targets which the history of tomorrow will call the tulips of this land in all its life.

The deceased Shah sighed with relief in his resting place and gambling house at Ab-e-Ali and treacherous, anti-religion, anti-culture elements found themselves secure now after shots were fired by the execution squad of the Second Armoured Corps, and the Ettela’at paper wrote in large headings: “The end of Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam.”

On that morning, only four members of the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam were martyred, but those ignorant enemies did not know that Islam has had and will have numberless devotees throughout history ready to offer their lives.

This group of devotees was formed under the leadership of Navab Safavi and the steadfast assistance of Abdul Husain Vahedi in 1327. Navab Safavi, whose real name was Sayyed Mujtaba Mir Lowhi, imitating the Prophet’s Ansars (Helpers) at Medina, organized his group to confront the hired agents of foreign powers, and on seeing that Islam was in danger of aggression by an imposed culture through the cunning plots of world imperialism, he decided to assassinate all those individuals who served the policy and interests of British imperialism which at that time controlled the extensive oil zone and refineries and shamefully plundered this God-given source of wealth. As he considered the death of the enemies of Islam the only way of fighting the satanic regime and exposing its tyranny, he gathered the most valiant and self-sacrificing offspring’s of Islam to carry out these acts of assassination combined with the reading of Holy Quranic verses and shouting the slogan, ‘God is Great’ at the assassination scene.

The first assassination by Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam was announced by the mercenary publication of the Shah’s regime as a religious (and not political) killing. This act of terror was carried against Kasravi, the author of The Constitutional Revolution of Iran in the court of justice who, out of sheer ignorance, had been speaking of Shi’ism (Red Shi’ism and Black Shi’ism) inflicting severe (and unfair) damage on Red Shi’ism and combatant Islam. Contrary to the supposition of some historians, this act of terrorism was not unexpected, since Navab Safavi had repeatedly tried to dissuade Kasravi from his ignorant hostility to progressive Islam, but his efforts had proved in vain.

This assassination was led by Sayyed Hasan Imami, a loyal and active member of the group. Vahedi was born in a clerical family in Kirmaanshah and his father was a scholar of the first rank. He was brought up by his father as a devoted Muslim with a deep conviction, and he had come to Tehran with a profound belief in the rightfulness of Islam in order to continue his studies. As he was familiar with classical studies and was dressed in clerical garment, he became acquainted with Navab Safavi, and collaborated with him in organizing the group. He was a fine-looking and well-spoken young man.

When Navab was in prison, Vahedi organized several demonstrations in his favour, persuading the people to demand his freedom. He was in charge of the group’s organization and had set up many committees such as the committee of investigation, survey of reports and news, and a disciplinary unit, the members of which wore uniforms bearing green armbands on which the phrase ‘There is no god but Allah’ was written and skin-hats.

Many accounts are narrated about Vahedi’s intense attachment to Islam. For instance, one day he had organized a demonstration at Mukhbar-o-Dawlah square in Tehran for the release of Navab in which many people had taken part, when he suddenly realised that it was noon. He asked the people to join him in reciting loudly the formal call to prayer. They did so and the whole crowd joined in the congregational prayer there and then.

The group at about this time decided to assassinate Hajir, court minister and one of those who were servile to the foreigners, and Vahedi volunteered to carry out this decision. As the Muslims had a deep hatred of the endless crimes of the regime, Vahedi chose 13th Aban 1328 for this task, namely a day of mourning. Hajir who attended the ceremonies of the anniversary of Imam Husain (a.s.)’s martyrdom on behalf of the court was fatally shot by Vahedi during the mourning ceremonies and died the next day.

Following the assassination of Hajir, who was one of the most notorious and ambitious hirelings of British colonisation, Navab Safavi and Sayyed Hasan Imami were arrested. Those who supposed that this heroic act of Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam was initiated by provocation and was baseless, realized during the trial of Imami what the lofty objectives of this group were.

In answer to the question as to why he had committed this deed, Imami answered: “Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam considered Hajir, court minister, a traitor to the country and religion, and his punishment was death which we dealt him.”

That day the Ettela’at newspaper wrote: “The Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam exhibited its power even more with the assassination of Hajir.”

This was the greatest political assassination executed until that time, and served as the greatest blow to the puppet regime, frightening all the traitors.

After Hajir’s assassination, the devotees chose as their next target another person who was offering to the plunderers everything of which this country was proud, and this man was Razmara, prime minister and a hired servant of Britain.

The killing of Razmara which changed the course of Iranian history was carried out by Khalil Tahmasbi. Razmara had affected a number of social, economic and political changes as well as so-called land reforms which had brought suffocation upon the nation. He had also negotiated with other governments which were seeking for an opportunity to pillage this country in order to solve the oil issue, and had arranged conferences and meetings with Britain and Soviet Russia. These generous deals with the foreigners resulted in the rousing hatred and rancour of the deprived people of Iran, but won some importance for him in foreign circles so that journals and papers like ‘Time’, ‘Newsweek’, ‘Life’ and ‘Le Monde’ wrote a lot about him.

He had become a distinct world figure and a famous oil personality and had reached agreements with the mean and cunning policy of Britain. This servant of imperialism was so impudent and treacherous that he declared: “We are helpless even in making an ewer. How can an Iranian excavate oil? We should hand over our oil zones to Britain since it is only the British who can perform this important task, and we cannot.”

A few days later, Khalil Tahmasbi answered this agent of British colonisation with a few bullets, and this was the judgement given by true Shi’ism. On 16th Esfand 1329 when Razmara was attending the funeral ceremony of Ayatullah Fayz at the Shah Mosque (now called Imam Khomeini Mosque), he was shot at the pool, and the next moment Tahmasobi stood up shouting ‘God is Great’ and calling for prayers. The audience kept absolute silence amazed at the courage of this man, and Razmara died sometime later. In the presence of Asadullah Alam, court minister and servant of satanic powers, they arrested Tahmasobi at once. The ‘Teraghi’ journal wrote in its issue of that month; “A bullet which changed the course of history.”

But it was in fact the hand of a brave son of Islam that changed the course of history, the hand that pressed the trigger to silence for ever, Prime Minister Razmara’s opposition to oil nationalisation. It was indeed the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam which removed him and paved the way for the nationalization of oil. This in fact facilitated Mosaddeq’s campaign for oil nationalization.

The late Ayatullah Taaleqaani in honouring the memory of Dr. Mosaddeq said on 12th Esfand 1357 at Ahmadabad: “It was the Fidaaiyaans of Islam who paved the way for the national front and Dr. Mosaddeq.” About this time some members of Parliament offered a bill for pardoning and releasing Tahmasobi, which was ratified by the assembly on 16th Mordad 1331, and Tahmasobi was released. On the night of his release a celebration was held at the Fatima Mosque of Dulab (Tehran) which was attended by many people from various districts of Tehran to congratulate him. He then went to visit Ayatullah Kashani who received him warmly.

## The Last Assassination

The last political terroristic assassination carried out by the early Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam took place after 28th Mordad 1332, and this time the chosen victim was another agent of foreign powers, namely Husain Ala, prime minister and court servant. The date was 25th Aban 1332 and Zul-Qadr was the one chosen to carry it out. He missed his first shot and the second one got stuck in the barrel, but he rushed towards Ala and knocked him down with the stock of his rifle. This took place when Ala had come to attend the funeral ceremony of the son of Ayatullah Kashani, and the next day he was to participate in the Baghdad pact.

After this unsuccessful attempt, the government and military governor took strict measures against the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam. On 1st Azar 1334, Navab Safavi, leader of the Fidaaiyaan group and two of his friends were again arrested. The government also decided to arrest Khalil Tahmasobi once more for re-trial. So, fifteen senators prepared a bill by the order of the deceased Shah at a session on 25th Azar 1334, declaring that the release of Tahmasobi would run contrary to Article 28 of the amendment to the constitutional law in connection with the country’s three bodies, and Tahmasobi was arrested on 26th Azar 1334.

Abdul Husain Vahedi, Navab Safavi’s assistant, who had been arrested at Ahwaz and sent to Tehran the same night under guard, was shot dead in the office of General Bakhtyar who a notorious criminal was serving the deceased Shah. The papers, to cover up this crime, falsely reported: “On 7th Azar 1334, Abdul Husain, assistant to Navab Safavi who had been brought from Ahwaz to Tehran, tried to escape, but he was shot at by the police and killed near Karaj.”

The Ettela’at paper on 13th Dey 1334, reported the verdict of the military court concerning eight of the best sons of Islam as follows:

“Navab Safavi sentenced to death, Khalil Tahmasobi sentenced to death, Muhammad Vahedi sentenced to death, and Muzaffar-Ali Zul-Qadr sentenced to death, Ahmad Tehrani with two degrees of mitigation condemned to six years’ imprisonment with labour, Asghar Amri with two degrees of mitigation sentenced to five years’ imprisonment with labour.

The court of revision confirmed the verdict of the preliminary court and at 6 a.m. of 25th Dey 1334, Navab Safavi, Tahmasobi, Zul-Qadr and Vahedi were executed while their shouts of the-slogan, ‘God is Great’ broke the silence of the dawn. This ended the first period of the Fidaaiyaans activities. After the execution of Safavi and his friends, there was no sign of activity from this group, but it was in fact the period for gathering strength and reorganizing the members, since a time came again when the bright stars of Islam broke asunder the black clouds of suppression, and reappeared on the leaves of history.

They rose once more to perform their mission for God and truth. They engaged in armed combat against the wicked Pahlavi regime in 1342 and 1343, and by assassinating Hasan Ali Mansoor, prime minister of the time and servant of the Shah, they added another page to the fine history of Islam. His crime was that as a colonial servant, he signed the capitulation agreement and professional sale of oil and treason against the country.

The supporters of the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam, who followed the way of Navab Safavi, once more declared themselves an active organization to set up God’s government and redress the wrongs of the oppressed Muslims of Iran. They planned the death of Mansoor under the leadership of Mehdi Araqi, Sadiq Amani and Hashem Amani, and another group of three, namely Muhammad Bokhara’i, Murtaza Nek-Nejaad and Reza Saffar Harandi pledged to carry out the plan, depending on which group succeeded first.

At 10 in the morning of Thursday, as Mansoor was going through the west gate of the Parliament, he was killed by Muhammad Bokhara’i who was arrested a few moments later together with the members of both groups and imprisoned.

After a commandeered trial, Muhammad Bokhara’i, Reza Saffar Harandi and Murtaza Nek-Nejad were sentenced to death and executed, and Mehdi Naraqi and his colleagues were sentenced to a long term of imprisonment.

In this way, the true sons of Islam rose to establish the rule of God and pave the way for the advent of Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), offering their blood to realize this goal. This was an account of the pioneers of armed movement in Iran.


After the victory of the Islamic Revolution, the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam once again declared its entity (in two separate groups), and is now engaged in various social and political activities. Its members, in view of the precedence set up by such leaders as Navab Safavi are supporters of the Islamic Republic.

Many things have been said of the adventurous life of Safavi. He was born in 1303 in Tehran, and after completing his elementary and secondary education he left for Najaf for higher studies, and as an intelligent lover of Islam he founded the Fidaaiyaan-e-Islam, a group which, as we saw, produced great changes in the course of Iranian history.

Chapter Fifteen

# Ayatullah Khomeini: Great Leader of the Islamic Revolution of Iran

At this junction of time, it is a difficult task to write about the Imam of Ummah and the great Leader of the Islamic Revolution of Iran, firstly because so many books, treatises, articles and analyses have been written up to now in both Iran and abroad on the life, thoughts and combats of this great Leader, and nothing much has been left unwritten for a new writer.



Another reason is that this exalted personality is like a boundless sea about whom what has been written so far reminds one of the utterances of the poet Mowlavi in saying that each person can drink of it to the measure of his thirst, since the water of the sea is unlimited.

On the other hand, today there is no one in Iran who does not have a loving familiarity with the life, thoughts and combats of our great Leader of the Islamic Revolution, and this writer fears that what his pen puts on paper would not be beyond the limits of the knowledge of the great Leader’s lovers.

Nevertheless, as the main aim of this book is to illustrate the natural and historical course of the progressive Ulama’s crusades, a chapter must be devoted to this exalted Leader even though the topics mentioned here may have been repeated hundreds of times before by others elsewhere, and even though some of the points given in this chapter have been mentioned in volume two of this book.

The above reasons are given in order that the readers are not surprised by the brevity of this chapter, which is not proportionate to the great personality of the Imam of Ummah, and look with indulgence upon the writer, since the latter himself confesses his inability in this task even to the measure of tens of volumes. Thus silence is commendable where the truth is clear and evident, and a brief account would seem adequate within a biographical framework.

Ayatullah Khomeini, whose role in decisive and alert leadership has gone beyond all frontiers and has roused the confirmation and amazement of the world, was born on 20th Jamaadi al-Saani 1320 of the Hijrah, coinciding with the auspicious birthday anniversary of Faatemah Zahra (s.a.) in the town of Khomeyn. His father, Sayyed Mustafa Musawi, who was a distinguished scholar and religious leader of the people of Khomeyn, became the target of an assassination attempt by the feudal of that time on his way from Khomeyn to Arak, a few months after his son’s birth, and was killed on 30th Zilhajj 1320 at the age of 74.

The hero of our story who had lost his noble father even before knowing the surrounding world, and was growing up as an orphan in his cradle, lost his dear mother in 1336 at the young age of nearly sixteen.

At home he was called Roohullah and his relatives did not know what destiny was waiting for him in the world of humanity and what great changes would be effected by him in the Islamic world. At the age of 15 he completed his elementary education under the difficult educational conditions of the time, and then began his Islamic studies under his elder brother, the Reverend Pasandeedeh.

In 1339, as a young man he left for Arak to continue his studies under Agha Abdul Karim Haaeri, and in 1340, when that Theological Centre was transferred to Qum, he accompanied Haaeri to the new centre and resided at Dar-o-Shafa.

He continued his studies at a higher level until 1345, after which he attended Ayatullah Haaeri’s study circle. His great intelligence and talent as well as his industry manifested themselves so remarkably that on the death of Haaeri in 1355 he was chosen as a distinguished master and a scholarly theological expert.

In addition to jurisprudence and principles, he studied Islamic philosophy and Gnosticism, but all these which are considered as ideals in, some cases, were for his noble spirit only a brief introduction. What satisfied his lofty mind were his nightly lamentations and endeavours for attaining spiritual exaltation and perfection. He was not content with being a distinguished teacher and master, and alongside these divine duties he was engaged in moral teachings.

Very soon, his reputation as a master and ‘character-building’ teacher spread all over, and he was soon surrounded by talented individuals and those lofty minded students who sought a lofty spirit through benefiting from his personality and knowledge.

As an exalted teacher and master of a magnificent study circle, he did his utmost to educate his group, and along with Islamic branches of knowledge, he taught morality, spirit of self-sacrifice, courage and fortitude.

He was well aware of the crimes committed by the wicked and installed regime of the time, and knew that only the stronghold of Islam was able to overthrow that tyrannical rule. Moreover, he anxiously witnessed the lack of feeling for the Islamic mission and responsibility in the society and among the Ulama of the time. For this reason, he directed all efforts at training those chaste individuals who had faith, knowledge, experience, and commitment in a crusade, and a disregard for the false worldly things. They were expected to act as pioneers of an army, to rise and roar like thunder and advance like a wave to destroy the walls of oppression.

Thus, in his school and study circle, opposition to foreign and internal political interests of the day was encouraged. So, Reza Khan, the installed dictator, to preserve his and his masters’ selfish interests repeatedly closed his school with the aid of his agents to check the approaching danger.

But Ayatullah Khomeini who was familiar with the conditions of the time and place, to escape the sight of the ignorant and hirelings, went underground, but continued his protests and teachings less openly, waiting for the end of the black period of Reza Khan. And when that time came, he once more transferred his ‘character-building’ study circle to the Fayziah Theological Centre.

## Ayatullah Khomeini’s Study Circle

Ayatullah Khomeini began his teaching of traditional subjects and ultra-jurisprudence and principles in 1362, concurrent with the arrival of the late Ayatullah Burujardi at Qum. Before teaching the above subjects, he had for long years taught the intermediate course and the books of jurisprudence and principles in an attractive and eloquent manner to Islamic scholars and students.

When he started teaching the more advanced courses, he brought about a profound change in the Theological Centre of Qum, and his wide and overflowing knowledge made this centre a cradle of learning for his disciples.

His teaching method in dealing with academic questions, his careful analysis of them, and his deep investigations of matters of principles and jurisprudence were most encouraging to the field of research and training experts well-versed in problems of injunctions. That is why we see hundreds of experts, of independent views and free from imitation graduating from his study circle in Qum.

It should be mentioned that Ayatullah Khomeini’s method of teaching is not easy to follow by every ordinary person, and it requires a few years of higher studies by the student to prepare him for a good understanding of his teachings. That is why few students beginning the higher courses felt prepared enough to join his classes.

Nevertheless, the most distinguished chair as far as Islamic subjects were concerned belonged to him, and his pupils both qualitatively and quantitatively were not comparable with those of other classes, and around a thousand erudite scholars who considered him a peerless master, attended his classes to gather pearls from that sea of knowledge. When his class was over, huge crowds of students could be seen from the Salmasi Mosque and Fayziah College leaving his presence and giving the Aram Avenue and courtyard of the shrine a spiritual environment. In the last few years that Ayatullah Khomeini resided in Qum, the number of his disciples was over twelve hundred, a number which was one and a half times greater than that of Ayatullah Burujardi in his last years of life.

It was at this time that he took his first basic and serious step of awakening negligent people about the danger which was in ambush against their religion, life and honour, and that was the publication of his book, “Kashf al-Asrar” in which he clearly and valiantly exposed some of the crimes of Reza Khan.

At that time, which was the period of the religious leadership of Ayatullah Burujardi, the study circles of Ayatullah Khomeini’s principles and jurisprudence were among the profoundest and most brilliant classes of the Theological Centre of Qum, so that the most learned men of that time, over a hundred in number, attended his classes and were proud of being his disciples.

After Ayatullah Burujardi’s death, many of the Imam’s supporters were waiting for his being chosen as religious authority, but he tried not to accept the position and to continue educating responsible and committed pupils for the day when true Islam would be established and the hands of foreign agents would be severed from Muslim affairs. On seeing that the foundation of Islam was in danger of annihilation, he decided to begin the struggle and carry the banner of the movement and revolution.

## The Resumption of the Black Period

For a short time, there appeared a sign of hope and that was when with the establishment of the national government of Dr. Mosaddeq, Iran was on its way to secure freedom and revive its political and economic life and resumes its dynamic moves. But like a nightmare, the CIA inspired coup d’état against Dr. Mosaddeq’s national government was suddenly carried out on the ill-omened day of 28th Mordad, resulting in the overthrow of Mosaddeq and the return of the Shah to make the Islamic land of Iran the arena of the inroad for America’s colonial policy.

Although the Shah had been placed in power in 1320 on the Allies’ interference, in the coup d’état of 20th Mordad 1332, it was America which made the Shah the axis of the Iranian political scene, while it itself held full control of people’s lives, property and honour.

Thenceforth, the rule of force and suppression discouraged all ideas and protests and not the least pity was shown in the massacre of people or destruction of the vestiges and criteria of Islam. Following this, every genuine revolutionary figure was wiped out somehow or the other and the scene were cleared with their being imprisoned and banished. This irreconcilable hostility was particularly intense against those who endeavoured to realize their Islamic mission, namely to set up the rule of justice, chastity and virtue.

## Birth of a New Colonization

American influence increased after the coup d’état of 28th Mordad and day by day, the domination of the White House in Washington made itself felt increasingly over the destiny of Iran and Iranians.

Meanwhile, the election of John Kennedy created a new situation for Iran. As a democratic presidential candidate, he framed a policy through which those countries where despotism and bloodthirstiness had gone to extremes by American installed hirelings, would undergo certain so-called apparent reforms which would establish political stability to check revolutionary struggles which would definitely put an end to fascistic regimes, and thereby preserve their own imperialistic domination and that of their installed agents over colonised nations.

So, after John Kennedy was made president of America, notes were repeatedly sent to Iran stressing for reformist policies. But the Shah postponed the American proposals under certain excuses, as he feared that such measures would be opposed by Ayatullah Burujardi, an influential religious authority who could rouse the people against such policies. Moreover, the regime was afraid lest America would establish its domination through a genuine land reform, and by means of dividing land between farmers, the tyrannical pressure and cruel influence of the court and their dependents, most of whom were big landowners and exploiting feudal lords, would be diminished. Thus the Shah and his regime paid no heed to the demands of America, and delayed the execution of the proposed plans on various pretexts.

## Premiership of Dr. Ameeni

At that time, Iran was passing through a difficult explosive period so that world political observers and commentators anxiously declared that Iran was like a powder barrel ready to explode with the flicker of a match. And yet the Shah who believed that every problem could be solved by means of bayonets and machine-guns, did not want to admit that fact, and imagined that he could keep the country and people quiet through suppression and terror.

But unlike the Shah, America perfectly foresaw the danger and saw an uncontrollable explosion gathering momentum day by day. Thus, American statesmen were worried lest the Iranian regime would suddenly realize that the explosion in connection with the execution of the American plans had already occurred, and it would be then too late. So it made stronger demands for the execution of its proposals. With this in mind, the Americans handpicked Dr. Ali Ameeni, one of their trusted individuals to carry out the plan. Thus, in a sharp note to the Iranian court at the end of 1339, they asked the regime to appoint Dr. Ameeni as prime minister and entrust him with the task of executing the plans.

So, the Shah who remembered his father’s fate and found all efforts useless in continuing the existing condition, was forced to submit to American pressure and commissioned Dr. Ameeni in a formal note to form a cabinet.

Thus, Dr. Ameeni became prime minister on 28th Ordibehesht 1340, and tried to save the regime from its imminent collapse. He endeavoured to preserve the American domination while at the same time attempted to initiate changes in the country in the direction of Western democracy. For this purpose, he ordered the dissolution of the commandeered parliament, the imprisonment of a number of leaders of the previous government, the increase of employees’ salaries especially the teachers’ whose conditions were miserable. In this way he spread his influence in the country and won the confidence of America.

But the Shah, fearing the expansion of Dr. Ameeni’s influence and his close relationship with the White House, tried in various ways to check the Latter’s activities and to reinforce his own position by keeping America happy in a different way.

Dr. Ameeni, seeing the hidden opposition of the Shah and his lack of adequate power to execute the necessary objectives, began to affect some apparent reforms like encouraging an open political atmosphere, and freedom, and defending the constitutional law which was in fact the preserver of monarchy, in order to attain his goals. He used superficially attractive slogans, though undesirable in reality, and these were some of his efforts in the first phase of his premiership.

But even these superficial reforms, temporary and moulded freedoms gave politicians and popular figures that had remained silent and forgotten for years due to the atmosphere of suppression, the opportunity to enter the scene once more and resume their activities in so far as possible. One of the events that took place at this time was the reorganization of the Jabheh Melli (National Front Party) and declaration of the Liberation Movement of Iran headed by Ayatullah Taaleqaani, Engineer Mahdi Bazargan and Dr. Yadullah Sahabi, as well as the reorganization of parties and political factions.

## Victory of the Shah over Ameeni

Nevertheless, the Shah continued his efforts to drive out Dr. Ameeni from the arena and to make himself the chosen puppet of the White House, who would carry out its wishes and orders, so that in this way he could eliminate all rivals. Consequently, he got increasingly panicky at Ameeni’s measures, considering him a permanent and dangerous rival to himself, or at least a witness to the regime’s crimes and treasons who could use this knowledge to enhance his own power and expose the regime. Therefore, with the aid of his supporters and hirelings, he carefully planned a trip to America hoping to turn conditions to his advantage.

Thus, the Shah visited America on 21st Farvardin 1341 and met American statesmen and carried on extensive discussions concerning current problems in Iran and the manner of preserving American domination and influence in this country, and introduced to the White House the elements who could play an effective role in this connection.

Following this visit, the Shah, realizing that he had no choice but perfect servility to America, successfully convinced the White House about Dr. Ameeni’s dismissal by agreeing to submit to all the whims and fancies of America. So a similar manifesto was printed in the papers in the following form:

“The Shahanshah was assured that America would continue its political and military support, and American government authorities were in their turn assured that the Shahanshah is a steadfast ally, determined resolutely to carry out social and economic reforms.”

Having been assured that America would raise no difficulty, the Shah returned to Iran and embarked on a number of superficial reforms preparing the grounds for an atmosphere of suppression and a dictatorial rule.

After his preliminary initiations, he asked Dr. Ameeni to submit his resignation, and on 27th Tir 1341, he appointed Asadullah Alam, his loyal servant, and the faithful hireling of America, prime minister.

Alam’s father had been an obedient servant of Reza Khan, and Alam who called himself ‘a home-born slave’ of the Shah very soon nullified all the efforts of Ameeni with regards to freedom. Party activities were stopped, and party leaders and political factions were either exiled or forced to retirement. With surprising speed, the regime’s intelligence service was expanded and moved to quell all opposition to control and watch the movements and even family and friendly contacts of those who had shown the slightest opposition to the regime, and to execute the anti-people’s policies of the Shah. Every effort at awakening public mind and exposing the deceits of the regime was violently suppressed by means of imprisonment, exile and careful watch over all individuals who made the least attempt to save the nation. So, as a result of overall foreign influence and the blows inflicted upon religious and national groups, many revolutionary figures were desperately forced to silence and they gave up all activities.

Meanwhile, the uneducated masses were deceived by the thorough, false propaganda of the regime which tried to show all rightful struggles as wrong. Due to their ignorance and the regime’s misleading propaganda through the mass media, these people got confused and looked upon every rightful effort and combat against the corrupt regime as cynical and mischievous. They were deceived by the hypocrisy and simulation of the Shah by his false pilgrimages, his gifts to Holy shrines, his participation in religious mourning ceremonies, and false religious appearances. They compared the anti-religious and anti-people’s crimes and reckless acts of his father with the apparent deeds of the son and supposed the latter to be ‘a good kin’, ‘religious’ and ‘protector of Islam,’ and useful for the nation and religion. Unfortunately, such people formed a majority and it was no easy task to enlighten and attract them to combat corruption and despotism.

On the other hand, the Ulama who had for long kept silent owing to the exigencies of the time, was removed from the political scene, thereby leaving the field of combat free to opponents. As the number of those well-versed with the true state of affairs was very limited, every effort made by them against the regime was either condemned by the majority or at least regarded with indifference, especially as the power of colonization has fed the majority with a fearful and undesirable picture of the past, and every individual, group or class acting in the way of people’s liberation was not only accused of being anti-people, and anti-independence, but also anti-religion and anti-Holy faith.

## The Shah’s Objectives

The Shah’s objectives through such actions were aimed at:

1. Suppressing every ideal or act which might sooner or later enlighten society and make it aware, or shake the pillars of his rule and hinder his efforts in the execution of wicked colonial plans.

2. Checking the influence of social leader’s especially Islamic and clerical personalities, and to sever their hands from probable events and revolutionary happenings, so as to prevent his dependence on and relations with Zionism and world devouring imperialism whose main purpose is the revival of atheism, paganism and plunder of national wealth from being damaged.

3. Enforcing limitation on true Muslims and sever their hands from judicial, legislative and executive positions, and handing over the affairs of the country to American advisers and Zionists and incompetent but servile individuals, and especially entrusting misled groups with Islamic affairs to carry out his and his masters’ wicked plans.

Ayatullah Khomeini once said about this situation: “Had there been no American bayonets one day, the nation would have torn him to pieces.”

## The Shah’s Scheming

In that critical period, aiming at realizing his anti-Islamic and anti-people’s policies, and at countering opposition from various social groups, the Shah embarked on certain schemes some of which are briefly mentioned below:

1. The Shah was fully aware of the ignorant masses and their fickle mindedness. He knew that they could not recognize hypocrisy and deceit, though they were devoted to Islam and religion. He therefore hypocritically went on pilgrimages to Holy shrines and put on a religious appearance aiming at deceit. He succeeded in deluding many, including unfortunately the Ulama, or at least in diminishing their suspicion of him. The Shah’s cunning was effective in the case of so-called enlightened classes and leftists who considered his apparent reforms as useful in speeding the country towards a socialistic order. Those who did not belong to either of these groups were silenced by the police and by the S.A.V.A.K.

2. Concerning those individuals and groups in opposition to the regime who heeded neither criteria, nor principles, compromise was easy as they could be bought with money and position, two very effective factors which change opinions easily. In that critical period, when everything was undergoing transformation, many of those who had formerly thought the Shah to be an agent of colonization, reaction and retardation, suddenly changed their opinion and the people in surprise heard them declare in their speeches and articles that the Shah was for the country’s progress, welfare and liberation.

Those who were honourable and devoted to humanitarian values and principles, and were not tempted by money or position, faced imprisonment, torture, banishment and deprivation from every type of social and cultural activity, in which task the police and S.A.V.A.K. had an important role.

3. In connection with the Ulama, the attitude of the Shah and his regime was different. Since the Islam of Muhammad and Alawi Shi’ism had illuminated the land of Iran like a glorious sun, the Muslim nation and devoted believers of Iran had supported the Ulama wholeheartedly, giving this crusading group strength and effectiveness.

In Iran, this group of Ulama and Islamic leaders have always enjoyed the unsparing and devoted support of the people, but unfortunately owing to prevailing conditions at that time and the problems which had arisen before (a subject which is too elaborate to mention here and requires another volume), the members of this noble group who had always acted as guides and leaders in Islamic crusades, were either mostly silent or regarded events with indifference. Those who were not indifferent were compelled to remain silent owing to their realistic estimate of ruling conditions and the unpreparedness of the masses, waiting for a more suitable time for their enterprise.

Thus, in those dark years, every thought of reform and revolution was termed as wicked by the agents disguised in religious garments, following which some ignorant Ulama or rather, some pseudo self-sold Ulama, who are called the ‘King’s preachers’ by Ayatullah Khomeini in one of his discourses, adopted the futile slogan of the separation of religion from politics as a weapon even to the extent of using it against committed Islamic Ulama and progressive and enlightened religious leaders and alert elements.

The Shah, fearing the power of the Ulama, used all efforts to check their influence and weaken their privileged position.

At such a momentous time, Ayatullah Burujardi passed away, and the Shah found himself free to act, while at the same time, he felt the election of another scholar of Qum would prove dangerous to him. Therefore, he thought of trying to transfer this position of authority to Holy Najaf, thereby removing the centrality of the Islamic Ulama from Iran.

## Messages and Instruction of Ayatullah Khomeini to the Prime Minister in 1340

One notable point at the time of Ayatullah Burujardi’s death was that Dr. Ali Ameeni tried to deceivingly bring the government and the Ulama in close proximity to each other so as to fool the people into believing that a good understanding existed between the two.

So with this demagogical plan, Ameeni left for Qum to meet the highest religious authorities on 13th Rajab 1381 of the Hijrah (12th Dey 1340), which was the auspicious birthday anniversary of the lord of chaste, Imam Ali Ibn Abi Taalib (a.s.). After a pilgrimage to the Shrine of Ma’sumah (s.a.), he visited a number of religious authorities to discuss the current affairs of the country.

I, the writer, who at the time of this visit was attending the presence of Ayatullah Khomeini, had made a note of the discussions that took place and sent the written report for publication to the ‘Nedaa-e-Haq’ newspaper, and it was printed in its issue No 596 of Dey 1340.

Now, after nineteen years, once more I place my notes taken at that meeting with certain slight alterations at the disposal of many thousands of devotees of the Imam of Ummah in order to acquaint them with the manner of the Imam’s criticism of the authorities in that atmosphere of suppression, and how at that time our great Islamic Revolution was already born in his lofty mind.

This is the text of my notes concerning that meeting and interview:

“I, the writer, had the privilege of being present at the meeting of the prime minister with Ayatullah Khomeini in which I made brief notes of the meeting between this important religious personality and that important civil servant in order to inform the readers of ‘Nedaa-e-Haq’ of what took place.

At about 12.30 on 13th Rajab 1381, the prime minister accompanied by some aides and colleagues arrived at Ayatullah Khomeini’s home. And after greeting and partaking of tea and sweets, Ayatullah Khomeini spoke as follows:

God Almighty has placed a special talent and worth in each human being, the proper employment of which enables him to progress. In proportion to his advance, an individual’s duty and responsibility before God and society increase. Naturally, the responsibility of an ordinary man is never equal to that of a learned and thoughtful man. For example, you who are at the head of the government today do not possess the same responsibility as an ordinary individual, and your duties and responsibilities are much greater than those of others, and you have more duties to perform than others.

“Imam Ali (a.s.) says in one of his discourses: ‘You are like shepherds of the flocks with the duty of preserving them.’

“You who act as the head of the government should serve and act in such a way in the interests of the people and society that you would not be held responsible before God and your conscience. This country has seen many prime ministers such as Ameer Kabeers, Qaaem Maqaams and others. Those who have served this country and nation well and have performed their duties properly have always been blessed by people in their prayers. The people always speak in praise of Ameer Kabeer. But there have also been prime ministers who have always been cursed and hated by the people. You should try not to belong to that second category. In the question of religion your conduct should be such as to leave a good memory behind and be remembered for your benevolence. For, God Almighty says: ‘Good deeds remain with your God.’ You can be sure that the more you serve people, the greater will be your popularity and people’s affection for you, and you will be loved and respected by God.”

## The Country’s Spiritual Police

In this meeting Ayatullah Khomeini spoke of Qum’s Theological Centre and divinity students, adding: “Now in the Theological Centre of Qum, there are five to six thousand students engaged in religious studies. They are men who have seen the ups and downs of life, and are zealously following their studies. They spend their youth and joy on studying and live on a very trifling allowance without being the smallest burden on the government, or having any material expectation from it, or being a load on the education ministry or the government’s budget.

“They are men who place the fruits of their higher studies freely at the disposal of all individuals of the nation, inviting the people to the right and proper path, and awakening the internal and spiritual police within the nation. With their propaganda and guidance they check the people from committing thefts, treason, and transgression over the property and honour of others, cheating, lying and embezzlement. Their valuable services will definitely be more useful than the elaborate police organization of the country which is maintained by means of a heavy budget (Acknowledgement of the prime minister and those present).

The prime minister said: “The Ayatullah is aware of the moral poverty, and various strange social problems, so that in each part of the country the people are following certain ideas and views. The only strong barrier and decisive remedy and our hope are these same men of learning and Ulama, and to be fair, their services to society and the country are undeniable. But the government and people have greater expectations of the Ulama. They should co-operate more with the government and educational organizations, and rise up and act against all vices and contamination of our society.”

## The Ulama’s Demands

Ayatullah Khomeini said: “Yes, but the Ulama, too, have certain demands, religious and spiritual expectations, the fulfilment of which will be hundred percent fruitful to the interest of the government and nation.

“Today we observe that most of the young people, who graduate from government Schools and are absorbed by the society, do not show much interest in and devotion to Islamic teachings and religious beliefs. They do not only lack this interest, but sometimes they are involved in some vices which are harmful to the society and individuals. Clearly, no regular religious and moral instruction and training exists in the educational program. All this is due to the disorder in the religious and moral program of education. The Ulama expect the government to issue strict orders to develop religious and ethical programs and supervise the character and conduct of school and university students, since the children of today will be the adults of tomorrow for the country, and if today’s education is inadequate, the situation will be more serious tomorrow.’’

Mr. Ameeni said: “We have paid attention to this important matter from the first day, and realise that the country cannot survive and last without religion. Therefore, we try to employ pious teachers in the educational organizations and much emphasis is laid on the employment of the Ulama. The ministry of education is of present considering the question of proper and useful religious programs and order is being issued for printing books containing religious issues and educational and moral topics, to be used by the ministry in Schools. I also hope that more consideration will be paid to the basic points you have mentioned. But there is also room for complaint that the absence of effective cooperation by the Ulama themselves has not been without influence in the appearance of such moral depravities. On the day that western civilization entered our country, there were no literates in our country except the Ulama. They could have assumed the control of education and educational centres and checked the influence of the vices of civilization.”

Ayatullah Khomeini answered: “It is true. Fifty years ago, the majority of the literate people of the country consisted of the Ulama, but how could they collaborate with governments which were installed by foreigners? Iran did not have an independent government, and their acts were confirmed and supported by installed agents.

“In those days there was no alternative in facing such foreign interference and local dictatorship but retirement and seclusion and reinforcement of national and public bases in order to be able to combat non-national governments one day.”

## Strengthening the Family Foundation

Ayatullah Khomeini added: “Another matter in which the Ulama are interested is as you know well, the most basic and important pillar, having a great and fundamental role in society, in joining people together, and that is the question of marriage and divorce in families. These two matters must be dealt with on a proper religious and legal basis in order to produce social order in the right manner and in accordance with true principles, so that relationships are not confused.

“Unfortunately it is said that in this Islamic country, most of the organizations dealing with this matter have not understood its religious significance or, God forbids, they show negligence’ owing to a weak belief in religious principles. For example, whenever a woman asks for divorce and her husband is summoned by the notary’s office, she is divorced and their names are recorded, and they separate without being advised beforehand.”

Dr. Ameeni said: “Unfortunately the present economic conditions of the people and moral problems and social corruptions with which we are faced, of which you spoke, are the products of the measures taken by the governments of twenty years ago, dragging the people to these cesspools of corruption and misery. But we are not without hope. With God’s grace, we believe that all these difficulties will be removed. But it takes time and they must be thoroughly studied in order to solve the problems.

In conclusion Dr. Ameeni added: “Once more we request the learned Ulama to co-operate with us to remove difficulties, and fill the gap created by enemies. Mysterious hands have produced a separation between the educational organization and true servants of people. And this collaboration will remove that separation and prevent further damage to the society, and every person in this nation can thereby benefit from enlightened and religious men of learning.

This discussion lasted half an hour and the cabinet members then left for Salarieh for lunch. As they were saying good bye, Ayatullah Khomeini persuaded them to stay and partake of the simple students’ lunch.

Dr. Ameeni answered: “As we have promised, they are waiting for us.” Sharif al-Ulama said to the prime minister: “Ayatullah’s purpose is to acquaint you with the table of the theological students and masters, to have an idea of how they live.”

The meeting ended with the laughter of those present and Ayatullah’s smile.

## A Pleasant Memory of the Interview

This interview is coupled with an interesting and pleasant memory since I started my career as a writer and I felt it a pity not to relate it to the readers of this book. The report written by me of this interview was my first effort at professional writing, and those engaged in writing know that the first effort is as pleasant and sweet as having the first child.

At that time, I was a young student and a novice writer. In that meeting, I was deeply impressed by the words of Ayatullah Khomeini (parts of which I have already quoted). When 1 returned to my residence at Hujjatia School, I decided to write down the account of all I had heard in that meeting as far as my memory permitted.

This implied the forsaking of a few hours’ sleep and I went on writing well into the night until I finished the task. Next morning, I sent it by post to the ‘Nedaa-e-Haq’ newspaper in Saray-e-Misbah, Tehran. But since my writing was not at a professional level and since I was unknown, I did not expect it to go on print, especially as the press usually look for reputed writers in order to attract more readers.

A few days passed, and while walking in the yard of Hujjatia School, some friends came and told me that Hujjatul Islam Sanaaee, a close and devoted friend of Ayatullah Khomeini, was looking for me. I hurried to meet him and he told me that my article had been printed, and added that Ayatullah Khomeini wished to see me. Accompanied by Hujjatul Islam Sanaaee, I proudly found my way to Ayatullah Khomeini’s presence. He received me warmly and kindly which is his customary way with one and all especially with scholars and divinity students. He then asked me if I had written and sent that article to the paper. I replied in the affirmative and added that I had been so deeply influenced by his words that I felt it a pity not to give others the chance of benefiting from them.

He made a remark the depth of which I did not fathom that day, and now after years of writing, I realize its meaning. He said: “It is a good article, but it would have been better if you had let me see it before sending it to the paper, to make up for its deficiencies. You did well. May God keep you.”

Now I must confess that the contents of that article did not include all the points discussed at that meeting, and I also admit that in view of the existing censorship of that time, it was not possible to report the whole discussion, even though I have added in this present edition the unpublished parts as far as memory would allow. But the lesson I learnt then was that an article containing an interview and special points of view should first be shown to the holder of that view before being printed.

Fortunately, now that the Islamic Revolution has been victorious, and the seeds sown nineteen years ago have produced abundant crop, those proposals which at that time took the form of requests and hopes, have found objective fulfilment, and all the responsibilities and leadership have been placed on the Ayatullah and Muslim people of Iran. The leadership of the revolution and the phases of the country’s reconstruction and the planning of the revolution’s continuation are so great and heavy a burden that it does not allow me to take a few minutes’ time of the Imam of Ummah which are a thousand times more precious than those of ordinary individuals, since those minutes belong to the whole nation of Iran and the Islamic society, so as to waste his time to correct and improve an article written before.

But I assure the reader that I tried my best in so far as memory allows me, to show honesty in re-writing the points rose in that meeting, and avoid neglecting one point or another.

## The Year 1342

15th Khordad 1342 is a special day in the calendar of Pahlavi massacres. The families whose dear ones were martyred under the volley of bullets are still in mourning; they may still be unaware that the Pahlavi regime itself was the instigator of this scene of people’s riot and revolt in order to engage in a greater massacre and silence the voice of liberty demanding masses. It tried to show their demonstrations which asked for nothing but justice as sabotage in order to kill more and fill up the prisons.

Before speaking of 15th Khordad, we should investigate the causes which led to this great day of Islamic uprisings, since before knowing the cause it would not be proper to talk of effect.

Everything began with the Fayziah school of Qum. The Ulama led by Ayatullah Khomeini protested against the Shah’s acts and his so-called revolutionary measures, and criticised him and his puppet prime minister, Asadullah Alam, on pulpits and in Mosques.

As the Shah and his government could not openly combat the Ulama because of the bitter memory they had of the assault upon Qum’s Fayziah school, and as on the other hand, they felt they must silence the seekers of justice, they chose a strange way, a description of which needs a preliminary explanation of the commandos’ assault upon Fayziah, who disguised, on 2nd Farvardin 1342, embarked on a beating, wounding and even killing spree of a number of divinity students and other people who had participated in the mourning anniversary of Imam Jafar Sadiq (a.s.). There appeared two different reactions among the masses. On the one hand, they feared the government, which after the victory in the commandeered referendum of 6th Bahman 1341, intended to suppress ail oppositions, while on the other hand, they wanted to fight till the end.

Ayatullah Khomeini acted as the leader of the fighting Ulama, and in spite of the suppression and strict censorship and the dangers which threatened the opponents of the regime, he pounded the regime in his discourses, telegrams and sharp manifestoes, sending waves of terror in the authorities’ hearts.

Here we will quote the manifesto issued by him in answer to the condolences of the Tehran Ulama concerning the painful disaster at the Fayziah school of Qum, in which he severely criticised the Shah and his government. Copies of this manifesto were concealed by Tayeb and other loyal followers in fruit boxes and sent to all parts of Iran, and this same act was used as one of the evidences against Tayeb in his trial.

Here is the text of the manifesto:

“In the Name of God, the Beneficent, the Merciful, We are from God and return to Him.”

“Care of Hujjatul Islam Haj Sayyed Ali Asqar Khu’i, to the exalted religious leaders of Islam.

“I express my appreciation for the telegram of condolence sent as a result of this great calamity inflicted upon Islam and Muslims. The assault of the commandos and government disciplinary forces in disguised garments and supported by the police, upon the Ulama’s centre revived the memory of the Mongols, with the difference that the Mongols attacked a foreign country, whereas these made a sudden assault upon their own Muslim nation and defenceless Ulama and divinity students on the anniversary of the death of Imam Sadiq (a.s.), and with slogans of ‘Long live the Shah’, they rushed upon Imam Sadiq (a.s.) centre and the physical and spiritual descendants of that noble Imam. Within two hours, they plundered the whole Fayziah School and Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.) university ruthlessly, in the presence of about thirty thousand people, and broke all the doors and windows of residential chambers.

“The students in fear threw themselves down from the roofs, breaking heads and limbs. The attackers collected all the turbans and set fire to them. They threw down young adolescents of sixteen and seventeen from the roofs, and tore to pieces all books and copies of the Holy Quran.

“At present, the Ulama and divinity students of this religious city feel no security for their lives. The houses of religious authorities are surrounded by intelligence agents and sometimes by commandos and policemen. They threaten to treat other Schools in the same way as Fayziah. Divinity students have in fear, removed their clerical garments. Taxi and bus drivers have been ordered not to pick up such students. In public gatherings, the Ulama are insulted by members of disciplinary forces in very obscene words. At night, they distribute, threatening leaflets with unknown signatures, calling for loyalty to the Shah and affronting whatever is Holy in Islam.

“Loyalty to the Shah means plunder, affronting Islam, transgression over the rights of Muslims, violation of the centres of learning, dealing blows on the body of the Holy Quran and Islam, burning signs of Islam, annihilating the vestiges of Islam, trespassing on Islamic decrees, altering Holy Quranic injunctions, suppressing the Ulama, and overthrowing the manifestations of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s mission.

“The reverend gentlemen realize that the principles of Islam are threatened, and Holy Quran and religion are endangered, and with such a probability, dissimulation is wrong and forbidden, and stating the truth is obligatory. Now that there exists no competent authority to appeal to, and the country is ruled in a crazy manner, I interpellate Mr. Alam, the prime minister, in the name of the nation, on what legal basis was the bazaar of Tehran assaulted two months ago and Ulama maltreated and beaten? By what legal sanction were the Ulama and others dragged to prison, so that a large number are still in prison? By what legal permission was the country’s budget spent on the so-called referendum, while this referendum was ordered by the Shah himself and he is rich enough to have paid for it? By what legal authority were government employees who are paid by the people ordered to serve in a personal referendum? By what sanction was the bazaar of Qum pillaged two months ago? What sanction was there for assaulting Fayziah school and beating and imprisoning the students? By what authority were commandos and disciplinary forces in disguise sent to Fayziah School on the anniversary of Imam Sadiq (a.s.) death to commit so many crimes.

“I have now prepared my heart for the bayonets of your agents, but I will not offer it in submitting to your injustice and being humble before your oppressions.

With God’s wish, I shall declare God’s injunctions at any suitable occasion, and I will expose the acts running contrary to the country’s interests as long as I hold a pen. Just now, the Muslims have one eye on their own world and the other eye weeping for their religion. Your few months’ old government with its oppression is endangering the economy, agriculture, industry, education and religion of this country and threatening its collapse in every way.

May God Almighty preserve Islam and Muslims under His own Care and the Holy Quran’s.

Roohullah al-Musawi al-Khomeini.

The happening at Fayziah with its entire wide dimension was passed over in silence by the government controlled mass media; the government expected that by cunning concoctions, this painful disaster would be forgotten altogether, but with the approaching 40th day of that bloody event, the people made preparations for holding memorial ceremonies of the martyrs. The excitement was especially greater in Qum, and a few days before that date, Ayatullah Khomeini, delivered an important discourse in Azam Mosque of Qum which we will quote here on account of its significance:

“In the name of God, the Beneficent, the Merciful”

“After killing the men, the enemy hurt the children of Imam Husain (a.s.), captured the women and hurt them. It seemed that they were hostile to the Bani Hashem family, and rejected that tribe, and had no wish that they should exist.

“The same idea seems prevalent in Iran today. What did the government want with the adolescents of sixteen and seventeen? What had these young boys done to the Shah? What had they done to the tyrannical government organization? One must think that they are opposed to the basis of religion, and not to children. They have no wish to see Islamic decrees in this country. They do not want the Holy Quran to rule in this land. They reject leaders of religion. What they desire is to uproot the foundation of Islam in this realm. That is why the agents of the Shah and Israel pounded the school by the hand of their master. They pounded us and will pound you people as a nation.

They want to seize this land’s economy, destroy people’s commerce, and have no wish to see wealthy people amongst you. So what may prove a barrier in this course must be annihilated. Divinity students may later on become an obstacle. So they must be knocked over the roof and have their heads and limbs broken so that Israel reaps its profits, and the government of the time following Israel’s suit, insults us.

“You gentlemen of Qum noticed that on the day that wrong and disgraceful referendum took place, a referendum that gained only a few thousand votes, a referendum that was contrary to the interests of the Iranian nation, a number of children and rascals were placed in cars, going round the streets of Qum, the centre of the Ulama and in the proximity of the Holy shrine of Faatemah Ma’sooma (a.s.), shouting: ‘This is the end of sponging and being parasitic.’ Gentlemen, look at this Fayziah, where those young men spend the spring of their life in the little rooms that you know well, studying hard, receiving a monthly allowance of 30 or 40 or 100 Toomans. These people are parasitic, but those men for whom millions of Toomans form one item of their futile expenditure are not parasitic. Are we parasitic that on the day that our Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri dies, his children have nothing for supper? Are we parasitic that when our Ayatullah Burujardi passes away, he leaves behind a debt of 600,000 Toomans for the expenses and allowances of the Theological Centre? But those who have filled the banks of the world and built huge palaces, and do not yet leave this nation alone, and are trying to pour the profits of this country into their own pockets and those of Israel, are not parasitic? The world and nation must judge this matter.

How often have I told this man and sent him messages, saying: “Abstain from this referendum, it is not advisable for you,’ but he never listened. Sir, I advise you, since the Religion and the Holy Quran charge me with the duty to give you advice. Abstain from such acts. Sir, you are being deluded by them, and I have no wish to see people overjoyed at your being driven out of this country one day.

“I will narrate you a story that is remembered by those of you who are old or around forty, or at least thirty. Three foreign powers attacked us, namely Soviet Russia, Britain and America. They seized our country, wasting people’s property, offending people’s honour, but the people were happy to see Pahlavi go. I do not wish you to be like him. Stop acting thus. If it is true that you are hostile to the Ulama, you are mistaken. If they dictate to you and ask you to recite it, think about it first. Why do you say such things without thinking? Are the Ulama and clericalism untouchables for the people as you claim? If they are untouchables, why do the people kiss their hands, and take it as a good omen to partake of their half-eaten food? Are we untouchable animals? I hope to God that this is not what you mean.

“I hope to God that what you mean by the phrase. ‘Black reactionaries are like untouchable animals that the nation avoids’ are not the Ulama, for then, our duty will be difficult, and yours even more so. Then we will not let you live in this country, and the nation will not allow you to do so.

“Do not act in this way, and listen to my advice. Stop such acts, it is enough, and listen to the words of the Ulama. Reflect a little. Learn a lesson by your father’s fate. Listen to me and to leaders of religion. They desire the welfare of the nation and country.

“Are we reactionaries? Are the learned men of Islam reactionaries? And are you and your attendants progressive? Did you make that black and white revolution? Why do you deceive people so much? By God, Israel is of no use to you. The Holy Quran is beneficial for you. Today, I was informed that a number of preachers have been taken to the security organization and ordered not to say anything about Israel, and not to say that religion is endangered, and not to say anything about the Shah.

“If we leave these three fundamental questions aside, what else do we have to speak about? All our difficulties are related to these three problems, all our difficulties... I am not saying this myself. They themselves attribute these matters to the Shah. Whomever you refer to, says this is what the Shah has said. The Shah has ordered to destroy the school to kill the Ulama. That fellow (I won’t mention his name now, but I will do so when I order to cut his ears) came to Fayziah School, blew his whistle and shouted to the commandos: ‘Get it done with.’ What are you waiting for? Pillage all the rooms and destroy everything. These are His Majesty’s enemies.’

“When we protest their deeds, they say that His Majesty ordered it. They say, ‘These people are His Majesty’s enemies. Israel is a friend of His Majesty.’ But the agents of Israel are engaged in sabotage in Iran, and what other evil secrets are at work. Should we not speak of these things? They tell us not to speak of the Shah and Israel. What is the connection between the Shah and Israel? Is the Shah an Israelite? The security organization probably thinks he is a Jew. But he claims to be a Muslim and says so. He appears to be a Muslim, too. There is some mystery involved.

“The security services intend to disgrace the Shah and make people look with disfavour upon him. We see that religion is endangered in this country. Is religion not truly in danger?

“Sir, act such that the rumour that the S.A.V.A.K. wants to remove the Shah’ proves false. Do you not suppose that this is true? If you think it probable, find a remedy. Let this man hear these words, so that when he wakes up, he may become aware, and may remove this confusion. They throw all the blame upon the Shah. Poor man, don’t you know that one day when a voice is raised and something happens to you, not one of those who have surrounded you, will stay with you? These are middlemen and servants, and are without faith and loyalty, they have always been servants. I am very sorry about the danger which is approaching, and sorry about the miserable conditions and state of this country, and position of this cabinet.

“There are many things to say, more than you may imagine. But I have got a headache. Ask someone to come and pray.”

It was after these moving words were uttered that the executionaries of Pahlavi, taking advantage of the night’s darkness, carried Ayatullah Khomeini from Qum to Tehran at 3 a.m. of 12th Muharram 1383 (15th Khordad 1342) to the Officers’ Club.

After several days of arrest there, he was taken to the Ishratabad garrison of Tehran and imprisoned in a cell. At the same time, they arrested a number of religious leaders and preachers in other cities and imprisoned them, and this was followed by those heart-rending events of several days.

Following the happening of 15th Khordad, the country was at a standstill, and enraged people took refuge in Mosques shouting and groaning angrily. A torrent of telegrams and letters flooded Tehran in protest to the regime and in support of the Ulama’s goals. Then, high religious authorities came to Tehran to free Ayatullah Khomeini from prison and continue the struggle in the way shown by the rightful religion of Islam and true Shi’a faith.

The religious authorities of Tehran and provinces took effective steps to release him from the clutches of the satanic regime and issued manifestoes informing the people of the calamity which was about to befall the nation at the hand of imperialistic agents, and warning responsible authorities to observe their limits. The defence and support of Ayatullah Khomeini by the religious authorities were directed at preventing any probable harm to the person and position of this exalted Shi’a authority, and thus confirmed his right of protest as a religious authority in accordance with the constitutional law of Iran.

## The Open Letter of the Azerbaijan’s Learned

Following the arrest of Ayatullah Khomeini, numerous telegrams, letters and scrolls reached Tehran from religious authorities and learned men of Islam and teachers and students of theological centres and other groups of Muslims, condemning the anti-Islamic and illegal acts of the previous government, and demanding the release of Ayatullah Khomeini, and other prisoners, abolition of anti-Islamic laws which went contrary to the constitutional law, and amelioration of existing conditions. Most of these matters have been analysed in the books written about the Imam’s struggle, based on documents most of which did not reach the government since telegrams and telephones were forbidden, and letters were strictly censored, and messages were often written on the walls.

One rare case, in which a very interesting letter was skilfully delivered at the prime minister’s office, was a letter written by the Azerbaijan’s learned. As this letter was an authentic one, it played an effective role and will be recorded in history. On that day, a number of pseudo-revolutionaries withdrew their signature in fear, and some others forged theirs. The letter somehow reached not only the prime minister, but copies of it were also delivered to the high court of justice, the semi-national ‘Keyhan’ and ‘Dunya’ newspapers. About one thousand copies of it were also distributed in important centres and to the social personalities of the time. This is the text of the letter:

“In the name of God Almighty

“His excellency, Mr. Hoveyda, prime minister of Iran.

“The pages of Iranian history are a true witness that the independence and greatness of our country are indebted to the life-giving teachings of dear Islam and the self-sacrifice and valuable services of the learned Ulama and religious Shi’a authorities. The country whose constitutional law has been gained with the blood of many thousand learned, valiant and patriotic men, has granted legal immunity to its religious authorities, allowing them in critical times to warn the government and check the ratification of anti-Islamic laws which are contrary to the constitutional law. The recent Ulama movement led by the exalted religious authorities which had been faced with the anti-human reactions of former governments, has had no goal but to preserve the country’s independence and revive Islamic laws. Ayatullah Khomeini, too, who has been exiled for some time, has had no guilt but defending Islamic laws and legitimate rights of the nation and honour of the country.

“Dear Mr. Hoveyda, the only way to satisfy the religious and national sentiments of the people is for your government to repeal approved laws which are anti-religion and contrary to the constitutional law, and to bring back Ayatullah Khomeini to the Theological Centre of Qum, to release the preachers and distinguished Ulama and innocent prisoners who have been arrested in this movement, to respect public opinion, and restore the honour damaged by former governments.

Signed by 74 learned men of Azerbaijan.”

## An Interesting Account of the Letter

This letter had an interesting account which will be narrated to show the zeal and love of people for the freedom of Ayatullah Khomeini and how each individual did his utmost to secure his release.

In those days, when no letter or telegrams concerning the country’s politics ever reached the person to whom it was addressed, lots were drawn by four of my colleagues for the delivery of the above letter and the lot fell upon me. Very eagerly and with a bag full of forbidden manifestoes, I was commissioned to deliver the message to four important centres of that time, namely the High Court of justice, the Keyhan and Dunya dailies and the prime minister’s office. So I set off for Tehran at once, visited the High Court and the Keyhan daily, and was warmly welcomed in both places. Then I left for the prime minister’s office, put a copy of the letter in an envelope and wrote the prime minister’s name (the deceased Hoveyda) on it. When I reached his office, his bureau was on the third floor of the building and saw many visitors there. I gave the letter to the chief of the bureau to enter on the letter registry and give me its number. He opened the letter on seeing the manifesto signed by 70 or 80 persons, warning the prime minister of the same fate as Mansoor before him who had been killed, if he did not release the Imam. He looked at me sternly, and said: ‘Sir, this is not an open letter but a full-bodied manifesto that you have brought to the prime minister’s office. You must have printed a few thousand copies of it and distributed them amongst the people and brought the last copy for the prime minister. Very well, sit down and you will receive an answer now.

I sat down opposite him, thinking mostly of the fate of those seventy or eighty signatories. He pressed a bell and I felt certain that he was summoning an agent to arrest me. He put the letter in a drawer and addressing me harshly, he said: “What insolence. They bring to the prime minister’s office a manifesto threatening him with death, and call it an open letter. Tell me who has given you this manifesto. I told him it was given to me by the Theological Centre of Qum on behalf of the learned religious men of Azerbaijan to deliver here. They must have had legitimate wishes which they expect the prime minister to fulfil.’

He said: ‘Yes, they will be fulfilled soon. Wait a few moments.’ He pressed the bell again and then he took the letter out of the drawer and began perusing it. He was scrutinising the signatures and was deeply and anxiously studying the contents, whispering to himself ‘What insolence’.

I was watching his reactions when I had an idea on the spur of the moment, and that was how Dr. Mosaddeq had saved himself in one of the international sessions of The Hague court in which he felt he would be condemned on the oil issue since he was in minority. So he pretended he had a painful stomach trouble and shouted: ‘my stomach... my stomach and with that excuse he withdrew from the court to go to a hospital for which he had no need. I thought I must somehow save myself before a policeman came, and leave the place with a reasonable excuse. Since childhood I suffered from nose bleeding, and a slight pressure did the trick. I dealt the nose a blow and blood soon started dripping. He looked up suddenly and on seeing this, he asked what had happened. I said: ‘Your office is too hot and my nose bleeds in hot weather.’ To save his carpet from being stained with blood he asked me to go quickly to the toilet and come back after washing my face and hands. I left the office, but instead of going to the toilet, very calmly went towards the exit and left the building, passing by the office police guards. Then I moved through various back-streets until I found myself in Marvi School, having covered the distance in a few minutes. There I saw the two brothers Sayyed Fatah and Sayyed Abul Hasan Hashemi Hakamabadi who were two learned Ulama of Tabrez and were at that hour departing for Qum. They asked me what had happened and I narrated the story. At first when they saw my bloody face, they were worried since they had already talked with some of the masters of Qum and had considered my visits a rash and reckless adventure, whereas my motive had been faith and devotion. Anyhow the letter reached the prime minister in that way, informing the authorities of what should be done concerning the release of Ayatullah Khomeini.

Thanks be to God that this was done and other personalities concerned, too, received their copies of the letter throughout Iran, and with God’s aid, the exalted Imam was gifted back to the nation. After sometime, his discourse and manifesto about capitulation resulted in his being exiled to Turkey, which subject will be narrated in the next chapter.

## The Year 1343

The disgraceful “Capitulation Bill” which was taken to the parliament in the last week of Mehr 1343 was ratified by the showy standing of the deputies of the faked parliament. This agreement left the life, property and honour of Muslim Iranians in the hands of American subjects, whether these Iranians were of the highest or lowest. Thus, if the meanest American subject murdered the highest Iranian national even without any excuse and motive, our government and responsible Iranian organizations had no right even to arrest the accused or interrogate him even briefly.

Bringing this bill to parliament and turning it into law was contrary to all Islamic principles and criteria and an intolerable insult to a Muslim nation and its religious leaders. The result was that Ayatullah Khomeini delivered a spirited revolutionary discourse at his home in the presence of hundreds of devotees of Imam Husain (a.s.), openly inciting the Muslim nation to rise and revolt against the satanic regime, thereby shaking the very foundation of the despotic rule.

This discourse was the last speech made at that time by the founder of the Islamic Revolution of Iran, resulting in his banishment abroad. Although during the last fifteen years, hundreds of thousands of copies have been printed from time to time and secretly distributed among the people, yet as a historical and destiny-making discourse which has directed the Islamic Revolution of Iran towards a definite course; it would be fitting to quote parts of it here. This speech which resulted in the exile of Ayatullah Khomeini from Iran was delivered on 4th Aban 1343 when all the places had been adorned and illuminated by force on the occasion of the Shah’s birthday anniversary. This discourse which was delivered in the Holy and religious city of Qum on capitulation is as follows:

“These days, after hearing the problems of Iran, I can hardly sleep. I am worried and my heart is painful. In grief I count the days for my death to arrive. (By the festival is meant the birthday of Zahra (s.a.), the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s daughter, not the Shah’s birthday.) They illuminated the places and celebrated, danced and made merry. They sold us and our independence, and resumed their dancing and illuminations. If I were in their place I would forbid the illumination and ask them to hang black flags over the gates of bazars and houses instead.

“Iran’s greatness is gone, and the glory of Iran’s army is trampled upon. They took a bill to parliament joining us first to the Vienna pact, and then made American military advisers, their families and office employees and all those dependent on them, legally immune from every crime they may commit in Iran.

“If an American servant or cook kills your religious authority and tramples upon him, the Iranian police have no right to stop him, and Iranian courts have no right of trial or interrogation. He must go to America for his fate to be decided by his masters.

“This was ratified by the former government secretly. Sometime ago, too, it was taken to the Senate, and again it was easily approved and again nothing was said about it. A few days ago it was taken to the assembly, where they talked about it and some members opposed it, but it went shamefully through. The government brazenly defended this disgraceful agreement. Thus they made the Iranian nation meaner than American dogs. If an American’s dog is run over, the driver is sued, and if the Shah of Iran runs over an American’s dog, he is sued, but if an American cook runs over the Shah of Iran or a religious authority or any other dignitary, no one has the right of prosecution. Why? Because they were asking America for a loan and America made this a condition.

“What should we do with this calamity? What should the Ulama do with this disaster? What competent country can they appeal to? Other countries suppose that it is the Iranian nation that has humbled itself thus. They don’t know that the blame falls upon the Iranian government and parliament, a parliament that has no connection with the nation. This is a parliament of bayonets. What connection has it with the nation? The nation has not voted for it, and religious leaders and authorities boycotted the elections. The nation obeyed and refused to vote for these candidates.

“But today is the day of bayonets and these men are brought to sit on these benches. In one of the history books printed this year our children are deceivingly told that breaking through the influence of the Ulama is in the interest of the nation. So the nation’s welfare depends on the Ulama’s removal. Yes, that is true. If the influence of the Ulama exists, it does not allow this nation to be enslaved by Britain or America. If that influence exists, it does not let Israel seize Iran’s economy, or let Israel’s goods be sold in Iran duty-free. If the Ulama’s influence exists, it rebukes this parliament and government and throws out the deputies. If that influence exists, an installed agent of America will not be allowed to commit such wrongs; he will be driven out of the country. Is Ulama’s influence harmful to the nation? No! It is harmful to you traitors, not to the nation.

“Gentlemen, I give a warning. O, army of Iran, I give a warning. O, politicians, merchants, religious leaders, Islamic authorities, I give a warning. O, learned men, divinity students, theological centres, religious authorities. O, Najaf, Qum, Mashhad, Tehran. I give a warning. Obviously there are hidden things of which we are unaware. In the parliament it was stated that the veils should not be drawn aside. So, evidently they have evil intentions towards us. What will be worse than this? What is worse than slavery? Worse than abjectness?

“If the country is under American occupation, say so. Then throw us all out of this land. What do they want to do with us? What does this government say? And this parliament, which is illegal! What has this illegal parliament done for us? This parliament which was boycotted by the verdict of religious authorities, and its members are not of the nation? These are the deputies who keep on declaring that they have come there through the white revolution. Where is that white revolution? They mined us. I am aware of people’s hunger, of the state of agriculture. Sir, do something for this country.

“Think of a way for this nation. Do not heap up debt upon debt. Stop being a servant. There are of course dollars accompanying servitude. But you are benefiting from the dollars, and leaving us the servitude.

“Islam has gone to the wind. O, Islamic nations, Islamic leaders, presidents of Islamic realms, Kings of Islamic countries, Shah of Iran. We appeal to you to redress wrongs! Should we be trampled under American boots simply because we are weak and lack dollars? America is worse than Britain, and Britain is worse than America and Soviet Russia worse than both of them and even wicked. But today we are dealing with these wicked ones, and with America. The President of America should realize that he is the most hated person in the world. He is the most hated individual to our nation since he has initiated such a tyranny upon the Islamic nation. The Holy Quran and Iranian nation are hostile to him.

“These men are not deputies and the world should know that they are not deputies of Iran. Even if they were, I would dismiss them. They are dismissed. All the bills which have been approved are invalid. Since the beginning of the constitution they have rejected the text of the law. According to Article II of the Amendment to the constitutional law, no law is acceptable without the supervision of religious authorities. What authority has exercised supervision? Should the hand of the Ulama be severed now? If there were five priests or even one in this parliament, they would have rebuked these men, and would not allow such deeds. I said to those deputies who had opposed the bill: “Why didn’t you rise and throw this man out?” Was it enough to say that you were opposed to it? Why stand on ceremony and use flattery? Real opposition requires making uproar and rushing in the middle of the hall and fighting to stop its ratification, would everything be put right merely by saying that you are against it? You saw that it was of no avail. You should not allow such a parliament to exist. Leave that parliament. We cannot recognize that so-called law as a law, nor the parliament or government as a parliament or government. They are traitors to the country!

“O, God, put the Muslims’ affairs in order. O, God, grant greatness to the Holy religion of Islam. O, God, destroy all those who betray this land and betray the Holy Quran and Islam.”

The great fighter was exiled to Turkey nine days after this speech on 13th Aban 1343. But what happened in these nine days to Iran and Iranians following the fiery, revolutionary and historical speech?

The great Leader of the Revolution, following his important discourse on the Capitulation Bill, issued a manifesto condemning the Shah’s treason in having the bill which would enslave Iran ratified with the force of bayonets, and asked all classes of Iran to reinforce their unity and solidarity to the last to win victory, and defend Islam and the independence of Iran at the cost of their lives.

Chapter Sixteen

# Historical Precedence of Qum’s Theological Centre

The city of Qum has for long been an important Shi’a centre ever since the Iranians embraced the divine religion of Muhammad (s.a.w.a.), the true form of Shi’ism, and adopted combat as the way out against new Arab sovereignty. They have since then, directed their intellectual and spiritual faculties towards the attainment of this goal by accepting the life-giving creed of the twelve-Imams’ Shi’ism and school of brotherhood, equality and love of the Prophet’s Household and the twelve Imams’ in order to stand against the kingly and dictatorial rule of the Amavis and the Abbasids. The centre of refuge of lovers of imamate and the focal point of the friends of the household of chastity and purity rose so high in importance and the love and zeal of its inhabitants in defending the precinct of Shi’ism became so strong that it became a source of fear for usurping caliphs and for those who trampled upon the right of the Imamate’s household. This state of affairs went so far that the Abbasid caliphs even at a time when their unquestioned domination and their tyrannical rule had spread over the whole of Islamic regions, and when they recklessly issued orders for the massacre of their opponents, were frightened of the inhabitants of Qum. Contrary to their inmost wish, this fear checked them from daring to appoint non-Shi’a governors and administrators to rule over the religious and self-sacrificing people of Qum. The reason for this decision was that, being faced with the daring solidarity of the Qum inhabitants in defending Shi’ism, they had no alternative but to abandon their obstinacy and compromise with these devoted and self-sacrificing people and respect the city’s public opinion.

It was natural for a city where such an organized power and frightening solidarity prevailed, to serve from the very beginning as a secure centre of refuge for great men of religion and learning who were guarded like jewels on a ring by the devoted people of Qum and deeply respected by them. That is why Qum soon became the cradle for the nurture and rise of distinguished men of knowledge and virtue. Throughout history, the city of Qum has been an unconquerable fortress of Shi’ism owing to the presence of great religious leaders, exalted scholars, fine narrators and lofty personalities. These, together with learned scholars devoted to the line of imamate and virtue, have risen or been trained there. Moreover, there is also the existence of scholarly and religious centres, rich libraries full of treasures of knowledge, as well as divinity Schools from the chambers of which have risen great men of learning, chastity and crusade.

Even if sometimes undesirable elements have been able to penetrate its walls by means of deluding conduct and hypocritical minds, they have been expelled by the decisive and unquestionable Ulama’s leadership and religious atmosphere of the city with a slight signal, in the same way that according to the old tradition, a well-known judge was dismissed from his lofty position by a caliph with only a line of poetry. Such men have never been able to cause damage to Islam through wickedness, or impose themselves on the alert people of Qum and deceive them. And there are many examples of this to cite.

## Qum, a Ray of Light Projecting the Greatness of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s Descendants

The sanctity and greatness which the city of Qum carries with its name to all parts of the world are derived from the greatness and chastity of a lady who is a model of purity and virtue in her connection with the household of Imamate and her descent from the noble line of prophethood; a lady whose fine name of Faatemah (s.a.) is a reminder that she is the finest lady the world has ever seen as a pure daughter of the Prophet.

A study of the Shi’a culture shows that the name ‘Faatemah’ enjoys a special worth and high credit in this fourteen centuries’ old culture, since this name is a reminder of peerless values, greatness and proud memories and the most brilliant era of the glory and chastity of Islamic women.

For the first time, (not chronologically but from the viewpoint of the brilliance and greatness of Shi’a history), we come across this noble name in the Household of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.). That name presents the virtuous daughter (s.a.) of the exalted Prophet of Islam, the second impeccable personality of human history, the dearest model of womanhood, and mother of the two Imams, Hasan (a.s.) and Husain (a.s.). She is called the Great Seddiqa, Faatemah Zahra (s.a.), a Holy and exalted lady who spent a whole life of purity and fruitfulness gloriously and joined her beloved God with honour and pride, a lady who left behind a world of virtue and sanctity, whose sons, Hasan (a.s.) and Husain (a.s.), and her impeccable descendants are fine examples of that virtue and sanctity imbibed from her instructive way of life.

And elsewhere (chronologically a few years earlier), we find that pure and beautiful name in conjunction with the name of the lord of the chaste, the Commander of the Faithful and the first impeccable leader of Shi’as, Ali ibn Abi Taalib (a.s.), and this time she is called Faatemah, daughter of Assad. She is a lady who does not only possess the honour of being the mother of the first impeccable leader of the Shi’as, but even before the birth of that true Imam and rightful successor to the Prophet, had possessed a high rank and peerless position, so that neither before her nor afterwards did any woman attain such a lofty position. She was so deeply attached to the Holy Ka’aba that she gave birth to her child in that Holy House of God and made that house the home of her own and her offspring. She was one of the first to be converted to Islam and to accept the prophethood of the Prophet, and she was the eleventh person whose name was recorded in history by the Prophet’s, at a time when infidelity, atheism and ignorance prevailed.

Faatemah binte Asad is a virtuous and exalted lady under whose motherly care and kindness, the Prophet of Islam (s.a.w.a.) spent his childhood, and that was when Abi Taalib, father of Imam Ali (a.s.) acted as guardian of his orphaned nephew. The Prophet developed a strong affection for this devoted lady. He loved her like his own mother, so that at her death, he wept bitterly and covered her with a shroud with his own hands. He repeated forty times, ‘God is Great’ and performed the burial prayer. He finally came beside her tomb and prayed God to bring peace and tranquillity upon her soul.

Next time, we meet the same name in the house of the third Imam of the Shi’as. This was Faatemah, the daughter of Imam Husain (a.s.) who entered Kufah in the group of the household captives, delivering a fiery oration in defence of the school of right and that of her exalted father and brothers, and stood side by side with her great aunt Zainab (s.a.), defending the sanguine revolution and sacred Imam Husain (a.s.) movement.

## Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.)

In this group of chaste Faatemahs, we meet another Faatemah after two centuries, namely the daughter of Imam Moosa ibn Jafar (a.s.) who is another descendant of the Prophet’s chaste household.

In the account of her life, it is said that this noble lady was brought up in Medina and in her youth travelled to Iran to follow the worthy goal of her exalted brother Imam Ali ibn Moosa al-Raza (a.s.). But when on her way she reached the town of Saveh, she was so exhausted that she fell ill with fever. However, being eager to join her brother, she did not rest for long and once more set off, reaching the city of Qum in fever and sickness. However she was so ill that for seventeen days she was in bed and eventually passed away full of love to meet her noble brother.

Concerning the nobility of this chaste lady, many narrations have been quoted from her exalted brother Imam Reza (a.s.) which encourages the devotees of the Prophet’s household to make a pilgrimage of devotion to the shrine of this great lady.

## Qum, as a Sanctuary of the Imamate’s Household

For twelve centuries, Qum has been the city of religion and learning, a land of spirituality, bearing the shrine of the chaste lady of Islam, Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.), and a centre of pilgrimage for virtuous believers. It is justifiably called the ‘city of blood, uprising and jurisprudence’. Today, too, this city has more than ever won the world attention so that its news and reports head the limelight of world events.

This city has long attracted our impeccable leaders so that they have been endlessly talking about it and their many predictions in connection to this city recall minding its present greatness. The mention of a few worthy and interesting traditions will give one a better picture:

1) The sixth Imam, Imam Jafar Sadiq (a.s.) said:

“God Almighty will adduce an argument with other towns about the city of Qum and its people, and in the absence of the Imam, a time will come when Qum and its people will act as a plea for others. The town of Kufa will be emptied of the Shi’as and devotees of the household, and knowledge and learning will depart from it, giving its place to the city of Qum. Whence all knowledge and learning will spread to other parts of the world.”

2) Ali ibn Eesaa has quoted from Ayub son of Yahya bin Jandal, quoting Imam Musa bin Jafar (a.s.) who says:

“A great man of Qum will call the people to truth and freedom and the people resolutely and with an iron determination will rally to his call and gather round him. They are fearless men who never tire of combat and effort. Their only hope and reliance is God, and the lot of chaste and abstemious people is success and ultimate victory.”

3) Judge Nurullah Shushtari has quoted Imam Sadiq (a.s.) as saying:

“God has a sanctuary in Mecca, and the Prophet has a sanctuary in Medina. The Commander of the Faithful has a sanctuary in Kufah, and the city of Qum is the sanctuary and home of the Holy household”

These awakening words which have taken the form of such a clear and wonderful prediction belong to two superior and exalted personalities, two impeccable leaders of the Shi’as, the sixth and seventh Imams, which have reached us through valid Islamic narrations and traditions.

It is indeed soul inspiring to believers, while amazing and vanquishing to unbelievers and cynics that the sixth Imam, the founder and leader of the Jafari Creed, and the seventh Imam, the son of that noble personality, twelve centuries ago, so clearly and undeniably predicted the present position of Qum and gave the tidings of the rise of a man from an Islamic land to the world and its peoples.

Today, that the whole of Islam and the whole of atheism confront each other in an unequal war, the world of Islam notices clearly that this heavenly promise and angelic tidings have been fulfilled, and the city of Qum has become not only the home of the true uprising of the Iranian nation, and the realm of learning, religion and creative thought, but also a stronghold of combat against ignorance and corruption, a base of honour and virtue, and an axis of all human and Islamic moves.

Today, Islam witnesses and finds a new life by it, and infidelity notices and trembles with fear that twelve centuries after that heavenly tidings, a great and divine scholar such as Ayatullah Khomeini will stand up and in the words of the seventh Imam, will invite people towards truth and freedom and will be followed by a people with an iron resolution.

Today, the whole world can witness how the righteous voice of that exalted scholar has echoed below this azure dome fully awakening Muslims the world over and even the oppressed non-Muslims of this tyrannical world of ours. As predicted by both exalted Imams, Qum has not only become the guiding light for the Iranian nation, but also the hope and refuge of all Muslims and oppressed people of the world.

## The City of Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.)

A brief glance suffices to see that this blessed city has become a centre of lofty human thoughts and Islamic moves and attained its deserving brilliance ever since the Holy shrine of that great personality of Islam, that pure, virtuous and learned lady, namely Faatemah Ma’sumah, bestowed honour on that city. Since that time, the little town of Qum began its new historical and history-making phase and the blessing of that noble lady made Qum a safe refuge of the devotees of the household of chastity and centre of attention of distinguished Islamic personalities.

From then on, Islamic scholars, great Islamologists, and devotees of the household of the Prophet and Imam Ali (a.s.) were drawn to this city, residing in the vicinity of the shrine of this Noble lady of Islam, and gradually important centres were established to propagate Islamic thought.

With the passage of time, in the centre of this city, reputable religious centres were established, whose fame increased day by day, and they produced narrators, jurisprudents, orators, thinkers and learned men for the Islamic society, each of whom attaining a deserving position in the history of the Islamic intellectual combats.

## The Bright Shrine

As we said, the city of Qum, despite its long history and in spite of the honour it had gained owing to the respect shown to its future by the impeccable religious leaders, it was held in high esteem by men of learning. Nevertheless, its real credit and honour must be attributed to the day when it became the eternal residence and burial home of the great and chaste lady of the Prophet’s household, namely the Reverend Ma’sumah; a lady whose chastity and virtue, whose sanctity and brilliance, had won world fame. Thus, within a short time, seekers of knowledge and virtue, and lovers of chastity and spirituality, were drawn to it. It was soon adorned with jewels of intellect and in time, it became the greatest centre of learning in the world.

Faatemah Ma’sumah; the noble and virtuous daughter of the Imam’s household, who was peerless in her asceticism, devotion and chastity among the female children of the seventh Imam, had a deep and fiery love for her exalted brother, Imam Ali bin Moosa-Reza (a.s.) ever since her childhood, and felt for that dear brother as does a worthy pupil for a precious teacher, or a quiet child for a kind father. A symbol of affection and humbleness, she did not neglect a moment to show this attachment and devotion or to benefit from that ocean of knowledge and goodness.

This devotion was so deep that on the one hand this noble sister could not bear being separated from that exalted brother and on the other hand she always tried to be a worthy disciple in the school of her brother’s intellectual and spiritual combats and endeavours. She stood by his side in all his struggles in the way of Islam so that she brought back to the mind of discerning men, the memory of that lioness of Karbala, Zainab al-Kubra (a.s.), and her deep attachment to her noble brother, Imam Husain bin Ali (a.s.).

During the rule and unlawful caliphate of Ma’moun of the Abbasids, Imam Reza (a.s.) left for Iran and stayed in Toos to resume his divine combats and guide the Islamic Ummah on the path of worldly happiness and salvation of the world to come, his noble sister Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.) could not bear this separation, and so she set off from Hejaz for Iran in the year 201 H. to meet her brother and join that exalted Imam in his divine endeavours.

When Ma’sumah left Medina for Marv, known as Mashhad today, she was a young girl of eighteen. In those days, when travel between two close towns was difficult even for men owing to bad roads and inadequate transport and other travelling means, it is obvious how hard such a long journey between two remote towns was for a young girl and what hardship it entitled. But love and affection overcame all these.

She bore whatever hardship in order to join her beloved brother and eventually reached the town of Saveh. Here she went down with high fever owing to fatigue. However she was resolute on setting off in spite of her illness, even though her companions insisted that she should rest there to get well since in her condition it was dangerous to continue her journey. But the desire to meet her brother dominated all feelings and she decided otherwise. When she found that the distance between Saveh and Qum was about sixty kilometres, though she was sick, she asked her servant to accompany her to Qum where she intended to take a few days’ rest, after which she would resume her journey. This clearly reveals the high esteem in which she held Qum, a city which possessed a reputation even in those days in spite of its being only a small town. As a place which had won fame for being a religious centre, she considered it fitting for a member of the Prophet’s household to use it as a resting place.

The author of Safinatul Behaar writes: “As soon as the tribe of al-Khazraj, the members of which were friends and followers of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.)’s household, heard that the daughter of the seventh Imam and sister of the eighth Imam intended to travel to Marv and had reached the town of Saveh, they went to meet her and gave her a warm welcome, so that the chief of the tribe pulled the halter of her camel while the others followed the camel on foot, and in this way, she arrived at the town of Qum.

“This noble guest died there after 16 days at the age of eighteen (born 183, died 201 H.) in her wish to meet her brother whom she loved so much. She was buried in a piece of land which belonged to her host, and this is where the present shrine is situated. After some years, Zainab, daughter of Imam Jawad (a.s.), had a shelter built over her aunt’s tomb to make it more visible and today the altar where she prayed attracts many pilgrims to the shrine.’

Several traditions have been quoted by that noble lady as recorded in books of traditions, one of which is the ‘Fatemiyat tradition’ in which the names of several Fatima’s are mentioned ending with the name of Faatemah, daughter of the Holy Prophet (s.a.w.a.). This precious document which speaks of the dignity of the household and the love for it is engraved also on the tombstone of the Reverend Ma’sumah (a.s.).

## The Effective Role in Founding the Religious Centre of Qum

Faatemah Ma’sumah (s.a.), as a symbol of honour and pride for all ladies of the world, has attained such a lofty position that she is considered today as the spiritual evidence and support of men of learning and virtue and Islamic facts, and serves as the main and permanent axis of Qum’s religious centre. This centre is the propagator of proper and authentic Islamic thoughts and centre of publication of the true ideologies of Islamic school in the contemporary world. In addition to advancing knowledge and intellectual goals and providing guidance, its resistance against tyranny and tyrants, its exposure of the plots of the enemies of Islam, and the leadership of one of its exalted members, have made the city a source of amazement in the world so that this centre is recognised now as a symbol of freedom, a stronghold of resistance and epic of faith and greatness of the Islamic and revolutionary land of Iran.

Today, owing to the blessing of the personality of that noble lady, the city of Qum is the asylum for great religious scholars, Ulama and exalted intellectual and spiritual leaders of Islam, and its Holy religious centre serves as the headquarters for spiritual guidance. Pilgrims visit it from all parts of the country and other Islamic lands to pay homage to that great lady, singing her praises day and night in their prayers, thinking of her as the nucleus of faith and learning in this Islamic and revolutionary realm, and gathering round her like moths round a candle, for, they realize that she has been a symbol of spirituality and a source of steadfastness, and the secret behind the survival and success of the religious centre.

## The Religious Centre of Qum and its Vestiges

The shrine of the Reverend ‘Ma’sumah, daughter of the seventh Imam of the Shi’as is situated in the heart of the centre of the Shi’a world. It is a source of inspiration and glory for thousands of Islamic scholars, men of learning, eager pilgrims who come there day and night to purify their mind and spirit by means of proximity to her inspiring court, learn lessons of chastity and resistance in the way of God in her school, to deliver these lessons to others in other towns and villages of our Islamic country and carry them to distant spots beyond the borders, to benefit other lands.

There are now, thousands of seekers of knowledge residing there to absorb and preserve knowledge and religion. There are not only a great many men of learning engaged in training young men for future generations, but there are also a number of Schools for chaste girls and women at different academic stages studying Islamic branches of knowledge under exalted masters, in order to serve later as preachers, writers, missionaries and propagators of religious teachings among the women of the Islamic country in near and far towns and villages.

## A University Bearing a Spiritual Record of 12th Centuries

What is noteworthy is that this Holy centre, in spite of its short life as a modern institution, has a record of 12th centuries as a great Islamic university. In other words, since this base of faith and learning won fame as the shrine of the Reverend Ma’sumah, it has attracted men of research and zealous scholars from all parts of Islamic lands and they laid the foundation of the greatest university of Islamic branches of knowledge there.

But in its modern form, the centre is indebted to the great divinity scholar, Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri Yazdi, and in these sixty years, it has become the most influential and powerful centre of intellectual activity in Iran and the Islamic world.

Consequently, owing to its heavy responsibility as a centre in the history of political, social and Islamic struggles in the last sixty years in Iran and other Islamic lands, it would be fitting here to give an account of the leaders of this centre in order to clarify for historians and pioneers of the revolutionary society some of the dark and obscure points that still remain.

This research may be able in all humility to provide a basis for further investigation and show how in this chaotic world and fearful abyss in which the world is involved, the Muslim nation of Iran led by the Ulama has succeeded in winning victory over the dominant powers of the east and west, and how other Muslim nations can, by means of inspiration from this great historical experiment and by reliance on Islamic criteria, break all the Pharaohs and overthrow their regimes.

## The Human and Revolutionary Charter of the Centre

Ayatullah Taaleqaani, a symbol of learning, chastity and crusade who was trained in the Centre and thought and fought for Islam, humanity and human honour, speaks fine words about Qum. In a discourse delivered at Qum in the Theological Centre after the victory of the revolution, he said:

“The city of Qum, a city of authentic Islamic uprising, a city of the Holy household, a city which has been the source of transformation in other cities of Iran has been the cradle of traditions, scholars, philosophers, many Mulla Sadras and Haaeris, until there comes the turn of the rise of Shaikh Muhammad Taqi Yazdi, the Abuzar of the time.

“These doors and walls and the second courtyard are memories of fifty years for me. They make me attentive, press my heart, and whisper occult words in my ears. You do not know how inspiring these doors and walls are for me. Now look at this court and hear the loud and clear voice of Shaikh Muhammad Taqi Yazdi, under the deadly whip of Reza Khan. They booted Reza Khan’s soldiers, attacked this Holy shrine with guns and tanks, and we were besieged, beaten and bayoneted by them. We stood up and fought. Indeed, what did Reza Khan have to say?”

Ayatullah Taaleqaani goes on to say:

“After one year, this old man, this Abuzar of the time, this man who lived on dry bread, was released from prison and banished to the shrine of Abdul Azeem. I went to see him, and he showed me his arms on which the marks of whips could still be seen.”

That is how Ayatullah Taaleqaani speaks of Qum and the crusaders who raised the banner of combat there, and how this centre has been able to declare its human and divine mission inspire of all those tortures and sufferings; a centre which with its human and Islamic charter in the dark period of Pahlavi rule opened up the horizon of hopes and ideals for the oppressed people of Iran, and exhibited its true mettle and essence.

As said before, the Theological Centre of Qum found a new life since Ayatullah Haj Shaikh Abdul Karim Haaeri came to stay there, and began its present magnificent life. This great scholar illuminated the circle of scholars there with his knowledge and virtue, and trained learned and accomplished disciples who became more united and resolute through his efforts in those days of suppression and enmity with Islam and knowledge and thought, and those days when human honour and religion were being trampled upon by Reza Khan and other hirelings of foreign governments. It was then that the number of his disciples rose to six hundred; six hundred men of learning and combat who withstood the plots of world devourers and tyranny of Reza Khan’s government, and refused to change their faith for the world. Here was a group to which belonged the exalted Leader of the Revolution and other present religious authorities and masters of jurisprudence, each of whom has been or is the torch bearer of Islamic circles. Ayatullah Haaeri had gathered round him builders of ideas and school of thought, and had made Qum the centre for propagation of Islamic thought for all parts of Iran.

In fact, ever since he made Qum his residing place, this city of uprising and resolution became an intellectual and spiritual centre for the world of Islam, and the home of distinguished religious authorities and great social and intellectual leaders. It became a base which had inherited dynamism from Ali’s school, and scholarly mentality, precision and constancy in Islamic matters from the rich Ja’fari jurisprudence.

## Famous Figures in Qum’s History

The history of Qum shows that this fruitful city has always been the cradle for nourishing individuals whose names have adorned the circles of knowledge, literature, Islamic philosophy, jurisprudence and research. There have risen men like Shaikh Saduq, the authority respected by traditionists, Ibn Qulwayh, peerless jurisprudent, Shaikh Mufeed and Baraghi Bare’e, masters of various branches of learning, Hunain bin Sahl, the well-known philosopher, of whom Ibn Amid, the minister has said: “If he were the only man risen from our city, it would be sufficient.” There are also Khwaajah Naseer al-Deen Tusi who is famous in the east and west, and Ibn Amid, minister of Rokn al-Dawlah Deylami, of whom it is said: “The art of composition began with Abdul Hamid and ended with Ibn Amid.” There were also his son; Abul Fath nicknamed ‘Zul-Kefayatayn’, minister of both Rokn al-Dawlah and Mo’ayed al-Dawlah Deylami, as well as Mo’ayed al-Deen Qummi, minister of three Abbasid caliphs, Taj al-Mulk. Abul Ghanayem Qummi, minister of Malek Shah Saljuqi, Majd al-Mulk Beravestani, minister of Barikaraq, and lastly Nezami Ganjavi author of the work ‘Khamsaa’.

## Jurisprudents and Narrators

Qum has also been the training ground and home of a group of distinguished jurisprudents and Islamic narrators, a description of whose names and qualities and works would entail an extensive volume. Here we will briefly mention a few:

1) Abul Hasan Ali bin Husain bin Moosa bin Baabwayh Qummi who is one of the greatest masters of tradition and leader of the Shi’a sect. He died in 329 H.

2) Abu Ja’far Muhammad bin Ali bin Husain bin Moosa bin Baabwayh Qummi, nicknamed Shaikh Saduq, who was a prominent narrator, leader of jurisprudents and religious experts, and according to biographers, he was unique in his abounding knowledge and memory.

3) Abu Abdullah Husain-Ali bin Husain bin Moosa bin Babwayh Qummi, Shaikh Saduq’s brother, a great narrator and man of learning, and has produced valuable books.

4) Abul Qaasem Ja’far bin Muhammad bin Ja’far bin Moosa bin Qulwayh Qummi, a great narrator and jurisprudent.

5) Abu Muhammad Jafar bin Ahmad bin Ali al-Qummi, a peerless scholar and a great narrator, author, of ‘Al-Mosalsalaat’ on traditions.

6) Ibn Shazan Muhammad bin Ali al-Qummi, an exalted jurisprudent and narrator. A nephew of Ibn Qulwayh, who wrote a book on Imam Ali (a.s.)’s character, in which he has mentioned one hundred qualities quoted from the narrations of opponents.

7) Shazan bin Jabra’il bin Ismail bin Abi Taaleb Qummi, a credible narrator and distinguished jurisprudent, author of valuable books including ‘Zaad al-Mosaafer’.

8) Sa’d bin Abdullah bin Abi Khalaf al-Asha’ri Qummi, a companion of Imam Hasan Askari (a.s.) and a great man of learning.

9) Muhammad ibn Abel-Qasim Qummi, nicknamed ‘Majeyluya’, son-in-law of Ahmad bin Abi Abdullah Baraghi, and a great scholar about whom scholar Hilli has said: “This noble man is a friend of the Qummis.”

10) Muhammad bin al-Hasan al-Saffaar Qummi, a companion of Imam Hasan Askari (a.s.) and a distinguished jurisprudent, author of valuable books including Basaayer-e-Darajaat.

11) Abu Abdullah Muhammad bin Khaled al-Baraqi Qummi, a companion of Imam Reza (a.s.) and a great scholar.

12) Ahmad bin Muhammad bin Khaled al-Baraqi, a distinguished scholar after whose death Ahmad bin Eesaa, a great jurisprudent of Qum escorted his funeral bare-footed.

13) Sayyed Sadr al-Deen bin Muhammad Baqer al-Razavi Qummi, an exalted jurisprudent, a disciple of whom was Master Akbar who called his teacher ‘Master of masters’.

There are also many others whose names cannot be mentioned in this brief treatise.

## Philosophers of Qum

In addition to narrators, jurisprudents and divinity scholars, the city of Qum has also produced great philosophers of whom Islamic philosophy is proud, and whose lofty ideas have long been the source of inspiration to Muslims’ philosophical circles.

Here we will mention the names of a few of them:

1) Abul Mohareb Hanin bin Sahl bin Mohareb Qummi, a great Islamic philosopher, of whom Ibn Amid, the minister, spoke very proudly.

2) Khwaajah Naseer al-Deen Muhammad bin Muhammad al-Jahrudi al-Toosi, a peerless scholar and fine philosopher, of whom George Jordac, the Christian Arab scholar writes in his book, ‘Arabic Vocabulary’: “His collection comprised more than four hundred thousand books. He assembled philosophers, astrologers and scholars and arranged an endowment for them, and the field of science and knowledge was so illuminated by this Persian man of learning in the Mogul realm that it shone like a bright light in a dark night.” One of his valuable books is ‘Tajrid al-Kalam’, which has been commented upon by many scholars, one of whom is scholar Hilli, a fact which illustrates his fame and greatness.

3) Qazi Saeed Muhammad bin Muhammad Mufeed Qummi, a distinguished gnostic who has been considered a confirmer of ‘the Holy Spirit’ owing to his fine analysis of gnostic principles, and discovery of hidden secrets, without which confirmation this matter would not have been accessible.

## The Curriculum of Theological Centres

The discussion of the role of Qum’s Theological Centre in the Islamic movements of the present century requires a study of its system of education and its training of chaste and religious men in this exalted school of thought, to show what stages the trainees pass through and how they are equipped with the weapons of religion, learning and virtue.

The point to be stressed is that the love and interest in the study of Islamic subjects have always been something intellectual where material things, privileges, or academic degrees, have never been the motive. An Islamic divinity student zealously enters this field to acquire Islamic knowledge and eagerly bears difficulties and hardships in its pursuit.

## The Academic Program of Divinity Students

The first pre-requisite for taking up this course is an adequate knowledge of reading and writing Persian, at least at an elementary level. But there are many who on admission to this course, have completed high school or even a university degree course. Anyone who is admitted to this course must go through three stages to be qualified for the course leading to religious expertise.

1. Preliminary Course

This course begins with a study of basic Arabic grammar and syntax and composition as well as logic. The books to be studied in this course are two simple grammar books, namely ‘Mabadi al-Arabia’ and ‘Jame’ al-Muqaddamaat’ which consists of fourteen brief books in Persian and Arabic on grammar, syntax, logic, method of reading, and drill in difficult grammatical conjugations. This book was used as a textbook a long time ago, and is still used, and although this book comprises 14 brief books, is written in an older style commonly used before, some sections of it such as ‘Samaddiah’ of Shaikh Baha’i, and ‘Avamel’ of Mulla Hasan are still of interest to the students, and the due attention is paid to them during this course.

Next to the above book, more detailed books on grammar and syntax and studied as preparation for a mastery of Arabic literature and texts of annals, traditions, jurisprudence, principles and commentary, helping for a better understanding. Such books include ‘Alfia’ of Ibn Malek Jalaal al-Deen Suyuti’, ‘Sharh-e-Jami’, ‘Sharh-e-Nezam’, ‘Sharh-e-Razi and Shafia of Ibn Hajeb.’

The poems of ‘Alfiah’ of Ibn Malek on Syntax are usually memorized. Then for further knowledge of Arabic literature, the books, ‘Mughni al-Labib’ of Ibn Hosham and ‘Motawwal’ of Saeed al-Deen Taftazani is studied, though in recent years, shorter books, have replaced ‘Motawwal’. There are also more elaborate books on logic such as ‘Hashia’ of Mulla Abdullah Yazdi, ‘Sharh-e-Shamsiah’ and sometimes ‘Sharh-e-Matale’e’. The program also contains a brief description of the principles of jurisprudence at the end of the preliminary course. The main text-book of principles is usually ‘Ma’alem al-Usool’, with many descriptions and commentaries. The book, ‘Sharay’e Mohaqiq’ is also included in this course and the beginning of the next one.

2. Intermediate course

This course consists mainly of reading. After completing the preliminary course, the students are admitted to the intermediate course in which his task consists mainly of learning jurisprudence, principles and philosophy from related texts. These texts include two volumes of ‘Sharh-e-Lomah’ of Shahid Saani, ‘Ghavanin’ of Mirza’i Qummi, ‘Makaaseb and Fara’ez’ of Shaikh Murtaza Ansari, and ‘Kefaayat al-Usool’ of the late Akhund Mulla Muhammad Kazem Khorasaani on argumentative principles.

3. Advanced Course

This course consists of discussion of principles and jurisprudence beyond text-books. After the student has learnt jurisprudence, principles and philosophy in the first two courses through text-books and is able to comprehend the annals and traditions related to jurisprudence, it becomes time for him to attend the master’s class. Here, relevant questions relating to principles and jurisprudence are discussed. The teacher discusses these questions, keeping in mind the views of other Islamic scholars, and also propounds his own views. The students participate in the discussion and sometimes, such a discussion may last for weeks or even months until the subject is thrashed out and fully understood.

Thus, after a long period of study and preparation in earlier courses, various topics are now dealt with in the presence of masters in these centres. This is quite the reverse of procedures current in some secular colleges where the student is content with taking notes and memorising things without reflection and analysis.

The ultimate goal of these discussions is to strengthen the reasoning and thinking faculty and enable a solid understanding of verdicts, by reliance on four sources of reasoning (in Shi’a jurisprudence), namely the Holy Quran, tradition, unanimity and intellect.

Thenceforth, the student follows the course of specialisation in a field for which he feels gifted. What is significant in this course of Shi’a jurisprudence and specialisation is that the expert by relying on jurisprudential laws and his own long study and experience can issue verdicts concerning matters and cases which crop up at all times and require jurisprudential verdicts.

## Extra-Curricular Studies

On holidays and in times of leisure, the student is expected to engage in studies and discussions beyond the regular program. These studies are mostly related to scholastic theology, commentary, biography, old and modern astronomy and such branches of knowledge which are related to jurisprudence arid religious precepts.

Philosophy is sometimes studied as an extra-curricular subject, and sometimes as a part of the regular course, for which there are special timings. For beginners, the text book is ‘Sharh-e-Manzumah’ of Haj Mulla Hadi Sabzevari, and for advanced courses, ‘Asfaar’ of Mulla Sadra Shirazi.

At present, such courses as sociology, psychology, English and ideology are more popular with students and scholars.

## Discussion, not teaching

A student asking another student who is also a teacher about his study says: “What are you discussing?” The latter replies, “I discuss this subject.” Generally speaking, in the students’ terminology, the word ‘discussion’ is usually used instead of ‘learning’ and ‘teaching.’

The reason for the use of this term is that both the teacher and student co-operate in the analysis of topics, and a student is perfectly free to express his own view and even reject the teacher’s view by offering reasons and arguments. If he proves to be in the right, the teacher accepts his objections and there is no denial or refusal.

This freedom of expression by the students is one of the strong points in favour of the system. The student prepares himself beforehand by consulting various sources, and then presents his findings to the master and other students who are usually well-versed in the subject. Then views are expressed by each member of the circle, and final conclusions are drawn.

## Factors of Students’ Progress

This method of study contains several important factors which affect a better understanding and progress in the subjects being studied by the students.

1) Previous study and preparation, enabling the student to acquire sufficient knowledge for participation in the discussion which is mainly confined to an analysis of difficult points.

2) Perfect freedom of expression for the student to state his own views and criticise those of the teacher. This is particularly true of the advanced courses where voices are raised so high at times, that a by-stander might mistake the scene as one of quarrel.

3) Exchange of views which takes place by small groups of students after each session in order to discuss difficult points.

4) Freedom in the choice of a teacher, the result of which is a perfect understanding between the teacher and student. This choice is made on the basis of full familiarity of the student with the teacher’s outlook arid method. There is no compulsion or a pre-arranged program which a student is obliged to accept.

Thus the word ‘searcher’ is used for a student of theological subjects. He goes through various stages for intellectual advancement and proceeds towards specialisation.

## Books and Libraries in the Theological Centre

Its special intellectual and social position has made the Theological Centre of Qum a centre of gravity for Islamic scholars, thinkers and the Shi’a Ulama of the world.

The gathering of the Ulama in this Holy city has necessitated the creation of rich libraries in addition to colleges and students’ and scholars’ dormitories, the number of which is about fifty. These libraries have met the needs of students, teachers, scholars and men of research for many long years and are used day and night by them as the greatest source of mental nourishment.

## Maximum Usage

Without exaggeration, the libraries of Theological Centre of Qum are unrivalled among the public libraries of the country from the viewpoint of efficiency, benefits, members and usage.

What is noteworthy is that very often, before the opening hours, large numbers of people line up outside the door waiting. During the library hours, the halls are full of readers and scholars working in silence. Sometimes in each of these libraries, three or four hundred are engaged in studying at the same time, and this goes on the whole day. In this respect, no other public library in Iran is comparable to them.

## 500,000 Volumes

The Theological Centre of Qum at present has ten public libraries containing a total of half a million fine books on Islam, and their efficient librarians serve applicants day and night.

Applicants can easily and without formality or waste of time, make use of the books they wish to consult. Here is a brief account of these libraries which might be of use to those who desire to benefit from these important centres.

1) Fayziah Public Library

This is the oldest library of Qum’s Theological Centre, founded over half a century ago in 1349 H. by the founder of the centre, Ayatullah Haaeri. Founded in a small area with limited books, it expanded under Ayatullah Burujardi in its number of books, reading rooms, and in its storage capacity, undergoing fundamental changes. In recent years, under the supervision of the contemporary religious authorities, it has expanded, having a total collection of 30,000 books, most of which are on jurisprudence, basic Islamic laws, principles, commentary, history, and Persian and Arabic literature. Out of the above total, there are 3,000 valuable manuscripts, the index of which is published in two volumes by the library.

Among the manuscripts the following may be mentioned:

a) “Sharh-e-Tanqih al-Fosool Fee Ekhtesaar al-Mahsool” by Ahmad bin Idris Maleki, 8th Century Hijrah.

b) “Nahayat” by Ibn Aseer (8th Century H.).

c) Translation of “Ehya al-Oloom” in fine handwriting.

d) “Isteqsa al-E’tebaar Fee Sharh al-Istebsaar” published by Shaikh Tusi.

e) “Rowzat al-Ahbaab” of Furu-e-Kaafi in the handwriting of the late Majlisi.

f) “Hilyat al-Abraar” of Sayyed Hashem Bahrani.

g) “Al-Hujjat al-Baalegha”, written by a disciple of Mirza Shirazi.

h) “Jamaal al-Osboo’” in the writing of Mohaddis Qummi.

i) “Masaabeeh” of Sayyed Bahr al-Oloom.

j) “Hekmat Mote’alia” of Mulla Shamsa-e-Gilani, a rare copy.

k) “Sharh-e-Mafatih” of Haj Agha Baqer Behbahani.

l) “Awa’ed” of Naraqi in the writing of the author (original copy).

In view of the universal fame of Fayziah and the number of applicants and expectation of scholars, this library is in need of greater attention, for, at present, it does not meet the above needs.

2) Masjed A’azam Library

Next to Masjed A’azam, which is an eternal reminder of the late religious leader, Ayatullah Burujardi, a rich library was founded in 1850. This library has now over 65,000 books, of which 5,000 are valuable manuscripts. The simultaneity of founding the Mosque and library shows that in Islam a Mosque and centre of learning are inseparable and complement each other.

The late Ramezani, proprietor of Kolaieh-e-Khavar publications gifted 30,000 volumes from his collection to this library, and Ayatullah Farid Araki offered 2,450 volumes, thereby providing a rich collection to the library. It receives two thousand applicants daily, mostly from students, teachers and scholars.

3) Islamic Propagation Bureau Library

This extensive and well-equipped library was established in 1350 H. and consists of three storeys with modern equipment. It possesses over 50,000 volumes of both printed books and manuscripts in its own centre and branches (namely Ma’ehad al-Deraasat and Dar-o-Zahra), which are consulted by seekers of knowledge. Its lending section has issued over two thousand membership cards to applicants, most of whom are school students.

4) Library and Public Reading Rooms of Ayatullah Najafi Mar’ashi

Situated in Eram Avenue, opposite Mar’ashiah Theological Centre, this library consists of a four-storey building with an open space area of 2,500 meters. It is well equipped and contains valuable Islamic treasures. There are many facilities provided here for applicants including quiet reading rooms. Its numerous halls accommodate several hundred people, and the library now possesses over 200,000 books, of which 15,000 are manuscripts. So far, seven volumes of index have been published by the library for its books.

5) Hujjatia Library

This library was founded in 1371 H. in the last years of the late Ayatullah Hujjat in a corner of Hujjatiah School, and now possesses 15,000 books used by the students residing in that college. Unfortunately, as a result of an earthquake in Qum, the library suffered a deep chink so as to make it unusable at present. It is hoped that greater care will be taken of this centre of learning by college authorities to prevent the destruction of this valuable treasure.

6) Astaana-e-Muqaddasah Library

This library was founded almost at the same time as Hujjatia Library in 1370 H. in a corner of the courtyard of the Holy shrine of Masumah, and possesses over 25,000 varied volumes especially in the fields of history and literature.

7) Journal of Islamic School Library

This library has six thousand different volumes in its central bureau, used by editors, writers and other men of learning.

8) Ayatullah Gulpaygani Library

This library is situated in Safa’iah Avenue in the Safa’iah school’s building name, and has about 15,000 volumes, of which about two thousands are valuable manuscripts, and the library is frequented mostly by the college students.

9) Razaviah School Library

This school is one of the oldest in Qum, and has a useful library of about 5,000 volumes on various Islamic subjects, and it is used by the students and residents of the school and others.

10) Haqani School Library

This school is one of the centres for training young people in Islamic branches of knowledge. In its building, there is a general library for the use of its students and other applicants. It has about two thousand volumes.

## Main Difficulty

The above mentioned libraries are among those which are open to the public. Notwithstanding the effective role of these libraries as mentioned above, their main difficulty is the lack of a special fund, and they receive most of their books in the form of gifts. Consequently, they come to possess many copies of one volume, while the range of books as far as variety is concerned is limited.

It is therefore suggested that a central library should be established to supply other units with the copies of available books, and be granted a purchase fund to feed all the branches with new publications. This will prevent heaping of repeated copies and will enrich other units.

The administrative office of the Theological Centre and Islamic propaganda bureau could take steps for carrying out this proposal to achieve better results.

## The Centre’s Schools, a Cradle for Breeding Great Personalities

Without exaggeration, the Schools of the Theological Centre of Qum have been among the most brilliant institutions which train scholars and distinguished personalities in the world, and from those pleasant and simple rooms, virtuous and committed thinkers are delivered to the Islamic society.

There are many Schools and institutes in Qum, amounting to fifty, all of which have been founded by benevolent men with the guidance of religious authorities and intellectual and spiritual leaders of the Islamic world.

Some of these religious Schools are for young men, and some for girls and young women. The following are the names of some of these colleges and Schools:

1) Fayziah

2) Dar-e-Shafa

3) Hujjatiah

4) Settiah

5) Razaviah

6) Najaffiah

7) Mo’emeniah

8) Mahdiah

9) Muntazeriah Haqani

10) Gulpaygani

11) Khan school

12) Sa’adat

13) Vahidiah

14) Amellia

15) Imam Ameer al-Moameneen (a.s.)

16) Ma’ehad al-Derasat al-Islamiah

17) Maktab Tawheed

18) Maktab al-Mahdi (a.t.f.s.)

19) Dar-o-Zahra (s.a.)

20) Imam Sadiq (a.s.)

21) Jani-Khan

22) Haj Sayyed Sadiq

23) Madar Shah

24) Mahdi Gholi Khan

25) Haji

26) Husainiyyah

27) Sadeqiah

28) Isfahaniah

29) Resaalat

30) Ghadeeriyyah

31) Imam Sadiq (a.s.) (Tawheed Ave.)

32) High Judicial school

33) Haj Ghazanfari

34) Alavi

35) Shohabiah

## Qum’s Oldest Schools

Here, we will give a brief description of a few of these Schools:

1. Fayziah College

The building of this college belongs to the Safavid times. Situated next to the old courtyard of the shrine of Masumah, in Astanah square, the building is one of those constructed in the reign of Shah Tahmaseb, and used to be a small school until it was expanded in 1223 on the order of the then governor.

Above the southern portico of the school which leads to the old courtyard, there is an inscription bearing the name of the said governor. For that reason, all the subsequent construction works accomplished long after, were given the same name. The foundation stone is dated 934 H.

As for its name, ‘Fayziah’, it is said that since at one time Maula Mohsen Feyz Kashani, a great narrator and religious jurisprudent, son-in-law of Sadr al-Mota-allehin, used to reside in this school, this name was given to it.

The building was renewed in the Qajar time between 1213 and 1214, since the old one was in total ruin. On the porticos, there are poetical inscriptions composed by Fath Ali Khan Saba.

The School building was at first rectangular with 48 living rooms, until in the time of Ayatullah Haaeri, founder of the Theological Centre of Qum, owing to the increase in the number of students and a great need for dwellings, the upper stories were added to it. Recently more attention is being paid to it by contemporary religious authorities and it is now considered as the centre of all the religious Schools of Qum, having 100 rooms, with an accommodating capacity of 300.

## World Fame of Fayziah

Although Fayziah college has always enjoyed a rightful fame for the great personalities who have emerged from it, since Farvardin 1342, with the culmination of the Islamic Revolution led by the crusading Ulama and leaders of the centre, and because of the horrible crime committed by the commandos of the savage regime of Pahlavi, the news of which was reported throughout the world, it won even a greater universal fame. Since then Fayziah has become the centre of Islamic revolutions and liberation movements of oppressed nations.

Many people confuse Fayziah with the Theological Centre, whereas Fayziah is one of the many Schools of the Theological Centre, except that owing to its historical precedence, it is considered as the centre of other Schools. The main reason for its fame is that the first opposition to dictatorship began there, and the banner of this opposition was carried by the students residing there, and its pavements were smeared with their blood on 2nd Farvardin 1342 which coincided with the martyrdom anniversary of the founder of Islamic School, namely Imam Sadiq (a.s.), and the building received much damage.

In its life-span of three hundred years, Fayziah was vacant for some years and no voice was heard from it, until with divine favour, it resumed its activity and won a greater fame than ever.

2. Dar-o-Shafa School

Another of Qum’s oldest school is Dar-o-Shafa adjoining Fayziah School. It is said that this school used to be a hospital for the Holy shrine until in the time of the founder of the Theological Centre; a number of rooms were added on two storeys, possessing 80 rooms and accommodating 250 people.

3. Settiah School or Bayt al-Noor

The Reverend Masumah, daughter of the seventh Imam, on arriving at Qum, resided in the house of Moosa bin Khazraj, and stayed there in her sickness until her death. That house is still honoured as her residence, and the place she used as an altar for her devotions is still preserved. Round it, a beautiful and magnificent Mosque is built, having a number of rooms for students, and has been given the name of Settia. It is so-called because it is apparently an abbreviation for ‘Sayyedeti Fatemah’ meaning ‘dear lady Faatemah’, a word of endearment used by the people for Masumah as a noble and fine lady.

Ali Asghar Faqihi, a historian of Qum, writes: “Setti seems to be an abbreviation of Sayyedeti, and the people of Qum as well as some writers of the Safavid period called Masumah, ‘Setti Faatemah’, and today her place of devotion is called Settiah.”

Mustawfi describes Masumah as follows in his history: “A daughter of Moosa al-Kazem (a.s.), Setti Faatemah, is buried in Qum.”

In addition to these two historians, another writer of the old history of Qum namely Hasan bin Muhammad bin Hasan Qummi, a scholar of the fourth century and a pupil of Shaikh Saduq, in his valuable book (written in 378 H.) written on the order of Saheb bin Ebad, a minister of Aal-e-Buyeh dynasty in twenty chapters (showing that Qum enjoyed a greater importance and magnificence at that time) repeatedly speaks of Faatemah Masumah as ‘Setti Faatemah’ in pages 213, 214 and 215. For the same reason Shaikh Abdul Jalil Razi writes in his book, ‘Al-Naghz’: “The purity and blessing of the shrine of Setti, daughter of Moosa bin Ja’far (a.s.), are believed by all men, including rulers and ministers to bring charities and abundance upon all, as they have heard and seen.” So this name is based upon that belief, revealing the people’s love for that noble lady.

4. Razaviah School

This school which has received its name from Imam Reza (a.s.), and is greatly honoured for bearing such a name, is one of the oldest Schools of the Theological Centre, and many stories are told of Imam Reza (a.s.)’s visit to it. It used to be an intermediate school with 24 rooms, some of which were pulled down for constructing Azar Avenue, until in the time of Ayatullah Burujardi; it began to expand once more, having 40 rooms and a well-equipped general library. In recent years, the martyred scholar Murtaza Mutahhari used to have his philosophy classes there every Thursday.

5. Khan School

This school is situated on the east side of Astanah square, next to the market of Khan Passage. It was built by the late Mahdi Gholi Khan in 1123 H. and until a few years ago it was in a state of ruin. It was then re-built in three storeys on the order of Ayatullah Burujardi, and now it possesses sixty well-equipped rooms on a site of 780 meters, accommodating 150 people.

6. Hujjatiah School

This is one of the largest Schools of the Theological Centre, founded by the late Ayatullah Sayyed Muhammad Hujjat Koh Karami.

In 1364, he bought the park belonging to Kamran Mirza, son of Naseer al-Deen Shah, for students’ residence, and on 20th of Jamaadi al-Saani, the first foundation stone was laid to start construction of the school’s building by the river side. Today, it has become one of the best and largest Schools, having six sections of two storeys, with 126 fine sunny rooms, well-lit and hygienic. It has a fine library which was described in the last chapter.

## Best Wishes

This was a brief account of some of the Schools of the Theological Centre of Qum. But recently many modern, well equipped Schools have been founded by a number of benevolent and learned men, to describe which necessitates a separate volume and is beyond the scope of this book.

Divinity students are engaged in studying these books spending their youth for years in their quest for knowledge and service to Islam, paying a trivial sum for their tuition and receiving a meagre allowance after passing certain examinations.

This single rooms of the divinity Schools are considered by the students as the best apartments and dwellings, so that even after graduation many of them in spite of having their own houses, long to return to these rooms, since the life here is mingled with the sweetness of the pursuit of knowledge, and those days are never forgotten.

## Commendable Living Conditions

What is often worthy of notice in connection with the Theological Centre of Qum is that today, with God’s help, most of these divinity Schools enjoy fine hygienic living conditions owing to the care bestowed on them by benevolent men and the endeavours of their directors and zealous endeavours of the Theological Centre. These places are no longer the damp and gloomy rooms of the past, and their conditions are by no means comparable with those of the past. And this is only a harbinger to an even more promising future.

## An Example of Those Trained in These Schools

In the year when this book was being written, the Theological Centre of Qum lost one of its most brilliant personalities, namely the great scholar Tabatabai, whose death has had a deep effect on the centre’s foundation, and left the mist of sorrow on the faces of its students and masters. Therefore, as this and other Islamic centres in the world are indebted so deeply to him, this part of the book will be devoted to a description of his life and services, and an account of the intellectual transformation effected by him will be postponed to a future opportunity.

Chapter Seventeen

# Scholar Tabatabai: A Pioneer of Intellectual and Philosophical Transformation in the World of Islam

The world of thought and learning has witnessed many changes during various periods, the reaction of which has been reflected in the world. The Islamic world has been so accustomed to such changes that it has added a separate chapter to its wide culture, which chapter in the golden history of Islam has been entitled “The innovators and pioneers of learning and religion.”



## Revivers of Thought

Revivers of learning and religion in every period have offered new ideas in various intellectual, scientific, social, political and religious fields, bringing deep transformations to safeguard the culture and authentic needs of society, thereby opening a fresh chapter before the Muslims and the world as a whole. Each of these pioneers has had a separate history and a different destiny making course which is both valuable and instructive.

Among Islamic thinkers, (not to mention renown experts in Islamic branches of knowledge such as jurisprudence, commentary, literature etc. each of whom has made a name for himself), can be mentioned the names of Imam Muhammad Ghazzaali (505 H.), Abd al-Rahman bin Khaldoon (780 H.), Khwaajah Naseer al-Deen Tusi, Feyz Kashani (1090 H.), Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi (1314 H.) Iqbal Lahori (1357 H.), Shaikh Muhammad Abdoh (1323 H.), Sayyed Rashid Reza (1354 H.), martyred scholar Murtaza Mutahhari (1400 H.) and many others, each of whom has taken effective steps in his own time with attention to the spirit of the society and its intellectual and spiritual needs to produce a revival which has been outstanding in the history of Islam.

The late master and great philosopher of the east and commentator of the Quran, Sayyed Muhammad Hasan Tabatabai is a fine and worthy example of this group, effecting noteworthy changes in the contemporary period as a reviver of thought and reformer. It can be correctly stated that his contribution was greater and more extensive than other great men of the past, in reviving Islam and rendering a great service to it.

## A Zealous Personality

Scholar Tabatabai possessed remarkable and extraordinary efficiency. His modest spirit, brightness of heart and inquisitive mind, blended with pure Islamic Gnosticism and his homely breeding made of him such a personality in those days as to enable him to benefit from the rays of knowledge and light of revelation and prophethood. He became so familiar with the profound thoughts of Islamic and eastern thinkers and philosophers that he himself eventually became a pioneer and model of learning, Gnosticism and occult sciences.

The true worth of Tabatabai’s work lies in the fact that he engaged in the teaching and interpretation of the Holy Quran at a time when the Holy Quran had been sadly neglected in theological centres, and anyone wishing to undertake such a task, had to forsake all social privileges and the advantages accompanying these and sacrifice himself in the way of God’s words. He did exactly this in a period when the significance of the task was concealed to many. He began the teaching and investigation of philosophy and metaphysics at a time when the world of Islam in its confrontation with western philosophy badly needed true divine philosophy and metaphysics. The majority of people did not only neglect this matter, but were also hostile to anyone who wished to save them and meet their needs. He followed his task without any pretension, material or immaterial expectation, qualities peculiar to honest and true men of learning and thought.

He engaged himself in educating disciples who were thirsty for Islamic teachings, and trained such devoted, sincere and distinguished students without desiring fame and rank. He himself declared in a gathering of his pupils who in the Hujjatiah School insisted on his using the pulpit and loud speakers: “The gentlemen want me to use two things, a lofty place and a loud voice, and I am averse to both.” This remark was not for the sake of affectation or ostentation. He was not only averse to a high office and talking loudly, but also to high, superficial positions and a worldly reputation, all of which are sought eagerly by many who lack virtue.

## Transformation in Philosophy and Metaphysics

The changes and innovation brought about by scholar Tabatabai in the Theological Centre become clear when we see his determination in defending Islamic philosophical ideas against materialistic thoughts which were flooding Islamic and eastern lands. Enlightened men of learning were all disarmed. They wanted Islamic east to adopt the spiritual and material ways of the westerners. He fully sacrificed himself, stood peerlessly against this torrent, and preserved the identity and authenticity of Islamic spiritual teaching in the way of an ideal which was neither eastern nor western, neither Greek nor Roman. He laid such a strong foundation for Islamic and eastern philosophy that he could fight imported philosophy, and with the ideas of Mulla Sadra and Islamic thoughts, he challenged the westerners. His profound vision and futuristic attitude, typical of men of faith and those devoted to the Prophet’s household, helped him immensely in this combat.

At a time when the ignorant criticised philosophy and philosophers, he did not only remove heretical and materialistic ideas from the atmosphere of Islamic east, but also succeeded in opening the way for western thinkers to Islamic thought, and familiarize orientalists with the spiritualism of Shi’ism.

He always sought to dig a new tunnel towards light and bring the material world back to the spiritual world of Islam. In this difficult task, philosophy and divine wisdom were his tools; he always held a universal outlook about the world. He was a gnostic whose delicate thoughts were in some cases more effective than philosophy. He was a peerless master of jurisprudence, principles and ethics.

One of the most outstanding manifestations of the intellectual change and revolution he brought about resulted from his interest in comparative philosophy of which he was a pioneer and he began to compare western ideas with Islamic philosophy, successfully revealing the superiority of Islamic ideas in the years, 1320-1330. Standing as an impenetrable wall against the poisonous waves of propaganda, he checked the spread and onslaught of heretical ideas, and in this objective, he was aided by his staunch disciples such as martyred scholar Mutahhari in his footnotes on “Principles of Philosophy and Method of Realism,” and scholar Naseer Makaarim, in a description of pseudo philosophers showed that those who boasted to be more objective and realistic, are more involved with their own confused subjectivity.

## Famous Students

One of his great endeavours was directed at training fine men of learning, and this proved to be a divine favour in the service of change and revolution.

It has become customary in religious circles not to mention living figures, in accordance to this old saying that a good living person and a bad dead one do not exist. This attitude has inflicted irreparable damage on our society, an objective example of which may be seen in the martyrdom of Ayatullah Mutahhari and other great men with whom the society was not quite familiar. I have tried impartially and without any ultra-motive, and by accepting the unpleasant consequence of this wrong psychological phenomenon, to break up this tradition by committing the unforgivable sin of naming some of his distinguished disciples. In this brief glance, we meet worthy figures that have brought change and progress to the revolutionary society of Iran, each within his own limit. Ranking first among these men, we can mention scholar Murtaza Mutahhari, scholar Ayatullah Muntazeri, scholar Dr. Muhammad Mofatteh, Martyred scholar Dr. Sayyed Muhammad Husain Beheshti, Martyred scholar Ali Quddoosi, scholar Jawaadi Amoli, scholar Ansari, scholar Naaser Makaarim Shirazi, and scholar Ja’far Subhaani.

He gifted to the Islamic society such disciples, each of whom played his role in theory or practice as far as the Islamic Revolution of Iran was concerned. In the east, particularly Iran, from the viewpoint of the revolutionary thinkers of today his role has been deep and wide.

## A Great Commentator of the Holy Quran

This scholar was a pupil of the Holy Quran, a companion of Gnosticism, and master of philosophy. He was a teacher of teachers, and instructor of Holy Quranic instructors of the Ummah. He has inscribed his exalted personality on each leaf of his fruitful life by his efforts and labour and his lofty spirit is felt in each line of his books, in his study circle, and in the mental set up of his pupils, each of whom has been a pillar of the Revolution. The extent of his influence may be seen on the realm of thought of all thinkers. At the forefront of his works is ‘Al-Meezan’, a commentary which may be found in every room and every library where Islamic and Holy Quranic teachings are sought. This twenty volume book has found its way to all Islamic countries. Tabatabai has interpreted the Holy Quran in his ‘Al-Meezan’ by means of the Holy Quran itself, and it is a contribution which is the most outstanding and comprehensive of its kind among all commentaries since early Islam. It illustrates the greatness of its kind among all commentaries since early Islam. It illustrates the greatness of its author as a commentator of the Holy Quran, so much so that it overshadows his mastery of other branches of Islamic knowledge. His life reflected his extraordinary qualities.

## Tabatabai’s Autobiography

After being acquainted with this scholar’s role in bringing about an intellectual transformation in the Theological Centre of Qum, it would be fitting to have a glance at his autobiography as well as a biography written by one of his disciples.

## Autobiography:

“I was born in Tabrez in the year 1281 of the solar year in an educated family. I lost my mother at the age of five, and my father at the age of nine. As we were not poor, our guardian did not disturb the condition of our (mine and my younger brother’s) life. We were placed under the care of a maid and a man-servant. A short time after my father’s death, we were sent to an old-fashioned class (maktab) and then to a school and eventually placed under a private tutor who came to our house. Thus we spent about six years on Persian and elementary education.

“In those days, there was no definite program for elementary education. I only remember that between 1290 and 1296, I read the Holy Quran which was more commonly read than other books, and Sa’adi’s ‘Gulistan’ and ‘Bustan’, ‘Arabic Vocabulary in Persian Rhyme, Ethics,’ of Anwar Sohaili, ‘Mo’jam History’, ‘Literary Compositions’ of Ameer Nezam, and “Guide-book to Arithmetic.”

“In 1297, I joined the divinity and Arabic courses and continued reading texts until 1304. During these seven years, I read in detail the books of Amsela, Mir and Tasrif on grammar; Awaamel, Onmuzage, Samaddiah, Suyuti, Jaami and Mughni on Syntax; Motawwal on rhetoric; Sharh-e-Lum’a and Makaaseb on jurisprudence, Kubra, Hashia and Sharh-e-Sharnsia on logic; Sharh-e-Esharat on philosophy; Kashf al-Morad on scholastic theology. Thus the courses of texts (excluding philosophy and Gnosticism) were completed.

“In 1304, I left for Najaf to continue my studies and attended the study circle of the late Ayatullah Shaikh Muhammad Husain Isfahani, and studied higher principles for around six years. For four years, I attended the higher jurisprudence classes of this same person, and for eight years, I attended the higher jurisprudence classes of Ayatullah Naini. For a short while, I attended the higher jurisprudence class of the late Ayatullah Sayyed Abul Hasan Isfahani. For general biography, I joined the class of the late Ayatullah Hujjat Koh Kamari.

For philosophy I attended the class of the famous sage and philosopher, the late Sayyed Husain Badkubi, and during my six years there, I read ‘Manzumah’ of Sabzavari, ‘Asfaar’ and ‘Masha’er’ of Mulla Sadra, ‘Shafaa’ of Ibn Seena and ‘Athologia’ and ‘Tamid’ of Ibn Turka, and ‘Ethics’ of Ibn Maskuya.

As the late Badkubi was interested in my education, to acquaint me with reasoning method and reinforce my philosophical talent, he suggested that I should study mathematics. As per his suggestion I attended the class of Sayyed Abul Qasim Khunsaari, born mathematician and took a course in rational arithmetic.

In 1314, owing to financial difficulties, I had to return to my home town of Tabrez, where I stayed for over ten years. This period was a time of mental loss for me since I had to obtain a livelihood (by farming) and because of the need for social contact, I had little chance for teaching and reflection, and I was mentally tortured.

In 1325, I left my home town for the Theological Centre of Qum and resided there, resuming my study, and staying till 1341.

Naturally, everyone experiences the pleasure and bitterness, ugliness and beauty of life, and has some memories. I too, had many ups and downs, especially as I have spent most of my life either as an orphan or a stranger in surroundings, far from friends, or in indigence and other hardships. But I always felt that an invisible hand saved me from a dangerous fall, and a mysterious force dragged me out of barriers and directed me towards my destination. As the poet says:

‘If I am a thorn, or a flower it does not matter; because there is a grower of flower adorning the field. I am nourished by the hand that makes me grow.’

At the beginning of my studies, when I learnt grammar and syntax, I had no great interest in studying. So I did not understand what I read. Four years passed in this way, and then I was suddenly blessed with God’s favour, and felt a deep love and zeal for learning so that from that moment to the end of my studies which lasted 17 years, I never felt any fatigue or weariness or discouragement in my task of learning and thinking. I forgot the world’s beauty and ugliness, and ignored its sweetness and bitterness. I abandoned my contact with those who had no interest in knowledge, and contented myself with the minimum of comfort, and devoted myself wholly to learning. Very often, especially in spring and summer, I kept awake the whole night studying until sunrise, to prepare the next day’s work. If I came across a problem, I did my best to solve it. When I attended a class, I was already clear about what the teacher was going to discuss, and never referred to him to solve my problem.

Some of the works which I prepared during my studies in Najaf are as follows:

* A treatise on reasoning
* A treatise on paralogism
* A treatise on analysis
* A treatise on synthesis
* A treatise on credible matters (man-made ideas)
* A treatise on predictions and dreams.

The following works were prepared at the time of residence in Tabrez:

* A treatise on the proof of essence
* A treatise on attributes and qualities
* A treatise on power and action
* A treatise on deeds
* A treatise on intermediaries between God and man.
* A treatise on man before birth.
* A treatise on man in the world
* A treatise on man in the hereafter.
* A treatise on guardianship
* A treatise on prophethood

(The above treatise are based on a comparison of reasoning and tradition)

* A book on the genealogical tree of the Tabatabai family in Azerbaijan
* A description of Persian Nastaliq Calligraphy

The following are the works written during the residence at Qum:

* Commentary of Al-Meezan in 20 volumes
* Principles of philosophy (realistic method) in 5 volumes, (a comparison of eastern and western philosophers).
* Annotation on ‘Kefaayat al-Usul’.
* Annotation on Mulla Sadra’s ‘Asfaar’ in 9 volumes.
* Revelation or mysterious intelligence.
* Two treatises on Islamic Guardianship and Rule (in Persian and Arabic).
* Interviews of 1388 with Professor Corbin, the French Orientalist, in one volume (Re-published, entitled “Shia”).
* Interviews held in 1339 and 1340 with Ayatullah Tabatabai, entitled “A treatise on Shi’ism in the world of today.”
* A treatise on miracles.
* Ali (a.s.) and divine philosophy (In Arabic and Persian)
* Shi’ism in Islam
* The Holy Quran in Islam
* A collection of articles, questions, and answers, various scientific and philosophical discussions published in the journals of ‘School of Shi’ism’, ‘School of Islam’, and ‘Guide to Books’.
* The Prophet’s traditions with a translation published recently in 400 pages by Muhammad Hadi Fiqhi.

## Views of the Great Men of Jurisprudence on Scholar Tabatabai

The Imam of Ummah and Leader of the Revolution in a meeting expressed the following view concerning this great loss of learning and thought:

“I must express my deep regret for this loss to the Theological Centres and Muslims, namely the death of scholar Tabatabai, and offer my condolences to you Iranian nation and especially the theological centres. May God associate him with the servants of Islam and Imams of Islam, and grant fortitude to his descendants and heirs and disciples.”

## Office of Ayatullah Gulpaygani

“When a man of learning departs this world, an irreparable gap appears in Islam.”

“The divine scholar and eternal philosopher, the great commentator Ayatullah Tabatabai who spent his fruitful life in the service of Islam, has passed away and joined the lofty heaven. His everlasting works and valuable writings especially his noble ‘Al-Meezan’ are a symbol of his learned personality and his endeavour in the propagation of Holy Quranic teachings and his guidance of the present generation. We offer our condolences for this irreparable loss to the Holy threshold of the Guardian of Time, Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), and exalted religious leaders, as well as theological centres and all Muslims.”

## Ayatullah Najafi Mar’ashi

“When a man of learning departs this world, an irreparable gap appears in Islam.”

“I regret to announce this irreparable loss to the world of learning and Iranian nation namely the death of the divine scholar, lofty commentator, great philosopher of the east, noble master of the theological centres of Qum and Holy Najaf, author of the unique ‘Al-Meezan’ and other precious works, the scholar Ayatullah Haj Sayyed Muhammad Husain Tabatabai, and offer my condolences to the Guardian of Time, Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), and his noble heirs and household and his disciples.”

Shohab al-Deen Husaini Najafi Mar’ashi

## Ayatullah Sayyed Abdullah Shirazi

“Ayatullah scholar Tabatabai was a great learned personality who succeeded in effecting a transformation in all learned circles with his views and erudite works. He was a brilliant figure among the noble personalities of the Shi’a world, and a profound thinker in Islam who spent his life on the propagation of Islamic teachings, commentary of the Holy Quran, and authentic culture of the sacred school of the Prophet’s household. He never neglected a moment in mastering Islamic branches of knowledge and training scholars and Islamic thinkers until he departed for the eternal world. He lived happily and died happily.

“The departure of such learned and Islamic personalities is always and especially at this times an irreparable damage when all Islamic societies are in a great need of his fine personality. We are from God and we return to Him.

“I offer my condolences to the threshold of Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), and the crusading Muslim nation of Iran, and the household of this lost Islamic personality, and declare formal mourning in Mashhad, and suspension of work there on 18th Muharram 1402, equivalent of 24th Aban 1360.”

Sayyed Abdullah Shirazi

## Ayatullah Muntazeri, the Exalted Jurisprudent

“In the name of Almighty God. We are from God and we return to Him.

“I announce the passing away of the great scholar, philosopher and exalted commentator, Ayatullah Tabatabai:

“He was truly a divine treasure and pride of the Islamic world and his position as an exalted learned philosopher at the theological centres is not hidden from anyone. The loss of such a distinguished personality is to be deeply regretted. All those who are devoted to virtue and knowledge, and all the Ulama are expected to honour the memory of this great master and familiarize people with his ideas and works.

“I offer my condolences to the Guardian of Time, Imam Mahdi (a.t.f.s.), and to the great leader of the Revolution, to theological centres, and his honourable heirs and household.

“I pray God for blessing and exalted spiritual rank for him, and fortitude and reward for his heirs.”

Qum, Husain-Ali Muntazeri 24/8/60

Chapter Eighteen

# Publications of Qum’s Theological Centre

Publication is one of the most important activities of the Theological Centre of Qum in view of the part played by the pen and book in enlightening public mind and guiding people, and these are the goals of the centre.

This centre is considered as one of the most fruitful in the world, as far as quality and quantity of publications are concerned, on different fields of knowledge and topics, and this in itself is significant in view of present conditions.

Now, in the year 1361, which is the fourth spring of the Islamic Revolution of Iran, it would be fitting to make a brief survey on the activities of Qum’s Theological Centre. In spite of the importance of this subject, hitherto, unfortunately adequate attention has not been paid to it by Islamic writers, whereas such an attention would lead to an increase in the quantity and quality of what is produced to meet the needs of a progressive and revolutionary society, satisfying its spiritual and intellectual aspirations and expectations.

## 300 Books in 16 Years

A talented Islamic writer, Ali Davani, published some statistics after the death of Ayatullah Burujardi concerning the publications of Qum’s Theological Centre during his 16 years’ direction of the centre, and he has stated that during this period, about 300 books were published on various topics. In a book entitled, “The Movement of Authorship, writing and translation in Qum’s Theological Centre” on the biography of Ayatullah Burujardi, he writes:

“According to our investigation in this field we have gathered statistics that during the religious leadership of Ayatullah Burujardi, over 300 books on jurisprudence, principles, logic, philosophy, commentary, tradition, religious personalities, refutation of various creeds and materialistic Schools, Persian and Arabic literature have been written by the scholars of the Theological Centre, some of which are in manuscript and others have been printed and published.

“Some of these books have been printed several times in several thousand copies, and if we take two thousand copies as an average of each book, we can say that during Ayatullah Burujardi’s directorship of the centre, 346,000 copies of various books have been offered to the public.”

At the end of his article, introducing published books, he speaks praisingly of the valuable ‘Al-Meezan’, “Principles of Philosophy and Method of Realism” by scholar Tabatabai, with fine footnotes by martyred scholar Mutahhari, ‘Journal of the School of Islam”, and “School of Shi’ism”. This is a useful book to study for a better understanding of the social spirit and scientific needs of that time.

## Wide Range of Subjects

Now that twenty years have passed since that time, the publications of the Theological Centre have increased greatly in both the range of subjects and the quality of the contents. Many books have been published on different topics and in a large number of copies, some of which are as many as over one million copies, and the average number of copies for each book is five thousands.

## Regular Publications of the Centre

It would be interesting here to mention a few of the regular publications of the centre, in spite of the political ups and downs it has been subjected to and interruptions in it’s functioning. We use the word ‘regular’ on account of the number of issues published. They are as follows:

1. ‘Journal of the School of Islam’

This journal faced political and financial difficulties from the very beginning, and has been censored or stopped several times. This dignified monthly is now (1361) in its twenty-second year of publication and has been so far published in a large number of copies ranging to several millions. It has been well received by the Muslim and enlightened nation of Iran and the issues published in a period of 21 years make an Islamic encyclopaedia. It continues its life with the support of its devoted readers and aid of God. It does not serve the interests of any special group whether it is intellectual or administrative.

2. ‘School of Shi’ism’

Another regular publication of the Theological Centre was the ‘School of Shi’ism’ which was published through efforts of martyred Dr. Beheshti, martyred Dr. Bahonar and Hujjatul Islam Hashemi Rafsanjani, as an annual and quarterly journal, even though its life was short owing to the emigration, banishment or imprisonment of its directors. It contained useful and valuable articles by the masters of the Theological Centre and committed professors of Tehran University.

3. ‘Ja’fari Teachings’

Another regular publication was ‘Ja’fari Teachings’ which was published for several years by a staunch scholar of the centre. It contained useful articles by the learned men of the centre and was a quarterly journal.

4. ‘Al-Hadi’ is published in Arabic containing articles by the scholars of Qum’s Theological Centre and other centres of various countries.

5. Survey on the press

6. New Generation

7. Message of Joy

8. Muslim child

9. Publications by an institute named, ‘In the way of God’.

10. Muslim women

Recently, the monthly journal, ‘Guard of Islam,’ has been published in large numbers of copies by a group of masters of the centre, containing useful articles. So far 9 issues have been published.

## Press Units

In addition to regular publications, a number of press units have been established in the Qum’s centre most of which are the works of learned men trained at the Theological Centre of Qum, a list of which is given below:

1. Publications of teacher’s society, consisting of hundreds of books in millions of copies.

2. Publications of Ismailia, with 50 books on Islamic topics and authentic references.

3. Publications of Daar al-Ilm, with 40 books on various subjects.

4. Publications of Daar al-Fikr, with 48 books.

5. Publications of former Islamic Daar al-Tabligh, known today as Islamic Propaganda Bureau of Imam, with 138 books up to now.

6. Younger Generation (Nasl-e-Javan), with 54 books for young people.

7. Hadaf press, with 17 books.

8. Publications of Payam Islam (Message of Islam), with 84 books.

9. Publications of Mustafavi, with 104 books.

10. Publications of Shafaq, with 102 books for children and young people.

11. ‘Dar Raah-e-Haq’ Institute (In the way of God), with 50 ideological books.

12. Publication Bureau of Navid-e-Islam (Tidings of Islam), with 25 books.

13. Publications of Naser, with 20 ideological books.

14. Publications of Tabatabai, with 52 books.

15. Publications of Sadra, with 35 books.

16. Publications of Tawheed-o-Bunya, with 20 books.

17. Publications of Basirati, with 43 books.

18. Publications of Hijrat, with 26 books.

19. Publications of the library of Ayatullah Najafi Mar’ashi, with 25 books.

20. Publications of Rooh (Spirit) with 40 books on religious guidance.

21. Book exhibition and publications, with 50 books on religious guidance.

The above figures are related to the end of 1360 and beginning of 1361. Since then many other books have been printed and published by the above units. The total of the above publications the index of which are at hand, is 1018 volumes, and if another 200 books, which have been published privately by individual authors, and the books whose index are not at hand are added to the above figure, we get a total of over 1200. If we estimate an average of 5000 copies for each book, we get a total of 6 million copies which is a considerable figure. But as some of these books are published at several hundred thousand and even a million copies each (such as scholar Mutahhari’s books) the figure rises to tens of millions copies.

## In Memory of the Martyrs of Qum’s Theological Centre

The blood of sanguine-shrouded martyrs sowed the seed of the glorious Islamic Revolution of Iran, irrigated it, and it grew with the red rays of the sun of martyrdom and became fruitful in the life-giving breeze of martyrdom’s essence. In this sanguinary course, all the martyrs were lovers of one faith and followers of one religion, and everyone was equal. All people including university professors and young undergraduates, teachers and students, workers and employees, housewives and children, physicians and engineers, scholars and Ulama, followed the same path and welcomed death for the same goal. These life-inspiring deaths continued with the progress of the Revolution, and took victims from each group and class, so that if in this section of the book we deal with the memory of the Theological Centre’s martyrs or martyred Ulama, it is because this book is devoted to matters related to this centre.

Among men of faith, there have been personalities who have acted most sincerely according to their divine pact, and some of them have joined God, while others are waiting to do so.

One of the particularities of the Islamic Revolution of Iran has been the effective presence of men of learning and religion, virtuous thinkers, and committed and alert Ulama on the scene of politics and combat. It is one of the important achievements of Islam to call one and all to the scene of endeavour and crusade.

The effective presence and noticeable activity of the committed Ulama and men of learning and chastity on the social, political and cultural scenes has been an invaluable contribution, and its importance becomes more evident when we see self-sacrificing and worthy divinity teachers and students in the battle front of honour and virtue and witness their efforts and self-sacrifice.

In the combat of Islam against atheism, and confrontation with the devilish forces of atheistic super-powers, and in the Holy crusade in which our country is at present engaged, no day passes without seeing the fields of battle smeared by the blood of the learned and virtuous.

Naturally, we value the devotions, efforts and self-sacrifices of all our fighters from every class of our Muslim nation and honour their memory. But at the same time, with perfect shame for our failure in embracing martyrdom, we must confess that a biography of these dear crusaders is beyond the scope of a chapter in this book or even ten separate books. This is especially so since the whole land of Islam is the noblest book and manifestation of their heroism and sacrifices.

Therefore, mentioning the names of the martyrs of the Theological Centre and honouring memory of these men of learning may be an answer to the ill-omened voice of the enemies of Islam, and to the calculated plots of the opponents of the Islamic Revolution and Ulama, enemies whose arrows are aimed, at these motivating revolutionary forces of the movement. Every day, they level fresh charges against these groups of devotees, who are anti-colonization and anti-exploitation. They are pioneers of sanguine Shi’ism throughout history, a line of men who are descended from the renowned first, second and third martyrs. In the last hundred years, alone, more than hundreds of the Ulama have been martyred in the way of Islam and the Islamic society. Shaikh Fazlullah Noori, Sayyed Hasan Modarress, Sayyed Jamaal al-Deen Asadabadi, Mirza Muhammad Khiabani, Seqatul Islam and after the victory of the Revolution such martyrs as scholar Mutahhari, Dr. Mofatteh, Dr. Beheshti, and Dr. Muhammad Bahonar, etc. In one period alone, three hundred and thirteen religious experts and scholars have given their lives in the way of God and for the sake of Islam.

The Ulama and anti-colonial and anti-despotic pioneers have always been a model and a source of inspiration to other combatants, and no page of the history of the crusades of Islamic societies and nations has ever lacked the glory and blessings of these precious elements in their Islamic struggles against injustice and tyranny. In the most critical periods of history, it has been the Ulama who have risen to the aid of oppressed and deprived nations, and have roused them to rebellion against tyrannical colonisers.

Chapter Nineteen

# Other Theological Centres of Iranian Cities

Now that owing to the glorious Islamic Revolution of Iran, a great transformation has taken place in the affairs of theological centres, religious authorities have decided to pay greater attention to provincial centres in order to provide them with the same facilities available to the Qum’s centre. It has also been decided to check the unbalanced centralization in Qum’s centre, and see to the fair distribution of privileges among all centres, so that all of them can benefit from these Islamic and intellectual advantages, enabling them to play an active part in the performance of their mission. It is, therefore, fitting to throw a glance at other centres to win a greater attention to them especially by the authorities of Qum’s centre in order to secure a greater assistance for the revival of those half-forgotten centres.

The worth and importance of these centres lie in the fact that they serve as the foundation of the main centre, and can provide preliminary training to the students, who can be then despatched to Qum for more advanced studies.

1. Mashhad’s Theological Centre

Next to Qum’s centre, this centre is the most renown in Iran. Situated in the vicinity of the shrine of the eighth Imam, Ali-bin Moosa al-Reza (a.s.), it contains a valuable treasure of books. Of course, this centre is older than Qum’s and was established about a thousand years ago. There are also other centres in the province of Khorasaan, but Mashhad’s centre, owing to its proximity to the Holy shrine, is considered as the main one of that province. It has about five thousand divinity students studying various branches of Islamic knowledge under great masters and teachers.

## Religious Schools

In Mashhad, there are 20 divinity Schools some of which had a reputation in the past, but they have fallen into abeyance since the reconstruction of the districts neighbouring the shrine. Some of them are however being revived and improved.

Among these Schools we may name the following:

Nawab school, Abbas Gholi Khan,

Mirza Ja’far, Khirat Khan (which are run on a traditional basis).

Milani, High Theological School of Husaini (which function as modern Schools)

Several girls’ Schools including Narjisiyya, Ismatiyya, etc. in which about one thousand girls study

2. Tehran’s Theological Centre

Although Tehran has about fifty divinity Schools, most of them are non-residential and in a state of ruin. There are, however, some flourishing ones including the following:

High college of Shahid Mutahhari, Marvi, Sadr, Haj Abul Fath, Shaikh Abdul Husain, all of which are used by students.

In Tehran there are no regular girls’ divinity Schools, and this is something which must be attended to.

Altogether, Tehran’s Theological Centre has about 1500 students at various levels of study, in better working conditions than before. There are also advanced courses.

3. Isfahan’s Theological Centre

This centre has had a long record and now it possesses over a thousand divinity students in various fields. It has many Schools, some of which are deserted, while the rest are active. Most of these Schools are run on a traditional basis and their names are as follows:

Chahaar Bagh, Sadr Bazar, Jadda Buzurg, Jadda Kuchak, Mulla Abdullah, Darb-e-Kushk, Turk’ha, Mirza Husain Mir Ahmadi, Majdia, Naaseria, Nuria, Kasseh-Garan, Shaikh Muhammad Ali, Arabha, Sadr Khaju, Muhammad Hashem.

The following Schools provide a similar curriculum:

Zulfeqaria, Imam Sadiq (a.s.), and Tawhid Cultural Centre

There are also a number of girls’ Schools in Isfahan which are very active.

The courses provided are at various levels including advanced courses.

4. Tabrez Theological Centre

This centre has several divinity Schools, the most well-known of which are the following:

Talebia, Saadeqia, Hasan Pasha, and Haj Safar Ali

Recently, the high college of Wali-e-Asr has been established there. These Schools have a total of 550 students.

Formerly, this centre held a greater reputation, and it is now gradually regaining its previous fame. It is taking steps to progress. Its literary and preliminary courses are particularly commendable.

5. Shiraz Theological Centre

Nearby to the Khan School of Shiraz, there is a School in which it is said, the famous philosopher Mulla Sadra used to teach, revealing the antiquity and importance of the Shiraz centre. Though this centre is not as flourishing as before, it has 300 divinity students and ten active Schools and two abandoned ones.

These divinity Schools are as follows:

Khan school, Agha Baba Khan, Moghimia, Hakim, Mansooria Ghavam, Ameer al-Moameneen, Imamzade Ibrahim all of which are well managed, there are also several active girls’ Schools.

6. Yazd Theological Centre

After 20th Shahrivar and the exile of Reza Khan, this centre began its activity under Ayatullah Shaikh Ghulam Reza Faqih Khorasaani, with the permission of the Najaf authorities. After the death of Ayatullah Burujardi, about one hundred students from Yazd were engaged in studying at Najaf and Qum. After the Revolution, the Schools of Yazd were repaired and expanded by the martyred Ayatullah Saduqi. Now there are 300 divinity students in Yazd and another 300 in Qum and Mashhad.

In many towns of this province, there are also Schools which are mostly used in summer, a total of 15 Schools.

There is a girls’ school, named Ismattiyya or Maktab al-Zahra, founded four years ago, having a hundred students.

Tarz-Jan, 35 kilometres away from Yazd, has three summer Schools, capable of accommodating 300 students from Yazd and the provinces in summer. The course offered in these centres is a preliminary one.

7. Hamadan Theological Centre

This centre is quite huge with an accommodation capacity of three to four hundred students. It also has a number of divinity Schools, the most flourishing of which are Ayatollahs Akhund, Zangena and Damghani. This centre was very active in the time of Ayatullah Akhund Mulla Ali Ma’asoumi, from which have risen some well-known masters of Qum.

8. Khurramabad Theological Centre

This centre was founded in 1329 in the time of Ayatullah Burujardi’s leadership by a religious leader of Khurramabad in the name of Haj Agha Roohullah Kamalvand on the request of the people of that city. The old school of the city was repaired, and its students returned from Qum to study under Ayatullah Kamalvand.

In 1343 he died, and martyr Ayatullah Sayyed Asadullah Madani was invited from Hamadan to teach there for two years, and then the past regime banished him from this centre and he was in exile until the Revolution.

This centre has 60 students now, and in the time of Ayatullah Madani it used to offer even advanced courses. However now, it offers only intermediate courses.

Among other provincial centres, we may mention Zanjan, Qazvin, Arak, Rasht, Lahijan, Astana Ashrafia, Langrood, Mazandaran, Kirmaanshah, Ahwaz, and Burujard centers, each having a number of divinity students.

## Approximate Figure of Divinity Students

As for the great number of divinity students all over Iran, no exact statistics are available, yet an approximate figure may be given, hoping that this defect may be removed by the authorities one day.

Imam’s Allowance Bureau, which covers the majority of divinity students, estimates the figure at twelve thousands for Qum. The following statistics are related to all centers:

Qum 12,000 Mashhad 5,000

Tehran 1,500 Isfahan 1,000

Tabrez 500 Shiraz 300

Yazd 300 Hamadan 100

Khurramabad 100 Arak 100

Qazvin 100 Zanjan 100

Rasht 100

Total: 21,400

## Financing the Centers

The expenses of these centers are provided by two main sources, namely the Imam’s share and religious endowments. The funds are collected and entrusted to religious authorities, and a part of them is earmarked for the theological centers.

Most of the divinity Schools are built by charitable individuals, and some of them possess small endowments, the revenue of which is spent on the maintenance, renovation, water and electricity of the Schools, and if there is any additional fund available, it is given as allowance to the students of the Schools. This kind of revenue is exceptional and students’ financial aid is mostly granted by the people.

The financial needs of the Shi’a centers were so met that they were never dependent upon despotic regimes and authorities, preventing them from infiltrating these centers. This is the secret of their survival and steadfastness during one thousand years. This also explains the reason for the people’s interest in them and popularity of the Ulama.

## Expenditure

Some of the expenses are related to the management and maintenance of the Schools, and some to their renovation and expansion.

The allowance is paid individually in the centers by religious authorities. Traditionally, each authority has a bureau and a current list of allowance receivers according to which they are paid. Under present conditions, this allowance can safeguard one third of a student’s living expenses, and the remainder is provided by the student’s parents or relatives, or public support through preaching, missionary work and writing.

This shows to which group these parasites and spongers, despite their colonizing propaganda and deceiving malevolent ideas belong to, while divinity students live a simple and humble life on scanty living, rendering great services to the society.

Now that this book is nearing its end with God’s favour, we pray him to expand the field of activities of the theological centers in the world of Islam, and enable their teachers and students to achieve much in the way of the lofty goals of Islam, so that the great Islamic Revolution will attain victory in the world as per the Will of God, the Holy Prophet, and the Imams (peace be upon them).

# Sources

1. Shaikh Reza Zanjani, Tahrim-e-Tambaakoo.

2. Nazem al-Islam Kennani, Tarikh-e Bidari-e-Iranian.

3. Ahmad Kasravi, Tarikh-e-Mashrootah.

4. Sayyed Mutahhari, Nuhzathaa-e-Islami Dar Sad Sal-e-Akhir.

5. Monthly Magazine of Maktab-e-Islam.

6. Mahdi Malekzadah, Tarikh-e-Inqilab Mashrutiyat.

7. Edward Browne, the History of the Iranian Revolution.

8. Sayyed Sharaf al-Deen Musawi, Shahada al-Faazelah

9. Jalaal Aal-e-Ahmad, Gharb Zadagi

10. Musawi Lari, Ta’aleeqaat al-Makaaseb.

11. Hajj Shaikh Abbas Qummi. Safeenat al-Behaar

12. Ali Asgar Faqihi, Tarikh Qadim-e-Qum.

13. Mustafawi, Tareekh-e-Bar Gozidah.

14. Ali Dawani, Zindagani-e-Ayatullah Burujardi.

1. Holy Quran 3:126 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. vol. 2, p. 86 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. vol. 2, p. 131 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. vol. 1, p. 503, 11th edition [↑](#footnote-ref-4)